

CONVERSION OF CO₂ TO SYNGAS AND SYNTHETIC NATURAL GAS (SNG): TECHNOLOGIES AND MARKETS

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
December 2013



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.2 OVERVIEW OF CO ₂ CONVERSION OPTIONS.....	2
1.3 MARKET & TECHNOLOGY DRIVERS FOR CO ₂ -BASED SYNGAS AND SNG.....	2
1.4 CHALLENGES TO USING CO ₂ AS A FEEDSTOCK.....	3
1.5 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	3
1.6 REFERENCES.....	6
2. CO₂ CONVERSION TO SYNGAS	7
2.1 STATE OF "BENCHMARK" TECHNOLOGIES FOR SYNGAS PRODUCTION	7
2.1.1 Steam Methane Reforming (SMR)	7
2.1.1.1 Key reactions.....	8
2.1.1.2 Catalysts	8
2.1.1.3 Process concepts/reactors.....	9
2.1.2 Gasification	10
2.1.2.1 Key reactions.....	11
2.1.2.2 Process concepts/reactors.....	11
2.1.2.3 Environmental benefits	12
2.1.3 Partial oxidation of methane	12
2.1.3.1 Key reactions.....	12
2.1.3.2 Process concepts/Reactors.....	13
2.1.4 Others	14
2.1.5 Outlook.....	15
2.2 CO ₂ CONVERSION TO SYNGAS VIA DRY REFORMING OF METHANE (DRM)	16
2.2.1 Processes/ Catalysts/ Other Factors.....	17
2.2.1.1 Processes	17
2.2.1.2 Catalysts	18

2.2.1.3 Other factors	23
2.2.2 Enabling technologies	23
2.2.2.1 Calcor technology	23
2.2.2.2 Carbon Sciences technology	25
2.2.2.3 Others	25
2.2.3 Outlook.....	25
2.3 CO ₂ CONVERSION TO SYNGAS VIA OTHER DEVELOPMENTAL ROUTES	26
2.3.1 Bireforming.....	26
2.3.1.1 Processes	26
2.3.1.2 Catalysts	27
2.3.2 Oxidative dry reforming.....	28
2.3.2.1 Processes	28
2.3.2.2 Catalysts	29
2.3.3 Trireforming.....	29
2.3.3.1 Processes	29
2.3.3.2 Catalysts	31
2.3.4 Electrochemical route.....	32
2.3.4.1 Processes	32
2.3.4.2 Performances.....	33
2.3.5 Solar-based Thermochemistry.....	33
2.3.5.1 Processes	33
2.3.5.2 Performances.....	35
2.3.6 Plasma route	36
2.3.6.1 Processes	36
2.3.6.1 Performances.....	36
2.3.7 Enabling Technologies.....	38
2.3.7.1 SPARG technology	38
2.3.7.2 KOGAS technology	38
2.3.7.3 Carnol technology	39

2.3.7.4 BASF technology	39
2.3.7.5 Haldor Topsøe technology	39
2.3.8 Outlook.....	39
2.4 COMMERCIAL/MARKET SIZE(S) AND OPPORTUNITIES.....	40
2.4.1 Value chain of CO ₂	40
2.4.2 Syngas market	41
2.4.3 Syngas production capacity.....	42
2.4.4 CO ₂ to syngas.....	42
2.5 REMAINING HURDLES.....	43
2.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	45
2.7 REFERENCES.....	46
3. CO₂ CONVERSION TO SYNTHETIC NATURAL GAS (SNG)	53
3.1 SNG PRODUCTION: INTRODUCTION.....	53
3.1.1 Needs for SNG Production.....	53
3.1.2 CCS and CCU	54
3.2 TECHNOLOGIES FOR SNG PRODUCTION FROM SYNGAS.....	56
3.3 SNG PRODUCTION FROM CO ₂	59
3.3.1 Catalytic CO ₂ conversion.....	59
3.3.1.1 Catalysts	59
3.3.1.2 Reaction mechanism	61
3.3.1.3 Reaction and process technology	61
3.3.2 Photocatalytic CO ₂ conversion.....	62
3.3.3 Electrochemical CO ₂ conversion.....	65
3.3.3.1 Electrodes for methane production via ERC.....	66
3.3.4 Membrane assisted CO ₂ conversion.....	68
3.4 COMMERCIAL/MARKET SIZE ANALYSIS.....	69
3.4.1 Commercialization	69
3.4.2 Markets.....	70
3.5 PROS AND CONS AND SUSTAINABILITY	71

3.6	CONCLUDING REMARKS	73
3.7	REFERENCES.....	74
4.	CO₂ UTILIZATION IN “POWER TO GAS”	81
4.1	STATE OF TECHNOLOGY	81
4.2	CO ₂ UTILIZATION IN “POWER TO GAS”	82
4.2.1	Electricity to H ₂	82
4.2.1.1	Hydrogen usage and production.....	82
4.2.1.2	Hydrogen for energy storage.....	82
4.2.2	Electrolysis, Other routes	83
4.2.2.1	Electrolysis	83
4.2.2.2	Others	85
4.2.3	CO ₂ Utilization in Gas.....	85
4.2.4	Enabling Technologies	86
4.2.4.1	The first full-scale stand-alone wind-hydrogen project in the World	86
4.2.4.2	Residential H ₂ to power in Denmark.....	87
4.2.4.3	First sale of residential H ₂ to power in Germany	87
4.2.4.4	Public transportation with H ₂ fuel	87
4.2.4.5	Public transportation with Hythane [®] fuel.....	88
4.2.4.6	H ₂ storage for automobile fueling	88
4.2.4.7	Solid H ₂ storage.....	88
4.2.4.8	The Audi e-gas for automobile fuel	88
4.3	COMMERCIAL/MARKET OPPORTUNITIES	89
4.3.1	Markets.....	89
4.3.2	Participants	90
4.4	TECHNICAL/ECONOMIC HURDLES	91
4.4.1	Cost-effective H ₂ Generation, Energy Storage, Others.....	91
4.4.1.1	Cost effective H ₂ generation	91
4.4.1.2	Energy storage.....	92
4.4.1.3	Others	92

4.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	93
4.6 REFERENCES.....	94
5. INDEX.....	97

FIGURES

Figure 2.1.1.1	Reaction rate vs metal dispersion on ZrO ₂ , Al ₂ O ₃ and MgAl ₂ O ₄ support at 500°C with steam/CH ₄ molar ratio of 4 (adapted from Jones et al., 2008).....	9
Figure 2.1.3.1	Basics of (a) multi-purpose gasification and (b) autothermal reforming reactors (adapted from Lurgi, 2003).....	13
Figure 2.1.4.1	The hydromethanation process developed by GreatPoint Energy.	15
Figure 2.2.1	Number of publications (blue) and patents (red) published per year dealing with CO ₂ reforming of methane or dry reforming. Source: SciFinder database, last accessed September 18, 2013).	16
Figure 2.2.1.1	Schematic representation of bifunctional catalysis on basic support (adapted from Bachiller-Baeza et al. 2013).....	20
Figure 2.2.1.2	Ni particles diameter (blue) and amount of coke (red) on NiB _x /Al ₂ O ₃ catalysts (adapted from Fouskas et al., 2013).....	21
Figure 2.2.2.1	Schematic flow diagram for Calcor Standard process	23
Figure 2.3.1.1	CO ₂ and CH ₄ conversion in bireforming of methane over 15 wt% Ni/MgO at 0.7 MPa and 830°C. 100 mL min ⁻¹ of CH ₄ /CO ₂ /H ₂ O with a molar ratio of 3/1.2/2.4 and GHSV of 6 10 ⁴ mL h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ catalyst. Reprint from permission of Olah et al., 2013 (American Chemical Society).....	27
Figure 2.3.3.1	Thermodynamic equilibrium with temperature at 0.1 MPa from a feed of 8.4v% CO ₂ , 12.9v% H ₂ O, 5.8v% O ₂ , and 60v% N ₂ (Halmann and Steinfeld, 2006).....	30
Figure 2.3.5.1	Solar power concentration: the principle (left), picture of heliostats and furnace at PROMES-CNRS, France (right).....	34
Figure 2.3.5.2	Ceria temperature profile, CO, H ₂ and O ₂ production rate, and H ₂ /CO molar ratio during ten consecutive splitting cycles. Experimental conditions: 3.6 and 0.7 kW radiation power input during reduction and oxidation steps, respectively; H ₂ O/CO ₂ = 6.7; reduction and oxidation steps performed at constant time intervals of 30 and 15 minutes, respectively (Furler et al., 2012)	35
Figure 2.3.6.1	Schematic representation of the catalyst-packed dielectric barrier discharge reactor for dry reforming of methane. Reprint from permission of Mahammadunnisa et al., 2013 (American Chemical Society).	36

Figure 2.3.6.2	(a) Schematic representation of the shade reactor, CH ₄ /CO ₂ /O ₂ fed at room temperature and atmospheric pressure from the bottom; (b) Picture of the 2.5 cm ³ shade reactor at gas residence time of 0.1 s and SEI of 84 kJ mol ⁻¹ ; (c) CH ₄ , CO ₂ and O ₂ conversion with time on stream (Liu et al., 2013).	37
Figure 2.3.7.2	Schematic flow diagram of KOGAS trireforming process.	38
Figure 2.4.1.1	CO ₂ emissions percentage by regions (EIA, 2010)	41
Figure 2.5.1	Energy cost for the production of 1 mol of syngas through different processes. (A) Perfect CO ₂ dissociation-100% conversion, 100% chemical energy efficiency; (B) CO ₂ dissociation by dielectric barrier discharge; (C) CO ₂ dissociation by thermal plasma jet; (D) CO ₂ dissociation by DC corona discharge; (E) CO ₂ dissociation by gliding arc plasmatron; (F) CO ₂ dissociation by dielectric barrier discharge with catalyst; (G) CO ₂ dissociation glow discharge with catalyst; (H) perfect dry reforming; (I) dry reforming by glow discharge; (J) dry reforming by microwave discharge; (K) dry reforming by binode thermal plasma; (L) perfect steam reforming; (M) partial oxidation-pilot plant (Texaco Shell); (N) partial oxidation by gliding arc Tornado; (O) autothermal reforming-pilot plant; (P) coal gasification-fixed bed, bituminous (Sasol-Lurgi); (Q) coal gasification-fixed bed, lignite(Sasol-Lurgi); (R) coal gasification-fixed bed, anthracite (Sasol-Lurgi); (S) coal gasification-slugging bed, bituminous. Reprint from permission of Lebouvier et al. 2013 (American Chemical Society).....	44
Figure 3.2.1	General scheme of the process chain from a solid carbon source to SNG (modified from Kopyscinski et al., 2010).....	56
Figure 3.2.2	High-efficiency SNG production system (modified from Rabou & Bos, 2012)	58
Figure 3.3.2.1	Carbon dioxide conversion to hydrocarbon fuels by sunlight-driven routes (based on Varghese et al., 2009).....	64
Figure 3.3.3.1	Electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ to methane and ethylene on various studied electrodes, electrolyte solutions (aqueous and non-aqueous) (modified from Halmann and Steinberg, 1999; Jhong et al., 2013).....	66
Figure 3.3.4.1	Possible configurations for the membrane applications in the framework of SNG production. A) Upgrading and purification of raw SNG via membrane system. B) Three potential options for membrane applications in CO ₂ conversion to SNG, membranes for CCS to purify the raw CO ₂ gas to high purity gas before feeding it into a methanation reactor, membrane assisted reactor for selective removal of by-product water-vapor and distribution of pure H ₂ for example in a Pd-based membrane to control the reaction.	68
Figure 4.2.2.1	Thermodynamics of the water splitting process at 0.1 MPa: (a)energy with temperature, (b) cell voltage with temperature, and (c) cell voltage with pressure at three different temperatures (adapted from Millet, 2012).	84

Figure 4.2.4.1	Utsira wind-hydrogen integrated system.....	86
Figure 4.2.4.2	Cities involved in the HYFLEET:CUTE project, and bus fueling station in Berlin.	87
Figure 4.2.4.3	Audi e-gas plant maquette.....	89
Figure 4.4.1.1	E.ON electrolysis hydrogen station for the injection of hydrogen into the gas grid at Falkenhagen, Germany, showing the 2 MW electrolyzers installed by Hydrogenics.....	92
Figure 4.4.1.2	Discharge time vs storage capacity of flywheels, batteries, compressed airenergy storage (CAES), pumped hydro storage (PHS), hydrogen (H ₂) and synthetic natural gas (SNG). Adapted from Specht et al. (2009).	93

TABLES

Table ES.1	Selection of Power to Gas Demonstration Projects in Europe (Grond et al, 2013).....	xxv
Table 2.2.1	Installed Calcor Technology Around the World (adapted from www.caloric.com/en/produkte/co-plants/co-plants.html)	24
Table 2.2.2	Optimized CH ₄ /CO ₂ /H ₂ O Feed Molar Ratio and Corresponding Parameters of Syngas. (Adapted from Demidov et al., 2011).....	26
Table 3.1.2.1	Present and Short Term Usage of CO ₂ (modified from Aresta et al., 2013).	55
Table 3.3.2.1	Some Photocatalysts Found Possible Especially for SNG Production (Tahir and Amin, 2013a; Mao et al., 2013; Cybula et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013; Varghese et al., 2009, Zhai et al., 2013, Li et al., 2010, Corma and Garcia, 2013, Mei et al., 2013, Li et al., 2012)	63
Table 4.3.2	Selection of Demonstration Projects in Europe (Grond et al., 2013).....	91

SCHEMES

Scheme 2.2.1.1	Basics of Eley-Rideal and Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism in dry reforming.	18
Scheme 2.2.1.2	Main approaches of catalyst design.....	18
Scheme 2.2.1.3	Schematic reaction network of carbon formation and TEM image of encapsulated nickel catalyst into whisker (adapted from Trimm, 1997).....	19
Scheme 2.3.2.1	Reaction pathways for methane partial oxidation to syngas.	28
Scheme 2.3.3.1	Flue gases value chain by the trireforming process.	30
Scheme 2.3.4.1	Basic principle of SOEC for the co-electroreduction of CO ₂ and steam.	32
Scheme 2.6.1	Schematic representation of the network of processes to syngas.....	46

Scheme 4.1	“Power to Gas” scenario.....	81
Scheme 4.2.2	Principle of water electrolysis with (a) alkaline, (b) PEM, and (c) SOEC cells.....	83
Scheme 4.3.1	Power and gas grid network for different markets.....	90