ADVANCES IN HIGH THROUGHPUT SCREENING METHODOLOGIES

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
December 2017

TCGR THE CATALYST GROUP RESOURCES™

Gwynedd Office Park ● P.O. Box 680 ● Spring House, PA 19477 – USA – ● Phone: +1-215-628-4447 ● Fax: +1-215-628-2267
E-mail: tcgr@catalystgrp.com ● Web Site: www.catalystgrp.com

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Matthew A. Colquitt at Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1130).

P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... **ix**

1. INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 A GENERAL VIEW ON THE ORIGIN, CURRENT STATE AND OUTLOOK FOR HIGH-THROUGHPUT CATALYST SCREENING 1

1.2 ON THE RESTRICTIONS TO HTS PARALLELIZATION 4

1.3 DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO HTS AND ITS EFFECT ON THE UNIT LAY-OUT 5

1.4 MODELING METHODS IN CATALYSIS RESEARCH 6

1.5 4TH GENERATION OF HTS: MACHINE LEARNING VS. MODEL BASED CATALYST DESIGN 7

1.6 CONCEPTUAL PROCESS DESIGN 9

1.7 CONCLUSIONS 11

1.8 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS 11

1.9 REFERENCES 12

2. HTS TECHNOLOGY AND SERVICE PROVIDERS **15**

2.1 HTE – THE HIGH THROUGHPUT EXPERIMENTATION COMPANY 18

2.1.1 HTE X2000 series 18

2.1.2 HTE X3000 series 18

2.1.3 HTE X4500 series 19

2.2 AVANTIUM 19

2.3 FREESLATE (UNCHAINED LABS) 22

2.4 XYTEL 24

2.5 AMTECH 24

2.5.1 SPR16 Slurry Phase Reactor System 25

2.5.2 SPR100/X Slurry Phase Reactor 25

2.5.3 Spider16 26

2.5.4 Switch16 27

2.6 HEL 27

2.6.1 HP ChemSCAN 27

2.6.2 AutoMATE II 28

2.6.3 CAT systems 28

2.7 PREMEX 31

2.7.1 Avalon (plus) 31

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

2.7.2 96 Multi Reactor 31
2.8 CHEMSPEED 32
2.9 TEAMCAT SOLUTIONS 33
2.9.1 Multi-R G4100 33
2.9.2 Multi-C 34
2.10 INTEGRATED LAB SOLUTIONS 34
2.10.1 Custom-made High-Throughput Kinetics - Mechanistic Investigation setup (HTK-S) 35
2.11 ZETON 38
2.11.1 Custom-made High-Throughput Kinetics - Mechanistic Investigation setup (HTK-MI) 39
2.12 SERVICE PROVIDERS 41
2.12.1 REALCAT 41
2.12.2 UPenn Parallel Reaction Screening Service Center (PRSSC) 41
2.13 CASE STUDIES 42
2.13.1 HTE Case Study 1: Catalyst Testing For Hydrocracking and Hydrotreating 42
2.13.2 HTE Case Study 2: Performance Testing of Naphtha Reforming Catalysts 51
2.13.3 Avantium Case Study 1: Ultra-Low Sulfur Diesel (ULSD) Tests 64
2.13.4 Avantium Case Study 2: Fischer-Tropsch Catalyst Testing 67
2.13.5 Avantium Case Study 3: Oxidative Coupling of Methane 71
2.13.6 Freeslate Case Study 1: Installing Sterically Challenging Moieties via C-C Coupling Chemistry 75
2.13.7 Freeslate Case Study 2: Hydrogenation of Trans-Cinnamic Acid 82
2.13.8 Amtech Case Study 1: Hydrogenolysis of Butyl Acetate to Butanol over Naphtha Reforming Catalysts in Conventional and High Throughput Slurry Reactors 87
2.13.9 Amtech Case Study 2: Testing of Palladium Catalysts for CO/Vinyl Arene Polyketones Synthesis 87
2.13.10 Amtech Case Study 3: Development of a Low Temperature Paraffin Isomerization Catalyst 89
2.13.11 HEL Case Study 1: Development of Hydrogenation Reaction Using Parallel Processing 91
2.14 PERSPECTIVES FOR HTS UNITS, TECHNOLOGY PROVIDERS AND SERVICE PROVIDERS 95
2.15 REFERENCES 96
3. CHEMICAL REACTION AND REACTOR ENGINEERING 99
3.1 KINETICS OF CATALYSIS 99

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.1.1 Definitions 99
3.1.2 Adsorption Phenomena 102
3.1.3 Kinetics of Surface Reactions 109
3.2 IDEAL REACTOR TYPES 115
3.2.1 Batch Reactor 115
3.2.2 Perfectly Mixed Flow Reactor 117
3.2.3 Plug Flow Reactor 119
3.3 MICROREACTORS 121
3.4 INTRINSIC KINETICS 121
3.5 MASS AND HEAT TRANSPORT 123
3.5.1 External Mass and Heat Transport Limitations 123
3.5.2 Internal Mass and Heat Transport Limitations 124
3.5.3 Experimental Verification of the Absence of Transport Limitations 129
3.6 REACTOR FLOW PATTERNS 131
3.7 PHENOMENA TAKING PLACE INSIDE MULTIPHASE REACTORS 134
3.7.1 Capillary Condensation 135
3.7.2 Wetting Efficiency 135
3.7.3 Flow regime 136
3.8 CATALYST DEACTIVATION AND SUSTAINABILITY 137
3.9 PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS ON THE USE OF CHEMICAL REACTOR ENGINEERING IN CATALYST DEVELOPMENT 139
3.10 REFERENCES 140
4. DATA ANALYSIS AND DATA TREATMENT 143
4.1 DATA RECONCILIATION 143
4.1.1 Reactor and setup outlet flows 143
4.1.2 Composition determination 144
4.1.3 Measured flow rates approach 145
4.1.3.1 Volumetric measurement 145
4.1.3.2 Mass measurement 146
4.1.4 Mass and element balance verification 146
4.1.5 Normalization approach 147
4.1.6 Other approaches 149
4.1.7 Assessment of error propagation and accuracy on variable values 152
4.1.8 Effect of solubility of a gaseous internal standard in the liquid product flow 152

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

4.1.9 Recommended procedures 154
4.2 PRINCIPLE COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA) 154
4.3 PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES (PLS) 157
4.4 DATA TREATMENT SOFTWARE 159
4.5 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN: MATERIAL LIBRARY DEFINITION 159
4.5.1 Factorial design 160
4.5.2 Response surface methodology 161
4.5.3 Artificial neural networks 161
4.5.4 Holographic research strategy 162
4.5.5 Case study Avantium on experimental design 164
4.6 PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUSIONS ON DATA ANALYSIS AND DATA TREATMENT 168
4.7 REFERENCES 169
5. KINETIC MODELING BASED AND INFORMATION DRIVEN CATALYSTS DESIGN 171
5.1 CATALYST DESIGN METHODOLOGIES 171
5.1.1 Statistics-Driven Catalyst Design 171
5.1.2 Performance-Driven Catalyst Design 173
5.1.3 Information-Driven Catalyst Design 173
5.2 FROM GLOBAL TO FUNDAMENTAL KINETIC MODELING 174
5.3 KINETIC AND CATALYST DESCRIPTOR DETERMINATION 176
5.3.1 Molecular modeling 177
5.3.2 Parameter calculation and establishment of relationships 178
5.3.3 Parameter estimation 180
5.4 MODEL DISCRIMINATION 183
5.4.1 Sequential experimental design for model discrimination 183
5.4.2 A posteriori model discrimination 184
5.5 ON THE USE OF KINETIC MODELING IN CATALYST DEVELOPMENT 185
5.6 REFERENCES 186
6. CONCLUSIONS 189
7. INDEX 191

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Trend in HTS methodologies 2
Figure 1.2 The 'magic triangle for HTS' (Mayr and Bojanic 2009) 3
Figure 1.3 Evolution of HTS in medicine over the last 20 years (Mayr and Bojanic 2009) 4
Figure 1.4 Different modeling approaches in catalysis research (Ras and Rothenberg 2014) 6
Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the workflow for constructing HTS fingerprints from a set of historical assays, training a machine-learning (ML) model with the molecules from a new assay and generating predictions for a set of untested compounds (Riniker, Wang et al. 2014) 8
Figure 1.6 Model based catalyst design (Thybaut, Choudhury et al. 2009) 9
Figure 1.7 Different layers of information involved in a typical integrated conceptual process design study (Ras 2013) 10
Figure 1.8 Increasing accuracy of process economic parameters as a process study progresses (Ras 2013) 10
Figure 2.1 HTE X2000 series setup 18
Figure 2.2 HTE X3000 series setup 19
Figure 2.3 HTE X4500 series setup 19
Figure 2.4 Picture (left) and schematic overview (right) of the Flowrence parallel fixed-bed reactor system 20
Figure 2.5 Flowrence reactor tubes with an internal diameter of 2 mm packed with 1.6 mm extrudates (left) and 1.6 mm spheres (right) (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 21
Figure 2.6 Unchained Labs' Freeslate 22
Figure 2.7 Modular configuration of Unchained Labs' Freeslate. (1) Vial/plate gripper, (2) Waste bin, (3), Weighing scale with integrated camera, (4) PDT rack, (5) Vortexing station, (6) Solid dispensing tool rack, (7) Heating/cooling/stirring station, (8) Capping/decapping station and (9) 1-Tip liquid dispenser 23
Figure 2.8 Freeslate Optimization Sampling Reactor 23
Figure 2.9 Unchained Labs Screening Pressure Reactor 23
Figure 2.10 Pictures of the SPR16 system: reactor unit (left) and sampling system (right) 25
Figure 2.11 Pictures of the SPR100/X system: reactor section (left) and product collection bottles (right) 26
Figure 2.12 Pictures of the Spider16 system: uncovered reactor block (left) and isolated reactor block (right) 26
Figure 2.13 Pictures of the Switch16 system: front view (left) and gas inlet (right) 27
Figure 2.14 Picture of the HP ChemSCAN system 28

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.15 Picture of the AutoMATE II system 28
Figure 2.16 Picture of the CAT 18 system 29
Figure 2.17 Picture of the CAT96 system 29
Figure 2.18 Picture of the DigiCAT system 30
Figure 2.19 Picture of the PolyCAT system (left) 8 reactors and (right) 4 reactors 30
Figure 2.20 Picture of the Avalon plus system 31
Figure 2.21 Picture of the 96 Multi Reactor 32
Figure 2.22 Picture of the Swing platform system 33
Figure 2.23 TEAMCAT Multi-R G4100 system 33
Figure 2.24 TEAMCAT Multi-C 34
Figure 2.25 Example of an ILS high-throughput unit 34
Figure 2.26 HTK-S setup pictures: (a) front view; (b) gas (top) and liquid (bottom) feed section; (c) reactor heating blocks; (d) heated gas and liquid sampling section; and (e) analysis section. 35
Figure 2.27 (a) Schematic representation of a reactor block of the HTK-S setup (1. insulation, 2. Electrical heating, 3. SiC, 4. reactor well, TC: central thermocouple; TO: thermocouple located near the heating elements) and (b) axial temperature profile measured in the absence of reaction (setpoint: 203 K); isothermal zone indicated in green ($\Delta T < 1$ K). 36
Figure 2.28 HTK-MI setup pictures: (a) front view; (b) liquid pump section; (c) gas (top) and liquid (bottom) feed section; (d) reactor blocks; and (e) liquid waste collection. 39
Figure 2.29 24 reaction screen: 6 Ligands | 2 solvents, with & without water | 3 Different vial sizes (HTE) 42
Figure 2.30 Conversion of the +350°C fraction depending on temperature (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 45
Figure 2.31 Yields of different boiling fractions: (2a) 12 reactor tubes with catalysts A, C and D (2b) four reactor tubes with catalyst B (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 45
Figure 2.32 Middle distillate selectivity vs. conversion of +350°C fraction (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 46
Figure 2.33 Hydrogen conversion based on feed vs. oil product density (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 47
Figure 2.34 HDS activity dependent on the catalyst loading protocol in a down flow mode (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 49
Figure 2.35 Correlation of product density and hydrogen conversion (Berg, Sauer et al. 2015) 50
Figure 2.36 Schematic view of an industrial fixed-bed reactor setup. Endothermic temperature drops are indicated in blue (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 53

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.37 Simplified process diagram of high throughput unit for naphta reforming (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 54
Figure 2.38 Schematic design of screening plate (16 positions) for this case study, charged with five different catalysts in three redundant positions and one inert position (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 55
Figure 2.39 Composition of naphta feed and reformer products as PIANOU distribution (Paraffins, Aromatics, Iso-paraffins, Naphtenes, Olefines and Unknows), split by carbon number (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 56
Figure 2.40 Exemplary chromatogram of a C1-14 paraffin standard (blue) and full range naphtha (red). Key components such as BTX are indicated (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 57
Figure 2.41 Temperature (activity) versus TOS (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 60
Figure 2.42 RON vs. TOS (figure from Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 61
Figure 2.43 Reformate C_{5+} yield versus TOS (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 61
Figure 2.44 Statistical evaluation of performance parameters and corresponding catalyst rating for 200-260 h TOS. Standard error (SE) range and precision are shown in brackets (Kirchmann, Haas et al. 2015) 63
Figure 2.45 Sulphur concentration in reactor effluent as a function of reactor temperature (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 65
Figure 2.46 Nitrogen concentration in reactor effluent as a function of reactor temperature (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 66
Figure 2.47 The effect of bed dilution on the CO conversion for three 1 wt% Ru catalysts (100 µl inert diluent to 50 µl catalyst) (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 69
Figure 2.48 Influence of catalyst particle size on CO conversion for single-metal Ru on three different supports (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 70
Figure 2.49 Influence of support on the formation of ethanol for 1 wt% Ru catalysts (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 70
Figure 2.50 Methane conversion and selectivity to CO, H_2, CO_2 and C_2 products as a function of oxygen conversion observed in empty reactors under OCM conditions, see Table 2.4 (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 72
Figure 2.51 Catalyst performance at 4 temperatures expressed as the selectivity towards ethylene versus the selectivity towards ethane. (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 73
Figure 2.52 Summed selectivity towards ethane and ethylene versus selectivity towards CO_2. (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 73
Figure 2.53 Selectivity towards hydrogen versus selectivity towards CO (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 74
Figure 2.54 Response surface models for the C_2 yield (combined yield of ethane and ethylene, left) and ethylene: ethane ratio (right) as a function of reaction temperature and pressure (Van der Waal, Ras et al. 2014) 74
Figure 2.55 Research Goal of the Freeslate Case Study 1 75

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.56 Negishi coupling (traditional approach) 76
Figure 2.57 Suzuki coupling (traditional approach) 76
Figure 2.58 Suzuki coupling (high-throughput approach) 77
Figure 2.59 Kumada coupling (high-throughput approach) 77
Figure 2.60 Seven phosphine ligands included in the first round of screening 77
Figure 2.61 First plate screening design. Pd1 = Pd(acac)2, Pd2 = Pd2(dba)3, Ni1 = Ni(acac)2. 78
Figure 2.62 First plate screening design in Library Studio 78
Figure 2.63 GC data (initial screening plate) 79
Figure 2.64 Data analysis: PhMgCl addition 79
Figure 2.65 Data analysis: TripMgBr addition 79
Figure 2.66 First follow-up screening 80
Figure 2.67 Grignard reagents used in the first follow-up screening with increase in steric bulk 80
Figure 2.68 Data analysis - First follow-up screening 81
Figure 2.69 Second follow-up screening 81
Figure 2.70 Data analysis - second follow-up screening 81
Figure 2.71 Scale-up of optimized condition 82
Figure 2.72 Hydrogenation of trans-cinnamic acid 83
Figure 2.73 Sampling precision and accuracy at pressure 83
Figure 2.74 Percent conversion for 5% Pd Run based on HPLC data 84
Figure 2.75 Percent conversion for 5% Rh on alumina run (two different supports) based on HPLC data 85
Figure 2.76 Percent conversion for 5% Rh on alumina run (Degussa support) based on HPLC data 86
Figure 2.77 Synthetic pathway of the monocationic palladium complexes 1a-6a (Duranda, Zangrandoa et al. 2006) 88
Figure 2.78 CO/4-Methylstyrene e copolymerisation: effect of N-N ligand and CO pressure on CO uptake. (Duranda, Zangrandoa et al. 2006) 88
Figure 2.79 Spider16 system (Serra, Chica et al. 2003) 90
Figure 2.80 Isomerisation performance of 10 best ranked materials in 3 generations (Serra, Chica et al. 2003) 90
Figure 2.81 The three experimental systems used for hydrogenation studies (Singh 2006) 92
Figure 2.82 Internals for the three reactor types studied (Singh 2006) 92
Figure 2.83 Hydrogen uptake with time for HP Chemscan, for different catalyst amounts (Singh 2006) 93

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.84 Comparison of experiments based on different reactor sizes: 5 ml (Chemscan) and 500 ml (SIMULAR) (Singh 2006) 94
Figure 2.85 Combined data at 40°C and 60°C at different scales (Singh 2006) 94
Figure 3.1 Hydrogenation of toluene: overall reaction scheme 100
Figure 3.2 Detailed Reaction Mechanism for the Hydrogenation of Toluene on Pt/ZSM-22 (Thybaut, Saeys et al. 2002) 101
Figure 3.3 Differences between kinetically relevant steps, rate determining steps and quasi-equilibrated steps 102
Figure 3.4 Freundlich isotherms for different adsorption parameter values (I) 103
Figure 3.5 Freundlich isotherms for different adsorption parameter values (II) 103
Figure 3.6 Langmuir adsorption isotherm for different adsorption coefficients 105
Figure 3.7 Multilayer adsorption 106
Figure 3.8 Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson (LHHW) mechanism 109
Figure 3.9 Eley-Rideal (ER) mechanism 113
Figure 3.10 Mars-van Krevelen mechanism 114
Figure 3.11 Schematic representation of a batch reactor 116
Figure 3.12 Schematic representation of a CSTR 117
Figure 3.13 Representation of the internal construction and flow pattern of commonly encountered perfectly mixed flow reactors. (left): Berty reactor, (middle): Robinson Mahoney reactor and (right): Carberry reactor 118
Figure 3.14 Schematic representation of a plug flow reactor, fixed bed included. 119
Figure 3.15 Transport phenomena in heterogeneous catalysis at the catalyst pellet scale 122
Figure 3.16 Concentration gradients in heterogeneous catalysis at the catalyst surface 122
Figure 3.17 Dimensionless concentration as a function of the dimensionless position during simultaneous reaction and diffusion for first order kinetics and slab geometry 126
Figure 3.18 Effect of the total feed flow rate on the observed kinetics (conversion) at an identical space time. 129
Figure 3.19 Effect of the catalyst pellet diameter on the observed kinetics (conversion): internal mass transport limitations. 130
Figure 3.20 Logarithm of the rate coefficient as a function of the inverse of the temperature: effect of internal mass transport limitations on the observed activation energy. ... 130
Figure 3.21 Schematic representation of the dilution of a catalyst bed 133
Figure 3.22 Regime flow map for foaming and non-foaming systems (figure from Gianetto, Baldi et al. 1978) 137
Figure 4.1 Parameter space explored in the combined data sets. (Ras 2013) 155
Figure 4.2 Scores plot of the two PC model colored by (a) support, (b) solvent and (c) temperature. (Ras 2013) 155

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 4.3 Loading plots for the PC (1) and second PC (b), accompanied by the reaction scheme for indicating the identity of components (Ras 2013) 156

Figure 4.4 NIR spectra of 6 diesel samples and the associated temperatures corresponding to 50% mass loss obtained using simulated distillation (Ras 2013). 158

Figure 4.5 Measured boiling point (vertical) versus predicted boiling point (horizontal) using PLS. (Ras 2013). 158

Figure 4.6 Loadings of the PLS model discussed in the text (Ras 2013)..... 159

Figure 4.7 Evolution of the use of experimental design techniques from 2000 until 2016 160

Figure 4.8 Example of the OFAT approach for a 2-factorial 2-level investigation 161

Figure 4.9 A general structure of ANN architecture with inputs, outputs and 2 hidden layers for applications in kinetics (Amato, Gonzalez-Hernandez et al. 2012) 162

Figure 4.10 Construction of a two-dimensional parameter plane from a multidimensional parameter space for HRS 163

Figure 4.11 Formation of the initial hypothetical catalyst library (Vegvari, Tompos et al. 2003) 163

Figure 4.12 The main steps in HRS. (a) Selection of the best experimental point; (b) catalyst library after “variable position changes”; (c) formation of an experimental region; (d) summary of results after testing the second catalyst generation (Vegvari, Tompos et al. 2003) 164

Figure 4.13 Reactor loading strategy used for the optimization scenario described in the text (Ras 2013)..... 165

Figure 4.14 Various feed compositions used during the run recipe for the optimization scenario described in the text (Ras 2013) 165

Figure 4.15 Response surfaces for space-time yield (a) and side-product selectivity (b) as a function of space velocity and temperature (Ras 2013)..... 168

Figure 5.1 Different methodologies in catalyst design: (a) statistics-driven catalyst design; (b) performance-driven catalyst design; and (c) information-driven catalyst design (Van der Borght, Toch et al. 2015)..... 172

Figure 5.2 Determination of operating conditions for further experimentation in case of model discrimination 184

Figure 5.3 Structure of a sequential experimental design for discrimination between rivaling models..... 184

TABLES

Table 2.1 Overview of the HTS technology and service providers, including date of interview (oral and digital)..... 15

Table 2.2 Technologies and services provided by each company, location and general specifications of the HTS units 17

Table 2.3 Process Parameters of Case Study 60

Table 2.4 Process Conditions for Evaluating $NaWO_4/SiO_2$ for OCM Activity..... 72

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Table 2.5 Catalyst Loading for 5% Rh on Alumina Run Involving Two Different Supports 85

Table 4.1 Summary of the Parameter Space Studied, Responses Obtained and Overall Process Objectives 166

Table 4.2 Results of Optimizing Individual Responses 167