

**BENCHMARKING CO₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGY (VOL. 3):
UPDATE ON SELECTED POST-COMBUSTION, PRE-
COMBUSTION AND OXY-COMBUSTION CAPTURE ROUTES**

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
September 2016



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	xxxv
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.3 METHODOLOGY.....	3
1.4 METRICS FOR COMPARATIVE ECONOMIC BENCHMARKS/CASE STUDY ANALYSES.....	4
1.5 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS.....	4
1.6 REFERENCES.....	6
2. POST-COMBUSTION CO₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES.....	7
2.1 ABSORPTION TECHNOLOGIES	7
2.1.1 State of Technology/Commercialization and Review of Options.....	7
2.1.2 Absorption Technology Developments.....	8
2.1.2.1 Wet Absorption (Liquid Solvent Absorption) Processes in General	8
2.1.2.2 Shell’s CANSOLV Technology/Boundary Dam Commercial Project.....	14
2.1.2.3 KM-CDR® Process (Kansai Mitsubishi Carbon Dioxide Recovery) & Petra Nova	19
2.1.2.4 Econamine FG Plus(SM) (Licensed by Fluor)	22
2.1.2.5 Chilled Ammonia Process - CAP.....	23
2.1.2.6 Concentrated Piperazine: UT-Austin	27
2.1.2.7 Dual Phase / Self-Concentrating Solvent Technology (IFP)	29
2.1.2.8 GE Aminosilicone Oligomeric Absorbent Technology	31
2.1.2.9 University of Notre Dame (UND)/Ionic Liquid (IL) Absorbents.....	35
2.1.2.10 ION Non-Aqueous Advanced Solvent.....	35
2.1.2.11 Hitachi Advanced Solvent & UK-CAER Heat Integration Concept	36
2.1.2.12 Linde BASF OASE® Blue Novel Amine Solvent	39
2.1.2.13 RTI Non-aqueous Solvent (NAS) Process.....	43
2.1.2.14 Akermin	46

2.1.2.15	SRI NH ₃ + K ⁻ CO ₃ mix technology	48
2.1.3	Economic Comparisons and Implications.....	49
2.2	SOLID SORPTION TECHNOLOGIES.....	51
2.2.1	State of Technology/Commercialization and Review of Options.....	51
2.2.2	Sorption Technology Developments.....	54
2.2.2.1	Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) – UOP.....	54
2.2.2.2	Encapsulated Amine — Clay Adsorbent Development (DOE-NETL)	55
2.2.2.3	Rapid Cycle Thermal Swing Adsorption (Patented by ExxonMobil)	55
2.2.2.4	InnoSeptra Structured Sorbents.....	56
2.2.2.5	Envergex E-CACHYS technology.....	57
2.2.2.6	TDA Research Alkalized Alumina Sorbent in Fixed Beds.....	62
2.2.2.7	SRI BrightBlack Carbon sorbent Technology	64
2.2.2.8	Rapid PSA from Grace, University of Carolina & Catacel	66
2.2.2.9	RTI Dry Sorbent Technology	68
2.2.2.10	DOE-NETL Immobilized Amine on Silica Adsorbent (SBA-15)	70
2.2.2.11	KEPRI/KEPCO Potassium Based Sorbents.....	71
2.2.3	Economic Comparisons and Implications.....	72
2.3	CRYOGENIC, MEMBRANE & HYBRID TECHNOLOGIES	74
2.3.1	State of Technology/Commercialization and Review of Options.....	74
2.3.2	Technology Developments.....	76
2.3.2.1	(SES) Desublimation Technology	76
2.3.2.2	ARMINES CEP Mixed Refrigerant Cascade Desublimation Technology... ..	81
2.3.2.3	Subambient MEDAL Membranes by Air Liquide.....	83
2.3.2.4	Membrane Technology and Research (MTR) Polaris™ Membranes.....	85
2.3.2.5	TNO Hollow Fiber Membrane Gas Absorption Technology	88
2.3.2.6	GTI Porogen PEEK Hollow Fiber Membrane Contactors.....	89
2.3.2.7	GTI Porogen PEEK and Graphene Oxide GO-PEEK Technology	91
2.3.2.8	METI/RITE Polyimide Hollow Fiber Membranes	91
2.3.2.9	RTI Fluorinated Polymer Membrane	91
2.3.3	Economic Comparisons And Implications.....	92
2.4	BIOLOGICAL APPROACHES	93
2.4.1	State of Technology/Commercialization and Review of Options.....	93

2.4.2	Biological Technology Developments.....	94
2.4.2.1	Algae Technology for CO ₂ Capture.....	94
2.4.2.1.1	Algenol Biofuels Direct to Ethanol Technology.....	94
2.4.2.1.2	Sapphire Energy.....	95
2.4.3	Economic Comparisons and Implications.....	95
2.5	REFERENCES.....	95
3.	PRE-COMBUSTION AND OXY-COMBUSTION CO₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES.....	103
3.1	PRE-COMBUSTION AND OXY-COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY BENCHMARKS.....	103
3.1.1	Commercial and Demonstration Projects.....	103
3.1.1.1	Demonstration Projects.....	103
3.1.1.1.1	Summit Texas Clean Energy IGCC – Rectisol® (DOE/NETL).....	103
3.1.1.1.2	Hydrogen Energy California – Rectisol® (DOE/NETL).....	105
3.1.1.1.3	Southern Company Kemper IGCC – Selexol™ (DOE/NETL).....	106
3.1.1.1.4	Huaneng GreenGen IGCC Project.....	107
3.2	PRE-COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES.....	110
3.2.1	Solvent Absorption.....	111
3.2.1.1	Ammonium Carbonate-Ammonium Bicarbonate Process (SRI International).....	111
3.2.1.2	Hydrophobic Solvents.....	114
3.2.1.2.1	Description & Status.....	114
3.2.1.2.2	Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data.....	115
3.2.1.2.3	Commercial Potential.....	115
3.2.1.3	Other developments - Combined Rectisol/Membrane Processes (U. of Melbourne).....	115
3.2.2	Solid Adsorption.....	116
3.2.2.1	Advanced PSA for Sour Syngas (Air Products & Chemicals) (update and brief review of related CO ₂ -capture project at SMR in Port Arthur, TX).....	116
3.2.2.2	CO ₂ -PSA Modified-Carbon-Adsorbent Development (TDA Research).....	122
3.2.2.3	Mg(OH) ₂ High-Temperature Adsorbent (DOE/NETL).....	122
3.2.2.4	Sorbent-Enhanced Water Gas Shift – SEWGS (URS Group/AECOM).....	123
3.2.2.5	Sorption-enhanced WGS (North Dakota; Lehigh U.).....	125
3.2.2.6	Warm Gas Cleanup (RTI).....	126

3.2.2.6.1	Description & Status	126
3.2.2.6.2	Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	128
3.2.2.6.3	Commercial Potential.....	128
3.2.3	Hydrogen Transport Membranes	129
3.2.3.1	Polymeric Membrane (Los Alamos National Lab, LANL)	129
3.2.3.2	Hydrogen Transport Membrane (Praxair).....	130
3.2.3.3	Dense Metallic Membrane (Eltron Research & Development)	132
3.2.3.4	Ceramic-based Membrane (Argonne National Laboratory)	133
3.2.3.5	Other Membrane Developments (Delft, Berkeley).....	134
3.2.4	CO ₂ Capture from Biogas	135
3.3	OXY-COMBUSTION CO ₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES.....	137
3.3.1	Cost/Performance/Timing Goals.....	137
3.3.2	State of Technology Development.....	140
3.3.3	R&D Projects	141
3.3.4	Supporting Technologies Development.....	142
3.3.4.1	Air Separation	142
3.3.4.1.1	Cryogenic Air Separation.....	142
3.3.4.1.2	ITM-based Technology (Air Products and Chemicals)	143
3.3.4.1.3	OTM-based Technology (Praxair).....	147
3.3.4.1.4	Chemical Looping Processes	151
3.3.4.2	CO ₂ Purification	162
3.3.4.3	CO ₂ Compression.....	163
3.3.4.3.1	Ramgen (Dresser-Rand).....	163
3.3.4.3.2	Southwest Research Institute/Dresser Rand.....	166
3.3.4.4	Integration of CO ₂ Purification and Compression	168
3.3.5	Benchmark Projects	171
3.3.5.1	FutureGen 2.0	171
3.3.5.2	Lacq	173
3.3.5.3	Vattenfall	174
3.3.5.4	Callide.....	175
3.3.5.5	Compostilla (CIUDEN).....	177
3.3.5.6	HUST (Hubei, China).....	179

3.4 SUMMARY & RECOMMENDATIONS	180
3.5 REFERENCES.....	184
4. INDEX.....	199

FIGURES

Figure 2.1.2.1.1	CO ₂ Vapor Pressure and Heat of Absorption as a function of CO ₂ loading in 30 wt% MEA	10
Figure 2.1.2.1.2	Irreversibility of CO ₂ Recovery and Pressurization from Flue Gas using Piperazine.....	12
Figure 2.1.2.2.1	Piloting DC-201 Solvent; adapted from Just.	16
Figure 2.1.2.2.2	Block Flow Diagram of a typical Post-Combustion CO ₂ Capture Plant from Coal-derived Flue Gas using the Shell Cansolve Process.....	17
Figure 2.1.2.2.3	Boundary Dam CO ₂ Capture Plant Absorber.....	18
Figure 2.1.2.3.1	MHI KS-1™ Solvent Development History.....	20
Figure 2.1.2.3.2	MHI Plant Barry Carbon Capture Plant Operational History	21
Figure 2.1.2.4.1	Block Flow Diagram of Chilled Ammonia Process for CO ₂ Capture from Coal-derived Flue Gas	25
Figure 2.1.2.5.1	A Conceptual Illustration of the Advanced Flash Stripper Concept.....	29
Figure 2.1.2.7.1	Comparison of IFP's DMX™ Solvent & MEA	31
Figure 2.1.2.8.1	Capacity of an Aminosilicone Solvent Formulation.....	32
Figure. 2.1.2.8.2	Block Flow Diagram of a Post-Combustion Aminosilicone CO ₂ Capture Process from Coal-derived Flue Gas.	33
Figure 2.1.2.8.3	Efficiency Benefits of the Aminosilicone CO ₂ Capture Process from Coal-derived Flue Gas.	34
Figure 2.1.2.8.4	Economics of CO ₂ Capture from Coal-derived Flue Gas using the Aminosilicone Process.	34
Figure 2.1.2.11.1	Results from testing the Hitachi H3-1 and other solvents at EERC	37
Figure 2.1.2.12.1	Operational History of the NCCC Pilot Plant Test using the Linde Process with BASF OASE® Blue Solvent.	40
Figure 2.1.2.12.2	Regeneration Energy Comparison of the BASF OASE® Blue Solvent and MEA.	41
Figure 2.1.2.12.3	Efficiency Benefits of various Process Configurations of the Linde Process for Carbon Capture from Coal-derived Flue Gas	42

Figure 2.1.2.13.1	Thermal Regeneration Energy Load Analysis.....	44
Figure 2.1.2.13.2	CO ₂ Partial Pressure and Heat of Absorption of RTI Non-Aqueous Solvents.....	45
Figure 2.1.2.13.3	Efficiency Benefits of RTI Non-aqueous Solvents for CO ₂ Capture.....	45
Figure 2.1.2.14.1	Efficiency Benefits of Enzymatic Catalyst-Solvent System for CO ₂ Capture.....	47
Figure 2.1.2.15.1	Block Flow Diagram of SRI Mixed-Salt Technology for CO ₂ Capture from Coal-derived Flue Gas.....	49
Figure 2.2.2.5.1	CO ₂ Heat of Sorption over various Alkali Carbonate Sorbent Formulations in the Anhydrous and Prehydrated States. (Patent US 8,840,706).....	58
Figure 2.2.2.5.2	Alkali Carbonate Sorption Test Results from Laboratory Fixed Bed. (Patent US 8,840,706).....	59
Figure 2.2.2.5.3	Block Flow Diagram of E-CACHYS Process for CO ₂ Capture from Coal-derived Flue Gases.....	60
Figure 2.2.2.7.1	SRI BrightBlack Carbon Process Pilot-Plant Installation at NCCC.....	64
Figure 2.2.2.11.1	Data from 0.5 MW Hadong Test Unit; adapted from Ryo.....	72
Figure 2.3.2.1.1	Block Flow Diagram of the CCC-ECL version of the SES Cryogenic CO ₂ Capture Process.....	78
Figure 2.3.2.1.2	Benefits of the SES CCC-ECL Process Configurations for Post-Combustion Carbon capture from Coal-derived Flue Gas.....	80
Figure 2.3.2.3.1	Block Flow Diagram of CO ₂ Capture from Coal Flue Gas using Air Liquide’s Sub-ambient Membrane in a Hybridized Process.....	83
Figure 3.1.1.1.4.1	HCERI gasifier schematic.....	109
Figure 3.1.1.1.4.2	Phase 2 scheme of the GreenGen project.....	110
Figure 3.1.1.1.4.3	Process scheme for carbon capture within the Phase 2 scheme of GreenGen. (adapted from Cheng, 2012).....	110
Figure 3.2.1.1.1	Current AC-ABC system flowsheet. “BPSC” is the Bechtel Pressure Swing Claus system.....	112
Figure 3.2.1.1.2	Small pilot AC-ABC system implemented at the National Carbon Capture Center.....	113
Figure 3.2.2.1.1	Sour PSA process unit at NCCC, Wilsonville, AL.....	118
Figure 3.2.2.1.2	Cost of Electricity (COE) as a function of Sour PSA capital cost. The dashed line is the COE of the DOE IGCC-CCS reference case.....	120

Figure 3.2.2.1.3	Simple Process Flow Diagram of the Port Arthur CO ₂ Capture System .	121
Figure 3.2.2.1.4	Aerial view of the modified Port Arthur 2 SMR.....	121
Figure 3.2.2.4.1	Proposed Scheme for CO ₂ Capture-Enhanced WGS with Integral Heat Transfer	124
Figure 3.2.2.6.1	Schematic of RTI Warm Gas Cleanup Process.....	127
Figure 3.2.2.6.2	Simple block flow diagram showing scope of 50 MWe Demonstration (blue blocks) added to Tampa Electric’s 250 MWe IGCC at Polk County, Florida.	128
Figure 3.2.3.1.1	Hydrogen Permeance and selectivity in flowing gases under long-term testing of single hollow-fiber membranes. Conditions: 250°C, 20 psid, Syngas feed: 49% H ₂ , 28% CO ₂ , 22% H ₂ O, 1% CO	130
Figure 3.2.4.1	Cumulative plot of numbers of biogas upgrading plants installed by year by technology.	136
Figure 3.3.1.1	IEA Oxy-combustion Development Path, ca. 2010.	138
Figure 3.3.1.2	Updated timeline chart from IEA figure shown in Figure 3.3.1.1	139
Figure 3.3.1.3	U.S. DOE Greenfield Plant Cost Progression Targets.....	140
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.1	100-TPD Intermediate-Scale Test Unit (ISTU) flow schematic	145
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.2	100-TPD Intermediate Scale Test Unit.....	145
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.3	ITM Vessel in the Intermediate Scale Test Unit	146
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.4	ITM Oxygen Modules installed in the flow duct of the ISTU.....	146
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.5	Ceramic manufacturing facility (the “CerFab”) is located in Tooele, Utah. Process layout is shown on right.....	147
Figure 3.3.4.1.2.6	Processing equipment in the CerFab. Left photo: tape casting equipment. Right photo: process kilns.....	147
Figure 3.3.4.1.3.1	OTM Tubes arranged in a Panel Array	150
Figure 3.3.4.1.3.2	Commercial duct concept for housing OTM and reformer tubes, 20-50 MWth capacity	150
Figure 3.3.4.1.4.1	Simple Schematic Of Chemical Looping.....	152
Figure. 3.3.4.1.4.1.1.1	Left: a 40-ft. Cold Flow Model Apparatus; Right: Simulation of particle velocities in the DipLeg section.....	155
Figure 3.3.4.1.4.1.1.2	Alstom’s relocated 3 MWth Chemical Looping Facility, Bloomfield, CT, USA	156
Figure 3.3.4.1.4.2.1	250 kWth Syngas Chemical Looping Pilot Unit at the NCCC	159

Figure 3.3.4.1.4.2.2	The 1 MWth system at Darmstadt	162
Figure 3.3.4.3.1.1	Schematic views of analogous flow paths in an F-15 supersonic jet engine inlet rotor (left) and the Ramgen compressor rotor (right).....	164
Figure 3.3.4.3.1.2	CAD drawing and prototype Ramgen disk for CO ₂ compression.	164
Figure 3.3.4.3.1.3	MW Test Facility for Ramgen CO ₂ Compression testing showing a CAD drawing and a photograph taken during construction.	165
Figure 3.3.4.3.1.4	Outside view of the 10 MW Test Facility for Ramgen CO ₂ Compression at Olean, New York. Photo taken during construction.	166
Figure 3.3.4.3.2.1	In-line intercooled diaphragm design.....	167
Figure 3.3.4.3.2.2	Single test rotor.	167
Figure 3.3.4.3.2.3	Installed Dresser Rand 6-stage centrifugal compressor modified with interstage cooled diaphragms at the Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas	168
Figure 3.3.4.4.1	Block diagram of Air Products' CO ₂ purification system at Schwarze Pumpe.....	169
Figure 3.3.4.4.2	Photograph of Air Products' CO ₂ purification system at Schwarze Pumpe.....	169
Figure 3.3.4.4.3	Inlet and exit stream data from the auto-refrigeration step under low and high product purity conditions.	170
Figure 3.3.4.4.4	Representative gas stream (1-6) compositions (ppm) during operation. .	170
Figure 3.3.5.1.1	Flow scheme of the FutureGen 2.0 plant.	173
Figure 3.3.5.2.1	Photograph of the Lacq Pilot	174
Figure 3.3.5.3.1	Schematic of Vattenfall Oxyfuel Pilot Plant at Schwarze Pumpe.	174
Figure 3.3.5.3.2	Completed Vattenfall Oxyfuel pilot plant.....	175
Figure 3.3.5.4.1	Schematic of the Callide Oxyfuel Pilot.....	176
Figure 3.3.5.4.2	Photos of the Callide Oxyfuel Pilot.	176
Figure 3.3.5.5.1	Aerial photograph of the 30 MW CIUDEN Oxyfuel Pilot Plant, El Bierzo, Spain	178
Figure 3.3.5.5.2	CFB Boiler schematic in the CIUDEN pilot.....	178
Figure 3.3.5.5.3	NO _x characteristics of CFB boiler operation during oxy-firing	179

TABLES

Table 2.1.2.1.1	Helicopter Summary of Solvent Absorption Based Post-Combustion Capture Technologies	13
Table 2.2.1.1	Helicopter Summary of Sorbent Technologies for Post Combustion Capture.....	53
Table 2.3.1.1	Air Separation vs. Post-Combustion Capture.....	74
Table 2.3.1.2	Helicopter Summary of Cryogenic/Membrane Technologies.....	76
Table 3.1.1.1.4.1	Equipment Suppliers for 250 Mw Greengen IGCC	108
Table 3.2.2.1.1	Sample Operating Point Summary (From Amy, 2015).....	117
Table 3.2.2.1.2	Comparison of PDU Experiment (385-01-08) with Simpac Prediction.....	119
Table 3.2.2.4.1	Candidate CO ₂ Sorbents	125
Table 3.2.3.2.1	Comparison Of Process Performance of Three IGCC Cases	131
Table 3.2.2.5.1	Estimated Economic Performance of IGCC-CCS Plants with Dual-Stage Membrane System and Reference Case	135
Table 3.2.4.1	Composition of Biogases and Natural Gas, Dry Basis.....	135
Table 3.3.4.1.4.1	Chemical Looping-Focused Research Groups Worldwide	153
Table 3.3.4.1.4.1.1.1	Technical Gaps Identified in 2012 Test Campaign with 3 Mwth Chemical Looping Process	154
Table 3.3.4.2.1	Typical CO ₂ Composition Specifications.....	162
Table 3.3.4.4.1	Expanded Reaction Network.....	171
Table 3.3.5.1.1	Design Data for Future 2.0	172