

ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO₂ CONVERSION TO FUELS

A Techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
October 2011



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxiii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF REPORT	1
1.2 ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES AND OPTIONS FOR CONVERTING CO ₂ TO FUELS.....	4
1.3 SOURCES OF CO ₂ AND DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EMISSIONS .	5
1.4 PRODUCTION OF FUELS VS. CHEMICALS USING CO ₂	10
1.5 USE OF CO ₂ TO DEVELOP ENERGY VECTORS	12
1.6 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	13
1.7 REFERENCES	16
2. CATALYTIC CONVERSION OF CO₂ TO FUELS.....	19
2.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION.....	19
2.2 REVIEW OF OPTIONS – SYNGAS, ACIDS, ALCOHOLS, ETHERS, HYDROCARBONS	25
2.3 PRODUCTION OF RENEWABLE H ₂ VS. USE OF HYDROCARBONS	29
2.4 TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION TO SYNGAS.....	30
2.4.1 Reverse Water Gas Shift (rWGS)	30
2.4.1.1 Opportunities for rWGS.....	31
2.4.1.2 Catalysts and reaction mechanism.....	32
2.4.2 CO ₂ Steam Reforming (Chiyoda Corp.).....	33
2.4.3 Dry Reforming of Methane	38
2.4.3.1 Catalysts and stability.....	39
2.4.4 Mixed Reforming and Tri-reforming (Oxo-reforming) of Methane	42
2.4.5 Comparison of the Alternatives	47
2.5 TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE CONVERSION OF CO ₂ TO ALCOHOLS, ALDEHYDES, ETHERS, AND HYDROCARBONS	49
2.5.1 Methanol, Ethanol and Dimethylether (DME) Synthesis from CO ₂	49
2.5.1.1 Methanol.....	50

2.5.1.2	Ethanol.....	54
2.5.1.3	Dimethylether (DME).....	57
2.5.1.4	Mitsui’s catalytic process for producing methanol from CO ₂ , based on their “Green House Gases-to-Chemical Resources (GTR)” technology and Carbon Recycling International (CRI) (clean Renewable Methanol – RM).....	59
2.5.1.5	The CAMERE process (CO ₂ hydrogenation to methanol via a reverse-water gas shift reaction) operated by the Korean Institute of Science & Technology (KIST).....	61
2.5.1.6	Reforming of methane by CO ₂ and steam to produce dimethyl ether (DME); Mitsubishi and Kansai Electric.....	63
2.5.2	Conversion of CO ₂ to Long-Chain (>C ₂) Alcohols and Hydrocarbons Using Biocatalysis and/or Electrocatalysis Methodologies	66
2.5.3	Fischer-Tropsch (FT) Synthesis with CO ₂ -rich Syngas	69
2.5.4	CO ₂ Hydrogenation to Feedstock Chemicals for Jet Fuel Using MWCNT	72
2.5.5	Synthetic Bioprocessing of CO ₂ to Fatty Acids for Jet Fuel Production.....	73
2.6	TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE CONVERSION CO ₂ TO FORMIC ACID	75
2.6.1	Electroreduction of CO ₂ : Mantra and DNV demonstration units.....	78
2.7	CO ₂ CONVERSION TO HYDROCARBONS AND ALCOHOLS USING RADIATION AND/OR ELECTRONS.....	82
2.7.1	Electro-, Photo- or Photoelectrocatalytic Conversion of CO ₂	82
2.7.1.1	General aspects	82
2.7.1.2	Options and main issues	84
2.7.1.3	Electro-reduction of CO ₂	85
2.7.1.4	Photoreduction of CO ₂	89
2.7.1.5	Limiting factors and issues in the photo/electro-reduction of CO ₂	91
2.7.1.6	Homogeneous catalysts for the electro-reduction of CO ₂	92
2.7.1.7	Solventless electro-reduction of CO ₂	94
2.7.1.8	PEC solar cells.....	95
2.7.1.9	Perspectives on improving performance	98
2.7.1.10	CO ₂ reduction using semiconductors.....	101
2.7.1.11	Recent trends in CO ₂ reduction using semiconductors.....	102
2.7.1.12	Reaction mechanism in CO ₂ reduction using semiconductors.....	105
2.7.2	Microwave and Plasma Processes	106

2.8	UTILIZATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE AS A HYDROGEN STORAGE MATERIAL	110
2.9	POTENTIAL COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS	114
2.10	REMAINING TECHNICAL/ECONOMIC HURDLES	119
2.11	REFERENCES	121
3.	CONVERSION OF CO₂ TO FUELS USING CONCENTRATED SOLAR ENERGY	141
3.1	STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION.....	141
3.2	REVIEW OF OPTIONS - SOLAR REFORMING, CO ₂ SPLITTING USING REDOX REACTIONS, SOLAR GASIFICATION, SOLAR ELECTROLYSIS	142
3.3	SOLAR THERMAL PROCESSES AND CYCLES.....	143
3.4	TECHNOLOGIES FOR CONCENTRATED SOLAR POWER (CSP) PLANTS.....	144
3.4.1	Parabolic Troughs, Linear Fresnel Reflectors, Parabolic Dishes, Solar Towers: Limits and Advantages, Efficiency, Cost, Applicability to Solar Fuel Production	145
3.4.1.1	Parabolic troughs	145
3.4.1.2	Solar tower plants	146
3.4.1.3	Parabolic dishes	147
3.4.1.4	Linear Fresnel reflectors	148
3.4.1.5	Solar fuel production	148
3.4.2	Solar Reactor Configurations	149
3.4.3	Downstream Processing to Produce Fuels	151
3.4.3.1	CO ₂ methanation.....	152
3.4.3.2	Methanol synthesis	153
3.4.3.3	Single step direct hydrogenation of CO ₂	153
3.4.3.4	Reverse water-gas-shift.....	153
3.4.3.5	Water gas shift	153
3.4.3.6	Fischer-Tropsch	154
3.5	TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION USING CONCENTRATED SOLAR ENERGY	156
3.5.1	Sunshine to Petrol and Counter Rotating Ring Receiver Reactor Recuperator (CR5).....	156

3.5.2	Solar Reforming Technology Platform (Sandia Nat. Lab., Renewable Energy Institute Int., Pacific Renewable Fuels, Pratt & Whitney Rocketdyne, Quanta Services, Desert Research Inst. and Clean Energy Systems alliance).....	158
3.5.3	SOLAREC	158
3.5.4	Caltech-ETH-PSI Alliance	159
3.5.5	Advances in Solar Fuels in Israel, Europe and Japan.....	160
3.5.6	High Temperature CO ₂ and Steam Co-electrolysis.....	163
3.6	LIFE-CYCLE CARBON FOOTPRINTS FOR SELECTED CONVERSION ROUTES.....	165
3.7	POTENTIAL COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS.....	166
3.8	REMAINING TECHNICAL/ECONOMIC HURDLES.....	167
3.9	REFERENCES	168
4.	BIOLOGICAL CO₂ CONVERSION TO FUELS AND FUEL SUBSTITUTES	173
4.1	OPTIONS AND STRATEGIES IN MICROBIAL CO ₂ REDUCTION.....	173
4.2	MICROALGAL CO ₂ REDUCTION.....	176
4.2.1	Overview of Microalgal and Macroalgal Processes.....	176
4.2.2	Microalgal Biofuel.....	180
4.2.3	Microalgal Product Formation.....	182
4.2.4	Methods to Improve CO ₂ Transfer	183
4.2.5	Photobioreactor Design	184
4.2.6	Milking	188
4.2.7	Algae Based Carbon Capture from Flue Gas	190
4.2.8	Strains Improvement by Genetic Engineering.....	191
4.2.9	Downstream Processing.....	193
4.2.10	Microalgal Biorefinery	197
4.2.11	Integration with Wastewater Treatment	198
4.2.12	Pilot Projects and Commercial Biofuel Companies	200
4.2.13	Technical/Economic Aspects.....	202
4.3	MICROBIAL FUELS.....	203
4.3.1	Approaches in Microbial Biofuel Production.....	203
4.3.2	Hydrogen Driven Fuel Production.....	204
4.3.3	Short Chain Length Alcohols	204
4.3.3.1	Ethanol.....	204

4.3.3.2 Propanol.....	205
4.3.3.3 Propanediol.....	205
4.3.4 Medium Chain Length Alcohols	205
4.3.5 Alkanes.....	210
4.3.6 Techno-economic Prospects for Fermentative Production	210
4.4 SYNGAS DRIVEN PRODUCTION OF BIOFUELS.....	211
4.5 MICROBIAL ELECTROSYNTHESIS FROM CO ₂	212
4.6 LIFE-CYCLE CARBON FOOTPRINTS FOR SELECTED PROCESSES	213
4.7 OUTLOOK FOR ECONOMIC AND COMMERCIAL IMPACTS	219
4.8 REFERENCES.....	220
5. INDEX.....	227

FIGURES

Figure ES-1	Paths for the Conversion of CO ₂ to Fuels and the Possible Integration with Production of Chemicals	xxiv
Figure 1.1.1	World energy-related CO ₂ emission savings by technology in the IEA World Energy Outlook 2010 <i>450 Scenario</i> relative to the <i>New Policies Scenario</i> . The 450 Scenario describes an evolution of the global energy systems consistent with the 2°C goal, through limitation of greenhouse gas concentration to around 450 parts per million of CO ₂ equivalent. The New Policies Scenario reflects national energy plans and pledges made by countries, including on their future emissions of greenhouse gases. <i>Source</i> : IEA, 2011.	2
Figure 1.3.1	World CO ₂ emissions by sector in 2008. Other includes commercial/public services, agriculture/forestry, fishing, energy industries other than electricity and heat generation, and other emissions not specified elsewhere. <i>Source</i> : IEA (2011a).....	6
Figure 1.3.2	Top 10 emitting countries in 2008. The top 10 emitting countries account for about two-thirds of the world CO ₂ emissions. <i>Source</i> : IEA (2011a).	6
Figure 1.3.3	Trends in CO ₂ emission intensities for the top 5 emitting countries. Size of circle represents total CO ₂ emissions from the country in that year. China, the Russian Federation and the United States have all made significant improvements in the amount of CO ₂ emissions per unit of GDP they emit. <i>Source</i> : IEA (2011a).....	7

Figure 1.3.4	Global spatial distributions of power plant emissions for the year 2007. The coordinate and emission data of power plants were taken from the CARMA database (CARbon Monitoring and Action, http://carma.org/). Values are given in units of Megatonne carbon/year. Source: Oda and Maksyutov (2011).....	7
Figure 1.3.5	National and regional total emission estimates for the year 2006. The yellow portion indicates emissions from point sources, and green indicates emissions from other sources (non-point). Values are given in units of Megatonne carbon/year. Source: Oda and Maksyutov (2011).	8
Figure 1.3.6	Top: global distribution of large stationary sources of CO ₂ . Bottom: areas in sedimentary basins where suitable saline formations, oil or gas fields, or coal beds are present for CCS. Source: IPCC (2005).....	9
Figure 1.3.7	Partial pressure of CO ₂ from the most important CO ₂ producing stationary processes.	10
Figure 2.1.1	Paths for the conversion of CO ₂ to fuels and the possible integration with production of chemicals.....	19
Figure 2.1.2	Key cost component in hydrogen generation by PEM electrolyzers. Source: adapted from DoE (2011c).	23
Figure 2.2.1	Pathways from CO ₂ to Liquid Fuels. Source: Rihko-Struckmann et al (2009).....	27
Figure 2.2.2	Simplified energy diagram for the energy storage-conversion chain using methanol as energy storage medium.....	28
Figure 2.4.2.1	Simplified scheme of the Chiyoda's reforming process. On the right, an overall view of JAPAN-GTL demonstration plant of the Chiyoda CO ₂ /steam reforming process. Source: Chiyoda (2011).....	35
Figure 2.4.2.2	EPMA (Electron Probe Micro Analyzer) analysis of the Chiyoda noble metal supported catalyst for the CO ₂ /steam reforming process. Source: Shimura <i>et al.</i> (2008).	36
Figure 2.4.2.3	Pilot plant test result of Chiyoda noble metal supported catalyst for the CO ₂ /steam reforming process. Source: Shimura <i>et al.</i> (2008).....	37
Figure 2.4.2.4	Left: feed gas conditions for Chiyoda CO ₂ /steam reforming process with respect to conventional process. Right: estimated plant cost for synthesis gas production in the Chiyoda process with respect to the conventional one. Source: Shimura <i>et al.</i> (2008).	37
Figure 2.4.3.1.1	Influence of the Ni particle size on the coking rate (at steam-to-carbon ratio of 0.5) and on carbon thresholds for steam methane reforming (T = 823 K and P = 20 bar). Source: adapted from Christensen <i>et al.</i> , 2006	41
Figure 2.4.4.1	Conceptual design of the process for tri-reforming natural gas using flue gas from fossil fuel-based power plants. Source: Song and Pan (2004).	43

Figure 2.4.4.2	Configuration of ITRPPs/MeOH (top) and of ISRPPs/MeOH systems. Source: Minutillo and Perna (2010).	44
Figure 2.4.4.3	CO ₂ conversion (A, top left), H ₂ /CO ratios (B, top right) and CH ₄ conversion (C, bottom left) in the tri-reforming reaction over 100 mg supported Ni catalysts at 1 atm and feed composition of CH ₄ : CO ₂ : H ₂ O: O ₂ = 1: 0.48: 0.54: 0.1 (CH ₄ flow rate = 25 ml/min). Time-on-stream profile (D, bottom right) for CO ₂ and CH ₄ conversions in the tri-reforming reaction over Ni-MgO-CeZrO catalyst, at 1123 K under 1 atm, with feed composition of CH ₄ : CO ₂ : H ₂ O: O ₂ = 1: 0.475: 0.475: 0.1 (CH ₄ flow rate = 25 ml/min, in a stainless reactor). Source: Song, 2006.	46
Figure 2.4.5.1	The enthalpy of reactions for syngas production. The reactions are labelled as DRM (Dry Reforming of Methane), SRM (Steam Reforming of Methane) and POM (Partial Methane Oxidation). Source: Yiang <i>et al.</i> (2010).	49
Figure 2.5.1.1.1	Routes to methanol.	50
Figure 2.5.1.1.2	A cycle for sustainable methanol production. Source: Yiang <i>et al.</i> , 2010 (adapted from Olah <i>et al.</i> 2009).	51
Figure 2.5.1.1.3	Sketch of the catalyst surface and functionality of the various surface sites under CO ₂ hydrogenation conditions over Cu/ZrO ₂ catalyst. Source: Arena <i>et al.</i> (2008).	52
Figure 2.5.1.1.4	Water permselective membrane integrated in the methanol catalytic reactor.	53
Figure 2.5.1.2.1	C ₂ oxygenate formation activities as a function of time on stream for Rh-Mn nanoparticles deposited inside or outside the walls of carbon nanotubes (CNT). Reaction temperature: 593 K. The transmission electron microscopy images of the fresh samples are also reported. Source: adapted from Pan <i>et al.</i> (2007).	55
Figure 2.5.1.2.2	Proposed mechanism for the ethanol synthesis from CO ₂ + H ₂ , on [Rh ₁₀ Se]TiO ₂ catalyst. Source: Izumi (1997).	56
Figure 2.5.1.2.3	Conceptual scheme of the catalytic conversion of to ethanol and higher alcohols.	57
Figure 2.5.1.4.1	Scheme of the Mitsui process for methanol manufacture from CO ₂ and photo of the pilot plant. Source: http://www.mitsuichem.com	60
Figure 2.5.1.4.2	Conceptual scheme of the clean Renewable Methanol (RM) from CO ₂ developed by Carbon Recycling International. Source: http://www.carbonrecycling.is	61
Figure 2.5.1.5.1	Flow diagram for CAMERE process. Source: Joo <i>et al.</i> (2004).	62

Figure 2.5.1.5.2	Process flow scheme for a 4,000 m.tons/day MeOH plant from CO ₂ and H ₂ , designed by Toyo Engineering Corporation (TEC) according to NITE/RITE process. Source: Ushikoshi <i>et al.</i> (2000).	63
Figure 2.5.1.6.1	(a) Flow-sheet of the Kansai-Mitsubishi process. Operating conditions: T: 523 K (MK-2, MK-3), 573 K (D-4), pressure: 6 MPa, GHSV based on make-up gas: 1000 h ⁻¹ , gas composition H ₂ /CO/CO ₂ /CH ₄ = base/23.3/7.5/0.2 mol%, recycling ratio = 2(A), 4(B). (b) MeOH + DME yield ~2009). Source: Tatsumi <i>et al.</i> (2009).	64
Figure 2.5.1.6.2	Schematic diagram of the process of KOGAS 10 m.tons/day DME production Demo plant. Source: Lee <i>et al.</i> (2009).	65
Figure 2.5.2.1	(a) Isobutanol production from NaHCO ₃ using SA579. (b) Productivities (μg·l ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹) of isobutyraldehyde and isobutanol in comparison with EtOH from <i>S. elongatus</i> , H ₂ from (1) <i>Anabaena variabilis</i> PK84, (2) <i>Anabaena variabilis</i> AVM13, (3) <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> (4) <i>Oscillatoria sp. Miami</i> BG7, and lipid from <i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i> . Source: Atsumi <i>et al.</i> (2009).	66
Figure 2.5.2.2	Butanol-production pathways branched from the Calvin cycle using the reducing power (NADPH) and energy (ATP) from the photosynthetic water splitting and proton-coupled transport process to reduce CO ₂ into butanol with a series of enzymatic reactions (Lee, 2009).	67
Figure 2.5.2.3	Products distribution at 333 K in the electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide in gas phase over Nafion 117/(Pt or Fe(10%)/CNT)20%/carbon cloth GDM (gas diffusion membrane) electrode. (Centi <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Gangeri <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Centi and Perathoner, 2010b)	68
Figure 2.5.3.1	Hydrocarbon distributions of CO ₂ and CO hydrogenation over Fe–Zn–Zr/HY catalyst (613 K, 5 MPa, H ₂ /CO ₂ = 3.0, H ₂ /CO = 2.0) (Ni <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	72
Figure 2.5.5.1	CO ₂ fixation and energy generation for a microalgal biodiesel production system. Source: Zeng <i>et al.</i> (2011)	74
Figure 2.6.1	Microfluidic reactor for CO ₂ conversion (Whipple <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	79
Figure 2.6.2	Schematic diagram of the Mantra ERC technology. Source: www.mantraenergy.com	80
Figure 2.6.3	FA utilization in the pickling process of a 13,000 tpd steel plant. Source: www.mantraenergy.com/projects/co2-conversion.html	81
Figure 2.6.4	The ECFORM process: cathode and anode reactions, and a schematic diagram of the electrochemical cell.	81
Figure 2.7.1.3.1	Dependence of the formation of products from the potential and partial current densities in the CO ₂ reduction in methanol under pressure and using Cu-foil electrode. Source: adapted from Gattrell <i>et al.</i> (2006).	86

Figure 2.7.1.3.2	Overview of the key aspects in the reaction mechanism of CO ₂ reduction over Cu electrodes. Source: adapted from Gattrell et al. (2006).	87
Figure 2.7.1.3.3	Comparison of the energy efficiencies and current densities for CO ₂ reduction to formic acid, syngas, and hydrocarbons (methane and ethylene) reported in the literature with those of water electrolyzers. Efficiencies of electrolyzers are total system efficiencies, while the CO ₂ conversion efficiencies only include cathode losses and neglect anode and system losses. Source: Whipple and Kenis (2010).....	88
Figure 2.7.1.4.1	Proposed mechanism of photocatalytic transformation of CO ₂ to methoxyl radical over TiO ₂ in the Presence of dissociated/bound water. Source: Dimitrijevic <i>et al.</i> , (2011).....	91
Figure 2.7.1.7.1	Comparison of the product distribution in CO ₂ electrocatalytic reduction at 298K on Fe@CNT (10 wt%. Fe): the iron particles are located both on the inner and outer surface of CNT (#1) or only on the inner surface (#2). Source: adapted from Centi and Perathoner (2010c).	95
Figure 2.7.1.8.1	(a) Simplified scheme of a PEC device for the CO ₂ reduction to fuels using solar energy. (b) View of lab-scale PEC device. Source: Centi and Perathoner (2010c).	97
Figure 2.7.1.8.2	Scanning electron microscopy of top view of thin nanostructured films obtained by anodic oxidation of Ti foils and showing the presence of an ordered array of TiO ₂ nanotubes. Source: adapted from Perathoner et al., 2007.	97
Figure 2.7.1.9.1	Conceptual model of the possible advanced design of PEC solar cells with respect to the actual design.....	100
Figure 2.7.1.10.1	Scheme of the photocatalytic conversion of CO ₂ to fuels over doped TiO ₂	101
Figure 2.7.1.10.2	Yields of CH ₄ and CH ₃ OH in the photocatalytic reduction of CO ₂ with H ₂ O at 323 K on the Ti-β(OH), TS-1, Ti-β (F) and TiO ₂ (P-25) catalysts. Intensity of light: 265 μW cm ⁻² . Reaction time: 6 h. Source: Kitano <i>et al.</i> , 2007.	104
Figure 2.7.1.10.3	Schematic representations of: (A) a photoelectrochemical cell (PEC) and (B) the Pt-loaded TiO ₂ (Pt/TiO ₂) particle system. Source: Kitano <i>et al.</i> , 2007..	105
Figure 2.7.2.1	Basic configurations of dielectric-barrier discharge (AC denotes a high voltage electrical source). Source: Istadi and Nor, 2006.....	107
Figure 2.8.1	Hydrogen storage based on carbon dioxide/formic acid catalytic cycle. Source: Enthaler <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	111

Figure 2.8.2	Energy density per weight vs. per volume in a series of liquid and gaseous fuels (from fossil sources, or renewables such as ethanol and DMF), H ₂ (liquid, gas, compressed at 700 bar, and stored in advanced nanomaterial) and electrical energy (Li batteries, conventional and advanced). NG: natural gas; DMF: dimethylfuran; LPG: liquefied petroleum gas. Source: Centi and Perathoner (2011).	111
Figure 2.8.3	Stability behavior of Ru-catalysts for the formic acid decomposition. Source: Boddien <i>et al.</i> (2010).	112
Figure 2.8.4	Formic acid synthesis and decomposition over Ru and Rh metal complexes in basic or acid conditions, respectively. Source: Himeda <i>et al.</i> (2011).	113
Figure 2.9.1	Overview of the possible methods to convert CO ₂ into fuels. Source: Graves <i>et al.</i> , 2011.	114
Figure 2.9.2	Estimate of synthetic fuel cost versus electricity price using (a) constant operation, (b) highly intermittent operation (a 20% capacity factor), and operating the electrolyzer at a higher current density with (c) constant operation and (d) highly intermittent operation. Source: Graves <i>et al.</i> (2011).	115
Figure 2.9.3	Comparison of CCR (RCO ₂ technology) vs. CCS approaches (Source: http://www.rco2.no).	118
Figure 3.4.1.1.1	Schematic representation of a parabolic trough.	145
Figure 3.4.1.2.1	Schematic representation of a solar tower.	146
Figure 3.4.1.3.1	Schematic representation of a parabolic dish.	147
Figure 3.4.1.4.1	Schematic representation of Linear Fresnel Reflectors.	148
Figure 3.4.2.1	Various types of solar reactors (Bilgen <i>et al.</i> 1981).	149
Figure 3.4.2.2	Absorption and heat transfer of tubular and volumetric reactors (adapted from Hoffschmidt (1997)).	151
Figure 3.4.3.1	Pathways of CO ₂ conversion to solar fuels (adapted from Graves <i>et al.</i> 2011a).	152
Figure 3.5.1.1	The CR5 rotating receiver/ reactor/recuperator developed by SANDIA (Diver <i>et al.</i> 2006).	157
Figure 3.5.1.2	The CR5 reactor used for (a) H ₂ O-splitting; and (b) for CO ₂ -splitting (adapted from Burdick (2008).	157
Figure 3.5.3.1	Second generation dish prototype (Dunlop 2004).	159
Figure 3.5.4.1	Schematic of the solar thermochemical cavity receiver/reactor for the two-step dissociation of water and carbon dioxide (Chueh <i>et al.</i> 2010).	159

Figure 3.5.4.2	Thermal profiles and gases evolution during (a) CO ₂ -splitting and (b) H ₂ O-splitting over ceria. The experiments were performed with a solar reactor of ceria (325 g) that was thermochemically cycled under mixtures of argon with CO ₂ or H ₂ O respectively (Chueh et al. 2010).....	160
Figure 3.5.5.1	(a) Optical path of the beam-down solar tower concentrating system “Cassegrain optical configuration” at the Weizmann Institute; (b) conceptual design of a 5 MW solar reactor for the carbothermal reduction of ZnO (Epstein et al. 2008).....	161
Figure 3.5.5.2	(a) Scheme of the two-stage, HYDROSOL water-splitting/hydrogen production; (b) side view of reactor of the 100 kWth-scale dual-chamber HYDROSOL reactor on the top of the solar tower facility at CIEMAT in Almeria, Spain.....	162
Figure 3.5.5.3	Configuration of the two-chamber rotating reactor (Kaneko et al. 2006)...	162
Figure 3.5.5.4	(a) Experimental apparatus for the thermal reduction step (top) and the water-splitting step (bottom); (b) diagram of a windowed fluidized bed reactor combined with beam-down type solar concentrator (Gokon et al. 2006; 2008).....	163
Figure 3.5.6.1	Schematic description of solar fuels preparation with the aid of high temperature CO ₂ /H ₂ O co-electrolysis (Graves et al. 2011b).....	164
Figure 3.6.1	Comparison of greenhouse gas emissions for different renewable and advanced fossil fired power plants (Viebahn et al. 2011).	166
Figure 4.2.1.1	Green tide of <i>Enteromorpha prolifera</i> , detached from raft- structures for cultivating <i>Porphyra yezoensis</i> . At sea, the patch covered 400 km ² and the dry weight of the algae was calculated to 142 000 MT. Picture from China Ocean News, cited in (Liu et al. 2009)	177
Figure 4.2.2.1	Lipid contents in various green microalgae (compiled literature data). Open symbols: non-starved; closed symbols: nutrient limited. Source: Hu <i>et al.</i> (2008)	181
Figure 4.2.2.2	Effects of nitrogen depletion on lipid contents in <i>Chlorococcum littorale</i> . The values on the abscissa axis are biomass concentration at different stages of batch cultures set up in medium with identical nitrogen concentrations (10.7 mM). At biomass 0.79 g DW L ⁻¹ , external nitrate concentration had reduced to 0. Signatures: Grey: neutral lipids, white: glycol lipids and black: phospholipids. Source: Ota <i>et al.</i> (2011).	182
Figure 4.2.5.1	Output rate (= productivity) of a raceway as affected by rotational speed of paddlewheel (slow = 15 rpm, fast = 30 rpm). The abscissa axis indicates that higher turbulence allows a higher biomass and subsequently, a higher productivity. Source: Richmond (1992).....	185
Figure 4.2.5.2	Raceway ponds at Earthrise Farms. Source: Earthrise Farms.....	185
Figure 4.2.5.3	Horizontal tubular photobioreactor. Source: Chisti (2007)	186

Figure 4.2.5.4	Principle of closely spaced flat panels. Picture from Molina Grima, Univ. Almeria. Source: Posten (2009).....	187
Figure 4.2.5.5	Vertical closely spaced flat panel photobioreactors with <i>Nannochloropsis</i> . Source: Rodolfi <i>et al.</i> (2009).....	188
Figure 4.2.6.1	A flat plate laboratory photobioreactor with a lower aqueous phase and an upper dodecane phase. Source: Hejazi <i>et al.</i> (2004).....	189
Figure 4.2.6.2	Biological activity (motility, photosynthesis) in <i>Dunaliella salina</i> in solvents with increasing hydrophobicity (octanol partition coefficient). Source: Hejazi <i>et al.</i> (2002).....	189
Figure 4.2.7.1	Dissolved inorganic carbon, dissolved organic carbon and pH in the medium of <i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i> at different CO ₂ inclusion levels in the sparging air. Source: Tang <i>et al.</i> (2011).....	191
Figure 4.2.8.1	A schematized algal cell, showing pathways that might be manipulated to enhance or improve the yields. Source: Zeng <i>et al.</i> (2011).....	192
Figure 4.2.9.1	Conventional biodiesel manufacturing process based on oil crops. Source: Xu and Mi (2011).....	195
Figure 4.2.10.1	Value composition of 1 MT of microalgal biomass with a gross composition of 40 % lipids, 10 % carbohydrates and 50 % protein. Wijffels <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	197
Figure 4.2.10.2	Proposed biorefinery process based on thermochemical liquefaction of <i>Spirulina</i> biomass. Source: Jena <i>et al.</i> (2011).....	198
Figure 4.3.4.1	Various routes to biobutanol fermentation. Source: Nexant and strategies (2009).....	206
Figure 4.3.4.2	Constitutional isomers of butanol: from left: n-butanol, isobutanol, sec-butanol and tert-butanol.	207
Figure 4.3.4.3	Pathways in the production of medium chain-length alcohols from amino acid biosynthesis. KDC: 2-ketoacid decarboxylase, ADH: Alcohol dehydrogenase. Source: Atsumi <i>et al.</i> (2008).....	209
Figure 4.6.1	CO ₂ emission reductions, compared to normal fossil fuels of different biofuel productions. The biofuel crop effects do not consider effects change of land use at the transition to biofuel crops but effects on greenhouse gas emissions such as use of fertilizers for the production of the biofuels are included. Source: (FAO 2008).....	214
Figure 4.6.2	CO ₂ emission for 1st generation bioethanol with different crops and prior land use. Source (Lange 2011).....	215
Figure 4.6.3	Indication of recession of soil in converted Florida Wetlands. Photo: D. Morris, USDA-ARS, Canal Pt. FL. Source (Baker <i>et al.</i> 2007)...	216

Figure 4.6.4 Net photosynthesis (Carbon accumulation in total plant per ha per year) and average daily irradiation for various typical biofuel crops. (Murphy *et al.*, 2011)..... 217

Figure 4.6.5 Energy in products and process requirements with production of 1 MJ biodiesel energy with 4 different processes. For the wet extraction route, biodiesel yield is less and oilcake fraction is larger. Source: Lardon *et al.* (2009). 219

TABLES

Table 1.3.1 Properties of a Gas Stream that can be Inputted to a Capture Process..... 10

Table 2.1.1 Key Cost Component in Hydrogen Generation by PEM Electrolyzers (adapted from DoE, 2011c)..... 23

Table 2.2.1 Main Reactions for Syngas Production by Tri-reforming of Natural Gas (Jiang *et al.*, 2010)..... 26

Table 2.2.2 Consumption of H₂, Formation of Water and Energy Density of Final Product in the Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Formic Acid, Methanol or Methane 28

Table 2.5.1.5.1 Comparison between KIST (CAMERE) and NITE/RITE processes for CO₂ conversion to methanol (bench-scale, 50-100 kgMeOH/day). Source: Carbon Dioxide Reduction and Sequestration (CDRS) R&D Center, Korea (2007). 63

Table 2.5.5.1 Carbon Dioxide Capturing Capacity and Biodiesel Productivity of Microalgae and Some Higher Plants 74

Table 2.7.1.3.1 The Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ under 30 atm Pressure at 163 mA/cm (298K, 0.1 mol/dm³ KHCO₃, Charge Passed 300°C) (Adapted from Hara *et al.*, 1995). 86

Table 2.7.1.10.1 Selected Recent Studies on CO₂ Reduction Photocatalysis Source: Adapted from Usubharatana *et al.*, 2006..... 103

Table 2.8.1 Catalytic Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Formic Acid (Federsel *et al.*, 2010)..... 113

Table 3.1.1 Examples of technological application of CO₂ (adapted from Aresta, 2010)..... 142

Table 4.1.1 Current Global Transportation Biofuel Production (FAO, 2008). 174

Table 4.1.2 Global Sustainable Biomass Resources Distributed on Region and Type; the Use (2002 figures) Shows Large Untapped Resources in North and Latin America, Whereas Asia Uses 108 % of its Potential (Parikka, 2004)..... 175

Table 4.2.1.1	World Microalgal Production	176
Table 4.2.1.2	Global Seaweed Production in 2004 from Chopin & Sawhney, 2009; Seaweeds and their Mariculture, pp. 4477-4487 (Soto 2009)	177
Table 4.2.1.3	Generalized Biochemical Composition of Macro- and Microalgae	177
Table 4.2.1.4	Methane Yield from Various Macroalgae Species (Harun <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	180
Table 4.2.3.1	Main Microalgal Products - In Addition, Very Small Quantities of High Cost Chromatographic and Isotope Products are Made From Microalgae	183
Table 4.2.7.1	Global Large CO ₂ Sources and Trading (Source: Xu <i>Et Al.</i> , 2010)	190
Table 4.2.9.1	Typical Biomass Concentrations in the Broth from Various Reactor Types.....	193
Table 4.2.9.2	Cost Efficiency of Different Centrifugal Techniques for Microalgae. The Energy Requirement Per M ³ is the Fundamental Parameter and Cost of Harvesting will Therefore Depend Also on the Concentration in the Feed. Grima <i>Et Al.</i> (2003).....	194
Table 4.2.9.3	Process Characteristics of Standard Methylation Process and Combined Supercritical Methanol Extraction-Transesterification Process. Source: Demirbas (2006).....	196
Table 4.2.11.1	Energy requirement and yields from microalgal wastewater treatment with conversion of biomass to electrical power. Data, recalculated to the base of treated waste water volumes from Craggs <i>et al.</i> (2011). Note: centrifuging and flotation are two alternative harvest methods; similarly biodiesel formation and gasification are two alternatives.....	199
Table 4.2.12.1	List of Companies with Significant R&D Within Microalgal Biofuels Based Mainly on Bruton <i>et al.</i> (2009)	200
Table 4.2.12.2	List of Companies with Significant Pilot Plant Facilities, Including Year of Establishment. Based Mainly on Bruton <i>et al.</i> (2009)	200
Table 4.2.13.1	Unit Costs (Cost per kg DW Produced) for Producing Microalgal Biomass in the Netherlands (Norsker <i>et al.</i> , 2011).....	203
Table 4.2.13.2	Sensitivity Analysis: Total Cost Reduction with Different Scenarios (Norsker <i>et al.</i> , 2011).....	203
Table 4.3.4.1	Energy requirement and total amortized extraction costs for a number of butanol extraction processes. Source: Kraemer <i>et al.</i> (2011)	207
Table 4.3.4.2	A Range of Solvent Producing Strains and their Performance Compiled From Several Sources, Including Reviews	208
Table 4.6.1	Global Carbon Sources and Sinks (Pg = Gigatonnes) for Conversion to CO ₂ Equivalents, the Figures should be Multiplied with 44/12 (Pan <i>et al.</i> , 2011).....	215

Table 4.6.2	Energy Balance in Conventional Diesel, Soybean Diesel and Microalgal Diesel. The Microalgal Process is the Solix Biofuel Process. (Batan et al., 2010).....	217
Table 4.6.3	CO ₂ Emission from Microalgal Biodiesel Manufacturing, with (Lower) and without (Upper) Local Co-Generation of Electricity, Based on Gasification of De-Lipidated Biomass. Source: Xu and Mi (2011).....	218

SCHEMES

Scheme 2.6.1	Use of ionic liquids containing two tertiary amino groups on the cation to enhances the yield of formic acid in CO ₂ hydrogenation (Zhang et al., 2009a).....	77
Scheme 2.7.1.6.1	Inner-sphere interaction between the catalyst and substrate involving the formation of a radical pyridinium-CO ₂ complex intermediate. On the right, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the pyridinium-CO ₂ radical adduct, showing a π -bonding interaction between the nitrogen of pyridine and the carbon of CO ₂ . Source: Barton Cole <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	93
Scheme 2.7.1.6.2	Overall proposed mechanism for the pyridinium-catalyzed reduction of CO ₂ to the various products of formic acid, formaldehyde, and methanol. Source: Barton Cole <i>et al.</i> (2010).....	93
Scheme 2.7.1.9.1	Common bonding modes observed for transition metal CO ₂ complexes	99