

ADVANCES IN CATALYTIC PRODUCTION OF OLEFINS

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

Client Private
April 2012
(for the 2011 membership year)



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Matthew A. Colquitt at Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1130).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. OLEFIN PRODUCTION FROM PETROLEUM REFINING CRACKING PROCESSES.....	5
2.1 GLOBAL MARKET: HISTORICAL AND FUTURE NEEDS	5
2.1.1 World status of light olefins	5
2.1.2 Light olefins production/demand	7
2.1.3 Future needs	7
2.2 ADVANCES IN OLEFINS FROM FCC PROCESSES.....	8
2.2.1 Commercial impact	8
2.2.2 Simplified technology description.....	9
2.2.3 Announced and commercialized technology	11
2.2.3.1 DCC process.....	12
2.2.3.2 CPP process.....	13
2.2.3.3 MIP-CGP process.....	13
2.2.3.4 HS-FCC process.....	14
2.2.3.5 PetroFCC and RxPro processes.....	14
2.2.3.6 I-FCC process.....	15
2.2.3.7 Maxofin process	16
2.2.3.8 MILOS process.....	17
2.2.3.9 PetroRiser process	17
2.2.3.10 Other high-olefin FCC processes	18
2.2.4 Process technology innovations	18
2.2.5 Catalyst technology innovations	18
2.2.6 Needs for technology improvements.....	20
2.2.7 R&D advances.....	20
2.3 ADVANCES IN OLEFINS FROM OTHER CATALYTIC CRACKING AND PYROLYSIS PROCESSES	21
2.3.1 Commercial impact	21
2.3.2 Simplified technology description.....	21

2.3.3	Announced and commercialized technology.....	22
2.3.3.1	ACO process.....	22
2.3.3.2	PCC process.....	23
2.3.3.3	UOP patents.....	24
2.3.3.4	Shanghai Research Institute (Sinopec).....	24
2.3.4	Process technology innovations.....	25
2.3.5	Catalyst technology innovations.....	25
2.3.6	Needs for technology improvements.....	27
2.3.7	Potential impact of biomass to fuels processes.....	27
2.3.8	R&D advances.....	28
2.4	ADVANCES IN OLEFINS FROM THERMAL CRACKING PROCESSES.....	28
2.4.1	Commercial impact.....	28
2.4.2	Simplified technology description.....	29
2.4.3	Announced and commercialized technology.....	30
2.4.3.1	Process technology innovations.....	30
2.4.3.2	Thermocatalytic technology innovations.....	31
2.4.3.3	Needs for technology improvements.....	32
2.4.3.4	R&D advances.....	32
2.5	THIS TECHNOLOGY 10 YEARS FROM NOW.....	33
2.5.1	High-olefin FCC process.....	33
2.5.2	Catalytic naphtha cracking process.....	33
2.5.3	Thermal cracking process.....	34
2.6	REFERENCES.....	34
3.	OLEFIN PRODUCTION FROM DEHYDROGENATION PROCESSES.....	39
3.1	BACKGROUND.....	39
3.1.1	Introduction.....	39
3.1.2	Historical perspective.....	40
3.2	PROCESS CHEMISTRY, CATALYSTS, AND PROCESSES.....	43
3.2.1	Catofin process offered by Lummus.....	45
3.2.2	STAR process offered by Uhde.....	46
3.2.3	Catalytic dehydrogenation process offered by Linde.....	49
3.2.4	Fluidized bed technology offered by Snamprogetti.....	49
3.2.5	Catalytic dehydrogenation processes offered by UOP.....	49
3.2.6	Commercial prospects.....	52

3.3	OTHER DEHYDROGENATION TECHNOLOGIES	52
3.3.1	Oxydehydrogenation	53
3.3.2	Oxydehydrogenation by the selective oxidation of hydrogen.....	54
3.3.3	Oxydehydrogenation of ethane	55
3.3.4	Oxydehydrogenation of light alkanes.....	58
3.3.5	Oxydehydrogenation of ethane and light alkanes with CO ₂	59
3.4	FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS.....	63
3.5	REFERENCES	64
4.	OLEFIN PRODUCTION FROM OTHER PROCESSES	69
4.1	GLOBAL MARKET: HISTORICAL AND FUTURE NEEDS.....	69
4.2	DRIVERS FOR NON-CLASSICAL ROUTES.....	69
4.3	OLEFINS FROM ALCOHOLS.....	70
4.3.1	Commercial impacts.....	70
4.3.2	Simplified technology descriptions.....	71
4.3.3	Announced and commercialized technologies for low molecular weight alcohols.....	72
4.3.3.1	Competitive features and niche definition.....	72
4.3.3.2	Process technology innovations	73
4.3.3.3	Catalyst technology innovations	75
4.3.3.4	Needs for technology improvements.....	75
4.3.3.5	R&D advances.....	75
4.3.3.6	This technology 10 years from now	76
4.4	FISCHER-TROPSCH OLEFINS.....	76
4.4.1	Commercial impact	76
4.4.2	Renewable and biomass fuels impact.....	76
4.4.3	Simplified technology descriptions.....	77
4.4.3.1	Thermodynamics, kinetics, catalysis, other factors.....	77
4.4.3.2	Reactors.....	78
4.4.3.3	High-temperature iron catalysis	80
4.4.3.4	Low-temperature Fischer-Tropsch synthesis	81
4.4.4	Announced and commercialized technologies.....	84
4.4.4.1	Comparative features and niche definition.....	84
4.4.4.2	Process technology innovations	84
4.4.4.3	Catalyst technology innovations	85

4.4.4.4	Needs for technology improvement.....	85
4.4.4.5	R&D advances	85
4.4.4.6	This technology 10 years from now	86
4.5	BIOLOGICAL OR ETHANOL-TO-OLEFINS (ETO).....	87
4.5.1	Current market impact and technical assessment	87
4.5.2	Needs for technology improvements	89
4.5.3	R&D advances	89
4.5.3.1	Process considerations	89
4.5.3.2	Catalyst developments	92
4.6	OLEFIN METATHESIS PROCESSES	95
4.6.1	Commercial impact.....	95
4.6.2	Simplified technology description.....	95
4.6.3	Announced and commercialized technologies	98
4.6.3.1	Lummus OCT	98
4.6.3.2	Axens META-4	102
4.6.3.3	Mitsui.....	104
4.6.3.4	Elevance and XiMo	107
4.6.4	Needs for technology improvements	108
4.6.5	R&D advances	109
4.6.5.1	Tungsten oxide/SiO ₂	109
4.6.5.2	Rhenium oxide/Al ₂ O ₃	110
4.6.6	This technology 10 years from now	113
4.7	OTHER OLEFIN PRODUCTION SOURCES	114
4.7.1	KBR Superflex.....	114
4.7.2	Lurgi Propylur	116
4.7.3	Mobil Olefin Interconversion (MOI) process.....	117
4.7.4	Methane oxidative coupling	118
4.7.5	DC Plasma discharge reactor for C ₁ conversion using metal/zeolite catalysts	119
4.7.6	Impact, needs and future.....	122
4.8	REFERENCES	123
5.	INDEX	131

FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Light olefins share of global demand for petrochemicals (Adams, 2009).	5
Figure 2.2	Projected increase in demand for on-purpose propylene from various routes (Yim, 2011).	8
Figure 2.3	Schematic diagram of a typical conventional FCC unit (Abdo, 2010).	10
Figure 2.4	FCC design and operating modes for two extremes: maximum gasoline and maximum propylene/aromatics (Couch, et al., 2007 and Knight, 2010).	10
Figure 2.5	Typical yields range for ethylene and propylene for RIPP/Shaw S&W DCC and CPP processes. Targets of DMMC-1 catalyst to improve DCC propylene (Leung, 2011 and Xhu, 2010).	12
Figure 2.6	Configuration of high-severity FCC process and typical product yields compared to conventional fcc process (Ono, 2007).	14
Figure 2.7	UOP's RxCat technology and FCC propylene yield spectrum for UOP's PetroFCC and RxPro processes (UOP, 2011).	15
Figure 2.8	Configuration of I-FCC process along with typical yields of light olefins (Soni et al., 2009; Lummus Technology I-FCC Brochure, 2009).	16
Figure 2.9	KBR's Maxofin riser configuration along with typical product slate from a single and dual riser (Claude, 2008).	16
Figure 2.10	Integrated R2R-RFCC and PetroRiser for enhanced propylene yields (Roux, 2010).	17
Figure 2.11	Simplified chemical reactions by steam catalytic naphtha cracking: free radicals and carbonium ions mechanisms (Ren, 2004).	22
Figure 2.12	ACO reactor system and product yields from LSR naphtha cracking for ACO 60,000 tpy demo plant (Tallman et al., 2011).	23
Figure 2.13	Integrated PCC process for naphtha cracking to propylene (Bedell, 2003).	24
Figure 2.14	Reaction equilibration in catalytic naphtha cracking over ZSM-5 catalyst showing propylene as the olefin product with highest yield (Bedell et al. 2003).	26
Figure 2.15	Global demand for light fuels, 1990-2015: middle distillates soars while gasoline is laggard; along with global potential for biofuels. (Vautrain, 2011; Holmgren, et al., 2007).	27
Figure 2.16	Typical configuration of an ethylene plant by thermal steam cracking of hydrocarbons plant (Walzl, 2010).	29
Figure 2.17	Typical Values of Specific CO ₂ Emissions from Thermal Cracking Furnaces as a function of Feedstock. (Schmidt, et al., 2010)	32
Figure 3.1	Diagram of Uhde's STAR process (Uhde literature).	48
Figure 3.2	Typical UOP Pacol flowscheme (UOP literature).	50
Figure 3.3	Flowscheme for UOP Oleflex unit (UOP literature).	51
Figure 3.4	SMART technology flowscheme (UOP literature).	55

Figure 3.5	Propylene gap projection (CMAI, 2010).....	63
Figure 4.1	Pathways to convert fossil fuels feedstocks to light olefins	69
Figure 4.2	Alkene distribution from the conversion of 2-octanol with metal oxide catalysts (★ = equilibrium octane composition).....	72
Figure 4.3	(left) Theoretical ASF plot for products; (right) Typical two alpha ASF plot for products	78
Figure 4.4	Four types of reactors for FT synthesis at commercial scale. (Jager, 2003).	78
Figure 4.5	Olefin selectivity as a function of carbon number for supercritical and gas phase FTS. (Jacobs, et al., 2003).	79
Figure 4.6	Example of potential chemicals from FT products. (Sasol literature).....	80
Figure 4.7	(left) Carbon number dependence of olefin content from different reactor operations: ■, fluid bed, middle pressure with iron; Δ, fixed bed, middle pressure with iron; ▲, cobalt normal pressure. (right) α-olefin in the olefin fraction; ■, fluid bed, middle pressure with iron; Δ, fixed bed, middle pressure with iron; ▲, cobalt normal pressure synthesis; x, cobalt normal pressure synthesis but with Kieselguhr support. (Pichler and Schulz, 1970)	81
Figure 4.8	The dependence of the olefin content for three space velocities (78, 337 and 2380 vol/vol catalyst). (Pichler, et al., 1967).....	82
Figure 4.9	Dependence of olefin content for different carbon number products on the CO conversion. (Pichler, et al., 1967).....	82
Figure 4.10	Carbon number dependency of the olefin content for slurry reactor synthesis with an iron catalyst. (Davis and Miller, 2003).....	83
Figure 4.11	Carbon number dependence on the % of branched products obtained using iron catalysts with increasing alkali content. (Davis and Miller, 2004).....	84
Figure 4.12	Biological ethanol to olefins process (Teng et al., 2008).	90
Figure 4.13	Proposed mechanism for ethanol dehydration (Morschbacker, 2009).	91
Figure 4.14	General diagram of an ethanol to ethylene plant (Morschbacker, 2009).	91
Figure 4.15	Product spectrum of the conversion of ethanol over H-ZSM-5 and dependence on the reaction temperature: mass of catalyst 0.33 g; ratio Si/Al in H-ZSM-5: 25; ethanol feed: 1 g/h; ethanol partial pressure: 0.4 bar; WHSV: 3 h ⁻¹ ; carrier gas flow: 2 l He/h. □:ethylene, ●:olefins, ○:paraffins, ▲: C ₅₊ aliphatics, ◆:aromatics (Schulz and Bandermann, 1994).....	92
Figure 4.16	Total olefin yield in the conversion of ethanol over H-ZSM-5 and dependence on the ethanol partial pressure for different reaction temperatures (conditions cf. Fig. 1). □: 300 °C, ○:350 °C, ◇:400 °C, and ◆:450 °C (Schulz and Bandermann, 1994).....	93
Figure 4.17	Product spectrum of the conversion of ethanol over H-ZSM-5 and dependence on WHSV at 673 K. Mass of catalyst: 1.0-0.05 g H-ZSM-5; other conditions see Figure 4.4. □:ethylene, ●:olefins, ○:paraffins, ▲: C ₅₊ aliphatics, ◆:aromatics (Schulz and Bandermann, 1994).....	93

Figure 4.18	Product spectrum of the conversion of ethanol over H-ZSM-5 and dependence on the Si/Al ratio in the zeolite; other conditions see Figure 4.3. □:ethylene, ●:olefins, ○:paraffins, ▲: C ₅ + aliphatics, ◆:aromatics (Schulz and Bandermann, 1994).	94
Figure 4.19	The forward self-metathesis of propylene and the reverse cross-metathesis of ethylene and 2-butene (Delaude and Noels, 2007).	96
Figure 4.20	Main types of olefin metathesis reactions (Delaude and Noels, 2007).	97
Figure 4.21	Tandem and asymmetric olefin metathesis reactions (Delaude and Noels, 2007).	97
Figure 4.22	Chauvin mechanism involving addition of alkenes to alkylidenes and the formation of metallocyclobutane intermediates (modified from Olefin Metathesis, 2011).	98
Figure 4.23	ABB Lummus Global, Olefins Conversion Technology (OCT) (http://www.cbi.com/images/uploads/tech_sheets/Olefins.pdf , 2009).	100
Figure 4.24	(top) Catalyst used according to Regali (Regali, 2010) and (bottom) simple mechanistic scheme highlighting the rearrangement process occurring during metathesis (Olefin Conversion Technology, 2009).	100
Figure 4.25	Typical process flow diagrams for the application of Axens META-4 (Debuisschert, 2004; http://www.plantasquimicas.com/Procesunit/propileno.htm).	103
Figure 4.26	Side reactions in the Axens META-4 process. (Debuisschert, 2004)	104
Figure 4.27	Process flow diagram of the RING III project (www.sumitomo-chem.co.jp/english/newsreleases/docs/20060615_1.pdf - 32KB, 2011).	105
Figure 4.28	Advantage of using short contact times to prevent unwanted hydrogenation (Takai et al., 2010a).	106
Figure 4.29	Demonstration and limitations of the regeneration of WO ₃ /SiO ₂ in liquid H ₂ O or H ₂ O vapor (Ikenaga, 2010).	106
Figure 4.30	Examples of molybdenum and tungsten metathesis catalysts (Schrock, 1992; http://web.mit.edu/rrs/www/Research%201.pdf), including an asymmetric catalyst used to make a stereoregular isotactic polymer (McConville et al., 1993).	107
Figure 4.31	Metathesis reaction used in growing the carbon backbone to produce biowax. (Murphy et al., 2009).	108
Figure 4.32	Proposed mechanism for initiation of metathesis on WO ₃ /SiO ₂ (van Roosmalen and Mol, 1982).	109
Figure 4.33	Carbon map from EFTEM of an aged WO ₃ /SiO ₂ catalyst. Blue region indicates tungsten oxide and the orange region displays carbon (Moodley et al., 2007).	110
Figure 4.34	Activated complexes postulated to be present for two cases: (case A) low Re loadings on a strongly interacting support, Re embedded in support, constricting the possibility of complex formation and (case B) higher loadings,	

where Re is on the open surface and complex can thus form (Arnoldy et al., 1985). 111

Figure 4.35 Identical activities achieved in MeReO₃ catalysts prepared by organometallic MeReO₃ (i.e., no Sn, open circle) and by reaction of supported Re oxide with Me₄Sn (closed circles) (Moses et al., 2007). 113

Figure 4.36 KBR ACO process flow diagram (Niccum et al., 2010; Tallman and Eng, 2008). 115

Figure 4.37 Overall ACO process flow diagram, including plant recovery system (Niccum et al., 2010; Tallman and Eng, 2008). 115

Figure 4.38 Benefits in olefin yields from utilizing the ACO process relative to steam cracking (Niccum et al., 2010; Tallman and Eng, 2008). 116

Figure 4.39 Process flow diagram of the Lurgi AG Propylur process (Bulkatov, 2008). 117

Figure 4.40 Mobil Olefin Interconversion (MOI) process. (Harandi, 1992) 118

Figure 4.41 Declining selectivity to C₂ products with increasing C₁ conversion (Maitra, 1993). 119

Figure 4.42 Plasma reactor for methane conversion to unsaturated C₂ products (Gordon et al., 2003). 120

Figure 4.43 Effect of metal loading on C₂ selectivity. 1/1 H₂/CH₄ with 2% O₂, 1.2 s residence time, 4.55 W, 7 mm ID, 0 psig. Temperature optimized for each catalyst to produce the highest selectivity of C₂H₄ (Gordon et al., 2003). 120

Figure 4.44 Effect of residence time on methane conversion, power consumption, and overall C₂ selectivity. 0.025 wt.% Ag–0.025 wt.% Pd–Y-zeolite, 1/1 H₂/CH₄ with 2% O₂, 4.55 W, 7 mm ID, 0 psig (Gordon et al., 2003). 121

Figure 4.45 Effect of feed composition on methane conversion, power consumption, and overall C₂ selectivity, 0.025 wt.% Pd–Y-zeolite, 4.55 W, 7 mm ID, 0 psig (Gordon et al., 2003). 121

TABLES

Table 2.1 Top Ethylene Producers and Refiners with Largest FCC Capacity (True, 2011; Nieskens, 2007) 6

Table 2.2 World Production for Ethylene and Propylene: 2010 (Yim, 2011). 6

Table 2.3 World End-Use for Ethylene and Propylene: 2010 (Yim, 2011) 6

Table 2.4 World FCC Capacity, Feed Quality and FCC Catalyst Demand (True, et al., 2010). 9

Table 2.5 Emerging FCC-Based Processes for Maximizing Propylene from the Catalytic Cracking of Heavy Feeds (Aitani, 2006; Rigutto, 2010). 11

Table 2.6 Typical Operating Parameters for a DCC Unit Compared with FCC and Steam Cracking Units (Dharia, et al., 2009). 13

Table 2.7	World Production Capacity of Conventional FCC Catalyst and ZSM-5 Additive Along with New Types of Commercial High-Olefins FCC Catalysts and ZSM-5 Additives	19
Table 2.8	Major Companies Having Patents on Zeolite-based Catalytic Naphtha Cracking	23
Table 2.9	Representative Yields of Products from Thermal Cracking of Gaseous and Liquid Hydrocarbon Feedstocks (Dominov, et al., 2009).....	28
Table 2.10	Ethylene Cracker Technology Owners (Ren, et al., 2006; Walzl, 2010)	30
Table 4.1	A Typical Material Balance for the UOP-Norsk Hydro MTO Process (UOP/Norsk Hydro literature).....	74
Table 4.2	Product Distribution from Low- and High-temperature FT Synthesis (Jager, 2003).....	77
Table 4.3	Cyclic Content of High-temperature FT Synthesis at 320 °C (Pichler and Schulz, 1970).....	81
Table 4.4	Major Developments in Olefin Metathesis (Delaude and Noels, 2007)	98
Table 4.5	Advantages of the ABB Lummus Global Olefins Conversion Technology (OCT) (http://www.cbi.com/images/uploads/tech_sheets/Olefins.pdf , 2009)	99
Table 4.6	List of Existing and Planned OCT Processes from GS Engineering & Construction, December, 2008 (Propylene Technology by PDH & Metathesis (OCT), 2008).....	101
Table 4.7	Accomplishments of the Demonstration Plant (Debuisschert, 2004)	103
Table 4.8	Comparison of Re and W-based Catalysts Provided by Axens (Debuisschert, 2004).....	104
Table 4.9	Switching with Addition of H ₂ at 250 °C (Takai, 2010b)	105
Table 4.10	Ultimate Yields of the Superflex Process (Niccum et al., 2010; Tallman and Eng, 2008)	114
Table 4.11	Summary of Selective Cracking Processes (Belussi and Pollesel, 2005)	118
Table 4.12	Economic Considerations for DC Plasma Discharge Process (Gordon et al., 2003)	122
Table 4.13	Comparison of Olefin Cracking Technologies (Tallman et al., 2006).....	123