

INNOVATIVE MATERIALS AND PROCESSES FOR CO₂ CAPTURE

A Techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
June 2012
(for the 2011 membership year)



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF REPORT	1
1.2 METHODOLOGY FOR TOPIC SELECTION	3
1.3 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	8
2. INNOVATIVE MATERIALS AND PROCESSES FOR POST-COMBUSTION CO₂ CAPTURE	11
2.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS.....	11
2.2 INNOVATIVE ABSORPTION-BASED APPROACHES	13
2.2.1 Amino Acid Salt Absorption Process (Siemens POSTCAP)	13
2.2.1.1 Description and Status	13
2.2.1.1.1 Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources	13
2.2.1.1.2 Size/Location	13
2.2.1.1.3 Objectives and Timeline	14
2.2.1.1.4 Technology Description.....	15
2.2.1.2 Benchmarking and Economic Data	18
2.2.1.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data	18
2.2.1.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics	19
2.2.1.3 Assessment and Implications	21
2.2.1.3.1 Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives).....	21
2.2.1.3.2 Commercial Potential	21
2.2.1.3.3 Hurdles (Technical/Economic).....	22
2.2.1.4 Summary and Conclusions	24
2.2.2 Phase-Changing Absorbent Process (General Electric)	25
2.2.2.1 Description and Status	25
2.2.2.1.1 Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources	25
2.2.2.1.2 Size/Location.....	25
2.2.2.1.3 Objectives and Timeline	26
2.2.2.1.4 Technology Description.....	26

2.2.2.2 Benchmarking and Economic Data	33
2.2.2.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data	33
2.2.2.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics	33
2.2.2.3 Assessment and Implications.....	34
2.2.2.3.1 Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives).....	34
2.2.2.3.2 Commercial Potential	34
2.2.2.3.3 Hurdles (Technical/Economic)	34
2.2.2.4 DOE-NETL Projects -- Other Phase-Changing Adsorbent Programs	35
2.2.2.4.1 University of Notre Dame (UND) – Phase-Changing Ionic Liquids (PCIL).....	35
2.2.2.4.2 3H Company – Self-Concentrating Absorbent	36
2.2.2.5 Summary and Conclusions	37
2.2.3 Ionic Liquid (IL) Based Solvents (University of Notre Dame & Others)	37
2.2.3.1 Description and Status	37
2.2.3.1.1 Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources.....	37
2.2.3.1.2 Size/Location	37
2.2.3.1.3 Objectives and Timeline	37
2.2.3.1.4 Technology Description.....	38
2.2.3.2 Benchmarking and Economic Data	41
2.2.3.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data	41
2.2.3.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics	41
2.2.3.3 Assessment and Implications.....	41
2.2.3.3.1 Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives).....	41
2.2.3.3.2 Commercial Potential	42
2.2.3.3.3 Hurdles (Technical/Economic)	43
2.2.3.4 Summary and Conclusions	44
2.2.3.5 Alternate Ionic Liquids Process for PCCC - ION Engineering.....	44
2.2.3.6 Other Ionic Liquids R&D for Post-Combustion CO ₂ Capture	45
2.3 INNOVATIVE ADSORPTION-BASED APPROACHES	46
2.3.1 Immobilized Amine/PEI (ADA-ES).....	46
2.3.1.1 Description and Status	46
2.3.1.1.1 Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources.....	46
2.3.1.1.2 Size/Location	47
2.3.1.1.3 Objectives and Timeline	47

2.3.1.1.4	Technology Description.....	48
2.3.1.2	Benchmarking and Economic Data.....	53
2.3.1.2.1	Current/Projected Economic Data.....	53
2.3.1.2.2	Major Factors Affecting Economics.....	54
2.3.1.3	Assessment and Implications	55
2.3.1.3.1	Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives).....	55
2.3.1.3.2	Commercial Potential.....	56
2.3.1.3.3	Hurdles (Technical/Economic).....	57
2.3.2	Carbon Sorbents in Downflow Contactor (SRI).....	57
2.3.2.1	Description and Status.....	57
2.3.2.1.1	Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources	57
2.3.2.1.2	Size/Location.....	58
2.3.2.1.3	Objectives and Timeline.....	58
2.3.2.1.4	Technology Description.....	58
2.3.2.2	Benchmarking and Economic Data.....	61
2.3.2.2.1	Current/Projected Economic Data.....	61
2.3.2.2.2	Major Factors Affecting Economics.....	61
2.3.2.3	Assessment and Implications	62
2.3.2.3.1	Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives).....	62
2.3.2.3.2	Commercial Potential.....	62
2.3.2.3.3	Hurdles (Technical/Economic).....	62
2.3.2.4	Summary and Conclusions.....	65
2.4	INNOVATIVE MEMBRANE-BASED APPROACHES.....	65
2.4.1	Multi-fiber membrane (GTI/Porogen).....	65
2.4.1.1	Description and Status.....	65
2.4.1.1.1	Background/Objectives/Partners/Funding Sources.....	65
2.4.1.1.2	Technology Description.....	66
2.4.1.1.3	Status of Development, Size/Location/Timeline.....	69
2.4.1.2	Benchmarking and Economic Data.....	72
2.4.1.3	Assessment of Potential.....	73
2.4.1.4	Hurdles	74
2.5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	74
2.6	REFERENCES.....	77

3. INNOVATIVE MATERIALS AND PROCESSES FOR PRE-COMBUSTION AND OXY-COMBUSTION CO₂ CAPTURE	83
3.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS	83
3.2 INNOVATIVE PRE-COMBUSTION APPROACHES.....	83
3.2.1 Palladium-based (Pd) Membrane (Pall Corp.).....	83
3.2.1.1 Description and Status	83
3.2.1.1.1 Background/Objectives/Partners/Funding Sources.....	83
3.2.1.1.2 Technology Description	84
3.2.1.1.3 Status of Development, Size/Location/Timeline.....	88
3.2.1.2 Benchmarking and Economic Data	89
3.2.1.3 Assessment of Potential	90
3.2.1.4 Hurdles.....	90
3.2.2 Hydrotalcites (ECN-CATO)	91
3.2.2.1 Background on Hydrotalcites	91
3.2.2.1.1 Structure and Properties.....	91
3.2.2.1.2 Composition and Preparation	91
3.2.2.1.3 Support and Size Effects.....	92
3.2.2.2 ECN-CATO Project on Hydrotalcites (HTC).....	92
3.2.2.2.1 The ECN-CATO Project Background	92
3.2.2.2.2 Sorption-enhanced Reforming (SERP) and Sorption-enhanced Water-gas-shift (SEWGS) Processes.....	94
3.2.2.2.3 Sorbent Properties.....	94
3.2.2.2.4 SEWGS Process Cycle Optimization	97
3.2.2.2.5 Advantages and Hurdles	99
3.2.2.2.6 Comparison of Steam Reforming with Gasification.....	100
3.2.3 Layered Double Hydroxides (CO ₂ CRC/CRCGGT)	101
3.2.3.1 Introduction to Layered Double Hydroxides (LDH).....	101
3.2.3.2 Application of LDH for Pre-Combustion CO ₂ Capture at CO ₂ CRC/CRCGGT	101
3.2.3.2.1 Cooperative Research Centre for Greenhouse Gas Technologies (CO ₂ CRC).....	101
3.2.3.2.2 Current State of Development and Testing.....	102
3.2.3.2.3 Comparison with Other Adsorption Process (with Special Emphasis on PSA).....	102
3.2.3.2.4 Advantages and Hurdles	103

3.3	INNOVATIVE OXY-COMBUSTION APPROACHES	103
3.3.1	CAR-based Oxy-combustion Process (BOC, Linde)	103
3.3.1.1	Description and Status	103
3.3.1.1.1	Background/Objectives/Partners/Funding Sources	103
3.3.1.1.2	Technology Description	104
3.3.1.1.3	Status of Development, Size/Location/Timeline	106
3.3.1.2	Benchmarking and Economic Data	108
3.3.1.3	Assessment of Potential and Comparison to Alstom's Chemical Looping Process (CLP)	111
3.3.1.4	Hurdles	113
3.4	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	114
3.5	REFERENCES	115
4.	INDEX	121

FIGURES

Figure 2.2.1.1	Siemens Development & Commercialization Timeline	15
Figure 2.2.1.2	DOE-NETL Timeline for Post-Combustion R&D Program	15
Figure 2.2.1.3	Amine Chemical Structure for NH ₃ , MEA, & Amino Acid	16
Figure 2.2.1.4	Amino Acid Salt (AAS) Reaction Routes with CO ₂	16
Figure 2.2.1.5	Siemens AAS Conventional Amine PFD (Siemens – November 2011)	17
Figure 2.2.1.6	Siemens's Schematic of Integration of POSTCAP & CO ₂ Compression into Power Plant and Graphic of 2-Train POSTCAP System Added to Power Plant (Siemens – September 2008)	18
Figure 2.2.1.7	DOE-NETL Cost & Performance Baseline for Fossil Fuel Plants	20
Figure 2.2.1.8	Siemens Commercial Strategy and Core Competencies	22
Figure 2.2.1.9	DOE Thermal Regeneration Duties Target of 500-1000 BTU/lb- CO ₂	23
Figure 2.2.1.10	CO ₂ Absorber Diameter as a Function of Stage of Development	24
Figure 2.2.1.11	Energy Components for CCS Addition to a CFPP	24
Figure 2.2.2.1	GEGR's Continuous Laboratory Scale Process Unit	25
Figure 2.2.2.2	Timeline and Status of both GE Amino-Silicone Programs for PCCC	26
Figure 2.2.2.3	GE Calculations for Parasitic Energy Loss with Absorbent Type	27
Figure 2.2.2.4	GE Down-selected Amino-Silicone Absorbents and their CO ₂ Loading Capacity	28
Figure 2.2.2.5	Reversible A-S Solvent (Liquid) Reaction with CO ₂ to Solid	28

Figure 2.2.2.6	Absorption Isotherms for CO ₂ -GAP-0 (neat) (GEGR – Aug 2011).....	29
Figure 2.2.2.7	Heat of Absorption of CO ₂ in GAP-0	30
Figure 2.2.2.8	Chemical Structure and Heat of Absorption for GAP-1 A-S Solvent.....	30
Figure 2.2.2.9	GE Process PFD Schematic for A-S Phase-Changing Absorbent	31
Figure 2.2.2.10	Equipment Options for Solids Transport in A-S Phase-Change Cyclic	32
Figure 2.2.2.11	DOE-NETL Analysis: LCOE Increase as a Function of CCS System.....	33
Figure 2.2.2.12	DOE Thermal Regeneration Duties Target of 500-1000 BTU/lb-CO ₂	34
Figure 2.2.2.13	Total Energy Requirements for CCS in a 471 MW-net CFPP.....	35
Figure 2.2.2.14	Simplified PFD of PCIL Process (UND Poster – August 2011).....	36
Figure 2.2.2.15	Concept of the 3H Self-Concentrating Absorbent Process.....	36
Figure 2.2.3.1	Amino Acid IL's – CO ₂ Vapor/Liquid Equilibrium Isotherms	38
Figure 2.2.3.2	Impact of CO ₂ Uptake upon Viscosity/Temperature for Amino Acid IL's	39
Figure 2.2.3.3	Viscosity of Two AHA-IL's at 1 bar CO ₂ with CO ₂ Uptake.....	39
Figure 2.2.3.4	CO ₂ Solubility Isotherms for (Pyrrolides-based) AHA-IL	40
Figure 2.2.3.5	CO ₂ Solubility Isotherms for Different AHA-IL's @ 22°C.....	40
Figure 2.2.3.6	Total Equivalent Work as a Function of Enthalpy of Absorption.....	41
Figure 2.2.3.7	DOE-NETL Projected Energy Requirements for UND IL Process.....	42
Figure 2.2.3.8	DOE-NETL R&D Fossil Fuel CC Solutions Timeline to Commercialization.....	42
Figure 2.2.3.9	Preliminary UND Process Flowscheme Resultant Impact upon Net Solvent Loading between Absorption & Regeneration	43
Figure 2.2.3.10	Total and Net Heat Input for MEA and for ION Amine-IL Solvent.....	44
Figure 2.3.1.1	Project Team & Responsibilities.....	47
Figure 2.3.1.2	Primary Tasks and Schedule of Current Project 4343 for 1 MW Pilot.....	48
Figure 2.3.1.3	Primary Tasks and Schedule of Current Project 4343 for 1 MW Pilot.....	48
Figure 2.3.1.4	Sorbent Selection Criteria for 4 Categories of Sorbents.....	49
Figure 2.3.1.5	NETL Supported Polyethylenimine (PEI) on Silica Gel Support	49
Figure 2.3.1.6	Sorbent BN Adsorption Equilibrium Isotherms: CO ₂ Loading vs CO ₂ Partial Pressure.....	50
Figure 2.3.1.7	1 kW Pilot Plant Schematic and Photo	51
Figure 2.3.1.8	Illustration of CO ₂ Loading and CO ₂ Partial Pressure in 1 kW Pilot Plant	52
Figure 2.3.1.9	Simplified Process Schematic of 1 MW Pilot Plant	52

Figure 2.3.1.10	Projected Thermal Duty for 4 Supported-Amine Sorbents & for MEA	53
Figure 2.3.1.11	DOE Thermal Regeneration Duties Target of <1000 BTU/Lb CO ₂	56
Figure 2.3.2.1	Carbon Adsorbent Flow In/Out of Structured Packing (SRI-August 2011).....	58
Figure 2.3.2.2	Carbon Sorbent- CO ₂ Equilibrium Isotherms between 5°C and 30°C; Sorbent Differential CO ₂ Loading between 30°C and 110°C (SRI-August 2011).....	59
Figure 2.3.2.3	Carbon Sorbent-H ₂ O Equilibrium Isotherms (1 psi = 51.7 torr) (SRI-August 2009)	60
Figure 2.3.2.4	SRI Process Schematic for Carbon Downflow Proces; Expanded View of Adsorption/Regeneration/Dehydration-Cooling (SRI-August 2011)	60
Figure 2.3.2.5	Block Flow Diagram for LP Steam Extraction of Sorbent CO ₂ System (SRI-February 2012)	62
Figure 2.3.2.6	Total Heat Duty for Adsorbent Systems from contributing components (Richards - 2010).....	63
Figure 2.3.2.7	For Overall Regeneration Heat Duties to Achieve the Target Goals of 500--1000 BTU/lb-mole CO ₂ , CO ₂ Loadings Must Attain 2--3 kg-moles/kg Adsorbent (Richards - 2010).....	63
Figure 2.3.2.8	DOE-NETL Current & Projected Energy Requirements for SRI Carbon (DOE-NETL -- May 2011).....	65
Figure 2.4.1.1	Principle of Operation of Gas/Liquid Membrane Contactor [Meyer 2011]	66
Figure 2.4.1.2	Porogen's 2-step Process for Making Porous PEEK Hollow Fibers [Li 2011].....	68
Figure 2.4.1.3	Steps in Making PEEK Hollow Fiber Contactor Modules [Meyer 2011, Ding 2008]	69
Figure 2.4.1.4	Schematic of Carbo-Lock™ Process [Li 2011]	69
Figure 2.4.1.5	Bench Scale Module in Shell and Test Skid [Li 2011].....	70
Figure 2.4.1.6	Typical Test of Simulated Post Combustion CO ₂ Capture [Li 2011].....	70
Figure 2.4.1.7	Plans for Future Testing and Development [Li 2011]	71
Figure 3.2.1.1	Cross Section of Pall's Composite Hydrogen Membrane [Hopkins 2011].....	85
Figure 3.2.1.2	(a) Accusep® Substrate with Welded Ends and Diffusion Barrier Layer Coating (B) Completed Pd Alloy Coated Composite Membrane Tube [Damle 2010].....	86
Figure 3.2.1.3	H ₂ Flux Reduction Due to CO [Hopkins 2011].....	86
Figure 3.2.1.4	Impact of Module Design on Concentration Polarization [Hopkins 2011].....	87

Figure 3.2.1.5	Impact of Sulfur on Membrane Flux [Damle 2010].....	87
Figure 3.2.1.6	12 Tube Module for 60 slpm H ₂ Production [Hopkins 2011].....	89
Figure 3.2.2.1	Structure of Layered Double Hydroxide (Hydrotalcite).....	91
Figure 3.2.2.2	Adsorption and Desorption Profiles of Various Potassium-promoted HTC Samples (the desorption profiles of ECN-HTC/22 wt% K ₂ CO ₃ Coincides with that of PURAL MG70/22 wt% K ₂ CO ₃).....	96
Figure 3.2.2.3	Adsorption and Desorption Profiles of PURAL MG70 with Different K ₂ CO ₃ Loadings	97
Figure 3.2.2.4	(a) CO ₂ Recovery vs Relative Feed Flow, Rinse Flow, Purge Flow and Cycle Time; (b) CO ₂ Purity vs Relative Feed Flow, Rinse Flow, Purge Flow and Cycle Time.....	99
Figure 3.3.1.1	Perovskite Lattice Structure (Courtesy Helios-NRG)	104
Figure 3.3.1.2	Perovskite Pellets (Krishnamurthy 2008).....	105
Figure 3.3.1.3	Schematic of CAR Process to Produce Oxygen (Krishnamurthy 2008) ...	105
Figure 3.3.1.4	Schematic of CAR Process Integrated with Coal Fired Steam Utility (Fan 2006).....	106
Figure 3.3.1.5	CAR PDU at Combustion Test Facility at WRI [Krishnamurthy 2007]	107
Figure 3.3.1.6	Projected Power Requirement for CAR vs. Cryogenic ASU [Krishnamurthy 2008]	108
Figure 3.3.1.7	Oxygen Production Power Requirement [Krishnamurthy 2008]	109
Figure 3.3.1.8	Retrofit Costs [Krishnamurthy 2008]	110
Figure 3.3.1.9	Impact of Different Options on COE for Increasing CO ₂ Allowance Price [Fan 2006]	111
Figure 3.3.1.10	Calcium Based Chemical Looping Process [Chiu, 2009]	112
Figure 3.3.1.11	COE from New Plants with CLP and Other Capture Options [Chiu, 2009].....	113

TABLES

Table 1.2.1	Listing of 47 Post-Combustion CO ₂ Capture Technologies, with the 19 Evaluated in the Vol. 1 Report (in Bold) and 6 Addressed in this Report (in Italics, with Asterisks).....	4
Table 1.2.2	Listing of 40 Pre-Combustion and Oxy-Combustion CO ₂ Capture Technologies, with the 21 Evaluated in the Vol. 2 Report (in Bold) and 4 Addressed in this Report (in Italics, with Asterisks).....	6
Table 2.2.2.1	Amine-Silicone Solvents & MEA with and without TEG Co-Solvent	29
Table 2.3.1.1	ADA-ES / Stantec Techno-economic Analysis for Sorbent CC (ADA-ES – October 2011)	54

Table 2.3.2.1	Economics & Efficiency for 3 Power Scenarios with 550MW Net Power Output (SRI-August 2011)	61
Table 2.4.1.1	Summary of DOE programs for developing Carbo-Lock™ for CO ₂ capture	66
Table 2.4.1.2	Comparison of membrane contactor with traditional gas-liquid contactors [Li 2011]	67
Table 2.4.1.3	Properties of PEEK compared with other engineered polymers [Ding 2008]	67
Table 2.4.1.4	Phase 1 Performance Targets Achieved with aMDEA and K ₂ CO ₃ [Li 2011].....	71
Table 2.4.1.5	Summary of Economic Assessment of Carbo-Lock™ Process for Post-combustion CO ₂ Capture [Li 2011]	72
Table 2.4.1.6	Number of modules required for different scales of testing [Li 2011].....	73
Table 3.2.1.1	DOE project for Pd-Alloy membrane development at Pall [Acquaviva, 2009].....	84
Table 3.2.1.2	Status of development of Pall membrane in DOE project [Hopkins 2011].....	88
Table 3.2.1.3	Sensitivity of H ₂ cost to flux, membrane cost and recovery [Damle 2010].....	89
Table 3.2.2.1	CATO-2: Consortium Members	94
Table 3.2.2.2	Performance Properties of Five Material Groups as Sorbents (Reijers et al., 2005)	100
Table 3.3.1.1	Summary of DOE funded CAR Project (Krishnamurthy 2008)	104

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.