

CATALYTIC PROCESS R&D SCALE-UP: CHALLENGES AND ISSUES

(completed November 2009)

A technical investigation commissioned by the members of the Catalytic Advances Program

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. SCALE –UP: LAB TESTING TO PILOT SCALE	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION - MULTISCALE APPROACH.....	7
2.2 MATERIALS: ACTIVITY, SELECTIVITY AND STABILITY	8
2.3 CATALYTIC KINETICS	10
2.3.1 Status quo: basic concepts.....	10
2.3.2 Formal kinetics – mechanism-free kinetics - kinetic polynomial	13
2.3.3 What is behind a rate constant?.....	14
2.3.4 Deactivation - Mechanisms and kinetics in various scales - Deactivation issues in scaling up - Differences in approaches based on batch and continuous operation	18
2.3.5 Concentrations, partial pressures and activities in rate equations	20
2.3.6 Experimental design and parameter estimation.....	21
2.4 DIFFUSION EFFECTS IN HETEROGENEOUS CATALYSIS.....	23
2.4.1 External, internal, combination of external and internal diffusion.....	23
2.4.2 Size dependent internal diffusion	24
2.4.3 Reactor dependent external diffusion.....	29
2.4.4 Diffusion and selectivity	31
2.4.5 Microreactors.....	32
2.5 REACTOR MODELLING AND SIMULATION	33

2.5.1	Gas- and liquid-phase components in continuous fixed beds.....	34
2.5.2	Reactor models for batch and semi-batch operations.....	38
2.5.3	Microreactors: gas phase reactions.....	41
2.6	CASE STUDIES.....	43
2.6.1	Case A: Sitosterol hydrogenation process: from laboratory slurry reactor to plant scale.....	43
2.6.2	Case B: Hydrogenation of a carbonyl group – from sugars to sugar alcohols.....	47
2.6.3	Case C: Hydrogen peroxide process - epoxide conversion in fixed bed.....	52
2.7	FROM LABORATORY TO PILOT SCALE-UP – NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES.....	64
2.7.1	Batch and semi-batch systems.....	64
2.7.2	Experimental methodology.....	66
2.8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	67
2.9	NOTATIONS.....	69
2.10	REFERENCES.....	71
3.	SCALE-UP: PILOT SCALE TO COMMERCIAL REACTOR.....	77
3.1	OVERVIEW.....	77
3.2	SCALE-UP OF TRICKLE BED REACTOR (TBR).....	77
3.2.1	Overview.....	77
3.2.2	Phenomena and Parameters Impacting Trickle Bed Reactors Design.....	79
3.2.3	Scale-Up of Trickle Bed Reactors.....	96
3.3	SCALE-UP OF GAS-SOLID FLUIDIZED BED REACTOR (FBR).....	100
3.3.1	Overview.....	100
3.3.2	Phenomena and Parameters Impacting FBR Design.....	102
3.3.3	Scale-Up of Fluidized Bed Reactors.....	111
3.4	SCALE-UP OF STIRRED TANK REACTOR (STR).....	115
3.4.1	Overview.....	115
3.4.2	Phenomena and Parameters Impacting STR Design.....	119
3.4.3	Scale-Up of STR.....	125
3.4.4	Notations Used.....	132

3.5	THE REACTOR IN THE PROCESS FLOW SHEET	134
3.5.1	Impact of Catalyst/Reactor	134
3.5.2	Impact on Plant Performance	142
3.5.3	Other Related Topics.....	147
3.6	SCALE-UP NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES	151
3.7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	152
3.8	REFERENCES	153
4.	INDEX	165

FIGURES


Figure 1.1	Workflow and tasks for the development of a chemical manufacturing process (Tirronen and Salmi, 2003).....	2
Figure 2.1	Multiscale approach to catalysis – from nanometer to meter.	8
Figure 2.2	Various concentration profiles in catalyst particles:  active phase	9
Figure 2.3	Structure sensitivity plots (Van Santen, 2009)	10
Figure 2.4	Steps of a heterogeneous catalytic reaction (Chorkerdorff and Niementsverdriet, 2003)	11
Figure 2.5	Illustration of Mars-van Krevelen oxidation mechanism (Murzin and Salmi, 2005).....	12
Figure 2.6	Adsorption modes of cinchonidine on Pt (Murzin, 2003)	17
Figure 2.7	Dependence of the initial activity fraction on the catalyst activity (Murzin and Salmi, 2005).....	20
Figure 2.8	Mass transfer processes in three-phase systems (Murzin and Salmi, 2005).....	24
Figure 2.9	Temperature dependence of catalytic reactions (Murzin and Salmi, 2005)	24
Figure 2.10	Illustration of porosity and tortuosity	25
Figure 2.11	Effectiveness factor as a function of Thiele modulus for different kinetic expressions (NIOK, 2003)	28
Figure 2.12	Elucidation of mass transfer by changing the flow rate (van Santen et.al., 2002).....	30
Figure 2.13	Elucidation of mass transfer by changing the flow rate and catalyst amount (van Santen et.al., 2002)	30
Figure 2.14	Dependence of selectivity for consecutive reactions on Thiele modulus at different values of γ (Ioffe et al., 1985).....	32

Figure 2.15	The flows and fluxes in a dynamic three-phase fixed bed with axial dispersion...	34
Figure 2.16	Dependence of the Knudsen number on temperature (Hernandez et al, 2009).....	42
Figure 2.17	Reaction scheme for hydrogenation of sterols (Wärnå et al. 2006).....	44
Figure 2.18	Simulation of a plant reactor, 4 bar 70 °C based on laboratory data. Experimental points ‘o’ from plant reactor and simulated lines (Wärnå et al. 2006)	47
Figure 2.19	Simulation of a plant reactor. Concentration of hydrogen (mol/m ³) in the liquid phase as a function of time (min) (Wärnå et al. 2006).....	47
Figure 2.20	Hydrogenation of arabinose to arabinitol (left) and galactose to galactitol (right) on Ru/C (Sifontes 2008)	48
Figure 2.21	Reaction schemes for hydrogenation of D-fructose, D-xylose and D-lactose (Kuusisto et al., 2006).....	49
Figure 2.22	Internal mass transfer resistance and catalyst deactivation: concentration profiles inside a catalyst particle – lactose hydrogenation to lactitol and by-products (Kuusisto et al., 2006).....	50
Figure 2.23	External mass transfer resistance – xylose hydrogenation to xylitol and by-products (Kuusisto et al., 2006).....	51
Figure 2.24	Pilot reactor for catalyst deactivation experiments (Sandelin et al., 2006).....	55
Figure 2.25	The inlet and outlet concentration of epoxide with individually estimated data sets, Catalyst B, C, E and J; experimental inlet (o) and outlet conc. (*) and model prediction of outlet conc. (—)(Sandelin et al., 2006).....	62
Figure 2.26	Catalyst decay as a function of time. The lines represent the model predictions (Sandelin et al., 2006).....	62
Figure 2.27	The inlet and outlet concentration of epoxide with catalyst D at different flow rates, 10, 50, 70 and 100 l/h; experimental inlet (o) and outlet conc. (*) and model prediction of outlet conc. (—) (Sandelin et al., 2006).....	63
Figure 2.28	Workflow and tasks for the development of a chemical manufacturing process (Tirronen and Salmi, 2003).....	65
Figure 2.29	Schematic flow scheme of scaling up	68
Figure 3.2.1	Schematic diagram of down-flow trickle bed reactor and up-flow packed bed bubble column reactor	79
Figure 3.2.2	Schematics of flow regimes encountered in trickle bed reactors	81
Figure 3.2.3	Selected flow regime maps for trickle bed reactors ((a and b), Charpentier and Favier, 1975; (c) Fukushima and Kusaka, 1977)	82
Figure 3.2.4	Effects of pressure and temperature on the transition boundary from trickle flow to pulsing flow regimes (Aydin and Larachi, 2005).....	82

Figure 3.2.5a A high spatial resolution MRI image as a tool for the identification of the location and size of local pulses within trickle bed; UG=122mm/s (a)UL =2.8 (b)UL =3.7 (c)UL =6.1 (d)UL =7.6 mm/s (after Gladden et al. 2005).....	83
Figure 3.2.5b Total number of voxels associated with an unsteady state liquid content and the average value of standard deviation calculated from the 3D MRI standard deviation maps (after Gladden et al. 2005)	83
Figure 3.2.6 Selected results of the effect of operating conditions and bed characteristics on pressure drop and liquid holdup (after Guo and Al-Dahhan, 2004)	85
Figure 3.2.7 Effects of pressure and temperature on the pressure drop and liquid holdup (after Aydin and Larachi, 2005).....	85
Figure 3.2.8 Schematics of externally fully and partially wetted catalyst particles.....	87
Figure 3.2.9 Local distribution of external catalyst wetting and its dependences on the initial conditions of mode of operation (called Kan and Levec mode of operation)	87
Figure 3.2.10 The effect of wetting efficiency of the overall particle effectiveness factor for non-volatile liquid limited reaction assuming first order reactor.....	88
Figure 3.2.11 Impact of catalyst wetting efficiency on apparent reaction rate reactor performance	90
Figure 3.2.12 Effect of reactor pressure and superficial gas velocity on k_{la} and a (Larachi et al., 1998).....	91
Figure 3.2.13 Predictions of selected correlations of liquid-solid mass transfer coefficients.....	92
Figure 3.2.14 A sample of the results of heat transfer coefficient in TBR	93
Figure 3.2.15 Effect of prewetting on liquid spreading from point distributor (2D bed, dye absorption method) (after Jiang et al. 1999)	94
Figure 3.2.16 Macro maldistribution in the bed and the impact of distributor installation on the liquid distribution.....	94
Figure 3.2.17 Schematic diagram of the impact of the internal quenching box on the reactor performance in terms of temperature profile	95
Figure 3.2.18 Improved Mobil's commercial HDS reactor after revamping using quenching box.....	95
Figure 3.2.19 Schematic diagram of a diluted bed with fines.....	97
Figure 3.2.20 Non-reproducible fines distribution in diluted beds using the industrial used methods – dry and wet (Al-Dahhan et al., 1995).....	98
Figure 3.2.21 Reproducible fine distribution using Al-Dahhan's et al. (1995) packing method	98

Figure 3.2.22 Performance of 1-inch reactor diluted with fines using Al-Dahhan's et al. (1995) packing method	98
Figure 3.2.23 Confirmation of the scale-up rule based on equal liquid hourly scale velocity or catalyst weight hourly space velocity (WHSV), S_f and S_p represent the sulfur contents of feed and product, respectively, in %w. k is the rate constant for hydrodesulfurization	100
Figure 3.3.1 Schematic diagram of fixed fluidized bed (Berruti et al., 1995).....	101
Figure 3.3.2 Diagram of the Geldart classification of particles (Geldart 1973).....	103
Figure 3.3.3 Schematic diagram of the flow regimes exist in fluidized bed reactors (Al-Dahhan, 2006, 2007)	104
Figure 3.3.4 Bubbling fluidization flow regime (Al-Dahhan, 2006, 2007).....	104
Figure 3.3.5 Turbulent fluidization flow regime (Canada et al. 1978).....	105
Figure 3.3.6 Effect of bed diameter on gas holdup (bed expansion) (Matsen 1996)	106
Figure 3.3.7 Effect of bed diameter on the bed density (Hilal et al., 2002)	106
Figure 3.3.8 Solids radial profiles along the height of a fluidized bed ($U=0.53\text{m/s}$, sand particles (250 microns, 2.5 g/cm^3) in bubbling regime using fiber optical needle probe (Mabrouk et al., 2005)	107
Figure 3.3.9 Axial solids holdup profiles at various gas velocities in the same bed of Figure 3.3.8 (Mabrouk et al., 2005)	108
Figure 3.3.10 Axial dispersion coefficient of solids in a fluidized bed (Lee and Kim, 1990)	109
Figure 3.3.11 Radial dispersion coefficient in a fluidized bed (Warsito et al., 2002).....	110
Figure 3.3.12 Axial dispersion coefficient of the gas phase in a fluidized bed (Foka et al. 1996)	110
Figure 3.3.13 Radial dispersion coefficient of a fluidized bed (Warsito et al, 2002).....	111
Figure 3.3.14 Experimental set-ups for evaluation the scale-up dimensionless groups (Glicksman et al., 1993).....	114
Figure 3.3.15 Selected results that show the matching and mismatching results for the beds with matching and mismatching dimensionless groups (Glicksman et al., 1993)	114
Figure 3.3.16 Normalized dimensionless amplitude of pressure signal in mismatch beds (Glicksman et al., 1993)	115
Figure 3.3.17 Normalized probability distributions of the measured pressure signals for the match and mismatched beds (Glicksman et al., 1993).....	115

Figure 3.4.1	(a) Typical stirred tank reactor configuration (b) Impellers: Rushton turbine; 6PTD and Lightnin A315®, respectively.....	117
Figure 3.4.2	Typical concentration profiles for a gas-liquid reaction.....	118
Figure 3.4.3	Hydrodynamic Regimes in 3-Phase (gas-liquid-solid) stirred tank reactors (Adopted from Rewatkar et al,1991).....	118
Figure 3.4.4	Typical concentration profiles for solid catalyzed gas-liquid reaction.....	120
Figure 3.4.5	Hydrodynamic Regimes in 2-Phase (gas-liquid) stirred tank reactors (adapted from Nienow et al., 1977).....	123
Figure 3.4.6	Praxair's Liquid Oxidation Reactor (adapted from Roby and Kingsley, 1996).....	127
Figure 3.5.1	Flow Diagram of Modern Highly Integrated Petroleum Refinery (Pellegrino, et al., 2007).....	136
Figure 3.5.2	FCC catalyst cost vs. incremental product value increase; break even analysis .	146
Figure 3.5.3	The Role of Process Simulation and Scheduling Tools in Product Development and Commercialization (Zawar, 2009).....	147

TABLES

Table 2.1	Abbreviations of Used Components.....	54
Table 2.2	Model Parameter Values.....	54
Table 2.3	Properties of Na Promoted Al ₂ O ₃ Catalysts.....	56
Table 2.4	Estimations of Internal Diffusion Effects.....	57
Table 2.5	Results of Individually Estimated Data Sets, Estimated Parameters a ₀ (rel.), a* and k', and their Relative Standard Errors and R ²	60
Table 2.6	Results of the Estimation of all Data Sets Together, Individually for Each Catalyst's Estimated Parameters a ₀ (rel.) and a*, and Common Estimated Parameter k' (the relative standard errors are also given).....	61
Table 2.7	Estimated individual parameters a ₀ and a* and estimated common parameters α ₀ and α ₁ and their relative standard errors for catalyst D at different flow rates.....	63
Table 3.3.1	Glicksman et al. (1993) Dimensionless Group for Scaling up Fluidized Bed Reactors.....	112
Table 3.3.2	Fluidized Bed Properties Used to Evaluate the Dimensionless Groups for Scaling up Fluidized Beds (Glicksman et al., 1993).....	114

Table 3.4.1	Large Scale Applications of Stirred Tank Reactors for Gas-liquid Reactions Catalyzed by a Solid (Three Phase Systems)	116
Table 3.4.2	Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Temperature and Consumption.....	128
Table 3.4.3	Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Catalyst Conditions and Properties (Maretto and Krishna, 1999).....	129
Table 3.5.1	Scale-up Factors for Some Process Steps (Vogel, 2005).....	138