

## CATALYTIC PROCESS R&D SCALE-UP II

A technical investigation  
commissioned by the members of the  
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private  
October 2017



### **The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)**

**The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Matthew A. Colquitt at [Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com](mailto:Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com) or +1.215.628.4447 (x1130).



P.O. Box 680  
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A  
ph: +1.215.628.4447  
fax: +1.215.628.2267  
website: [www.catalystgrp.com](http://www.catalystgrp.com)

## CONTENTS

<b>CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 APPLICATION AREAS OF CATALYSIS.....	1
1.2 EMERGING FEEDSTOCKS, PROCESSES AND TECHNOLOGIES .....	4
1.3 CHALLENGES FOR SCALE-UP OF CATALYSTS AND REACTORS .....	7
1.4 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS .....	9
1.5 REFERENCES .....	10
<b>2. SCALE-UP: LAB TESTING TO PILOT PLANT</b> .....	<b>13</b>
2.1 MULTISCALE APPROACH: INTRODUCTION .....	13
2.2 CATALYTIC MATERIALS AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION.....	16
2.2.1 Types of Heterogeneous Catalysts .....	16
2.2.2 Unsupported Catalysts.....	16
2.2.3 Supported Catalysts .....	22
2.2.4 Catalyst Synthesis Techniques & Scale-Up .....	30
2.2.5 Catalyst Characterization: Useful Techniques .....	31
2.2.6 Criteria for Evaluation of Catalysts.....	33
2.3 CATALYTIC KINETICS .....	40
2.3.1 Concepts of Kinetics in Catalysis.....	42
2.3.2 Kinetic Modeling: Conventional Models .....	44
2.3.3 Experimental Methods & Determination of Kinetic Parameters .....	52
2.3.4 Examples of Kinetic Modeling.....	57
2.4 DEACTIVATION OF HETEROGENEOUS CATALYSTS .....	63
2.4.1 Types of Catalyst Deactivation .....	63
2.4.2 Deactivation Kinetics .....	70
2.4.3 Prevention of Catalyst Deactivation/Regeneration .....	72
2.5 MASS TRANSFER EFFECTS IN HETEROGENEOUS CATALYSIS .....	75

2.5.1	Case Study: Particle-scale modeling of transport-kinetic interactions in complex catalyst shapes for SO <sub>2</sub> oxidation reaction to SO <sub>3</sub> .....	76
2.5.2	Case Study: Analysis of intraparticle transport-kinetic interactions for the gas-phase Fischer-Trøpsch synthesis using various flux models and detailed microkinetics ....	86
2.5.3	Summary and Conclusions .....	105
2.6	REFERENCES .....	108
<b>3.</b>	<b>SCALE-UP FROM LAB/PILOT PLANT TO COMMERCIAL SCALE.....</b>	<b>129</b>
3.1	INTRODUCTION TO SCALE-UP OF CATALYTIC REACTORS .....	129
3.2	CATALYTIC REACTOR SCALE-UP: CURRENT STATUS .....	129
3.3	SCALE-UP OF LAB TO PILOT PLANT SCALE .....	135
3.4	METHODOLOGIES FOR SCALE-UP OF INDUSTRIAL CATALYTIC REACTORS.....	136
3.4.1	Stagewise Scale-up .....	136
3.4.2	Scale-up by Fluid-Dynamic Similarity .....	137
3.4.3	Scale-up by Phenomenological Performance Models .....	138
3.4.4	Scale-up by Detailed Mathematical Models .....	139
3.5	CASE STUDIES .....	139
3.5.1	Case Study 1. Scale-up of a trickle-bed reactor for crude oil hydrotreating from laboratory pilot plant to an industrial-scale reactor .....	139
3.5.2	Case Study 2. Development of fixed-bed reactor models for SO <sub>2</sub> oxidation reaction to SO <sub>3</sub> for manufacturing sulfuric acid. ....	144
3.5.3	Case Study 3. Modeling of a wall-cooled fixed-bed reactor for the gas-phase Fischer-Tropsch synthesis – Effect of catalyst shape and process conditions.....	156
3.5.4.	Case study 4. Scaleup of fluidized bed reactors .....	170
3.6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	172
3.7	REFERENCES .....	174
<b>4.</b>	<b>INDEX.....</b>	<b>185</b>

## FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Growth of Catalysis over the Last Century.....	3
Figure 1.2	Conversion of methane to Chemicals and Fuels (adapted from Bravo-Suarez et al, 2013) .....	5
Figure 1.3	Conversion of natural gas to lower alkenes (adapted from Moulijn et.al., 2001)....	6
Figure 1.4	Perspective of Biomass Conversion to Chemicals (Bravo-Suarez et al., 2013).....	6
Figure 2.1	Overview of steps involved in discovery to commercialization.....	13

Figure 2.2	Hierarchy of process steps in Molecular Modeling (Keil, 2012).....	15
Figure 2.3	Multiscale (micro, meso and macro) Approach to Modeling of Catalytic Reactions (Keil, 2012).....	15
Figure 2.4	SEM of $\gamma$ -alumina (a) and $\alpha$ -alumina (b) showing different porous structure (Heck et al., 2009b).....	17
Figure 2.5	Preparation of Silica powder by sol-gel method (Petra, 2012).....	18
Figure 2.6	TEM illustrating microstructure of (a) disordered silica gel, (b) ordered mesoporous silica (SBA-15), (c) a nanocrystal of zeolite A, (d) SEM of activated carbon (Petra, 2012).....	20
Figure 2.7	Structures of (a) Mordenite (MOR) and (b) Faujasite (FAU, Zeolite Y) adapted from (IZA, 2012).....	20
Figure 2.8	Formation of ordered mesoporous silica (MCM-41) using a surfactant template (Beck, 1992).....	22
Figure 2.9	Structure of supported solid catalyst (de Jong, 2009b).....	23
Figure 2.10	Schematic of lattice match synthesis of Cu(111) on rGO catalyst (Jin, X et al., 2013).....	25
Figure 2.11	Schematic description of (a) $\text{Co}_{0.15}/\text{Mg}_3\text{Al-c}$ and (b) $\text{Co}_{0.15}/\text{Mg}_3\text{Al-s}$ and (c) their catalytic performances in glycerol oxidation at 70 °C and 0.1 MPa $\text{O}_2$ (experimental conditions: 0.5 g glycerol, 1.5 g NaOH, 25 mL, 0.2 g solid catalysts, 24 h). Bulk and surface compositions of Co, Mg, and O were analyzed by ICP/TEM-EDX and SEM-EDX, respectively. (JinZhaoZeng et al., 2016).....	26
Figure 2.12	Examples of high surface indexed nanocatalysts. (a) schematic description of polyhedral crystals with high surface indexes (adapted from Quan et.al., 2012), (b) terahexahedron shaped Pt nanoparticles (adapted from Jin et.al., 2011), (c) PtAg nanowires (adapted from Peng et.al., 2010), (d) PtPd nanocages (adapted from Zhang et.al., 2011) and (e) PtFe nanopyramid clusters (adapted from JinZhaoYan et.al., 2016).....	27
Figure 2.13	Bimetallic nanocatalysts with alloy and core-shell structures (Jin, XinZhao, MengShen, JianYan, WenjuanHe, LimingThapa, Prem S. et al., 2015).....	28
Figure 2.14	Lattice miss-match technique for synthesis of PtFe bimetallic catalysts with defect structure showing high oxidation activity (Torres et al., 2010a).....	29
Figure 2.15	Structure of MOF indicating (a) cages and windows and (b) $\text{Zn}_4\text{O}$ connector with carboxylic linker (adapted from ref (Li, 1999)).....	29
Figure 2.16	Schematic diagram of $\text{WO}_3$ doped on KIT6 and Silica (Hu et al., 2013).....	30
Figure 2.17	Examples of characterization techniques used for metal catalysts (a) $\text{N}_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherm (Jin, X. et.al., 2015), (b) UV-vis spectroscopy (Inoue et.al., 2012), (c) IR spectroscopy,(Zaera, 2014), (d) temperature programmed reduction,(Cheng et.al., 2014) (e) transmission electron microscopy (Jin, Xin et.al., 2013), (f) scanning electron microscopy and phase analysis (Jin, X. et al., 2015) and (g) x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Sun et.al., 2014) (adapted from Jin & Chaudhari, 2017)).....	32

Figure 2.18	Equipment for parallel synthesis of catalysts including steps of impregnation, filtering, washing, and drying (adapted from Baerns, 2012) based on the development at Zinsser Analytic GmbH, Germany.....	38
Figure 2.19	Reactor for high-throughput testing of catalysts up to about (a) 1200°C and (b) up to 500 °C. (adapted from Moehmel, 2008) based on development at Leibniz-Institute of Catalysis at University of Rostock, Germany) .....	38
Figure 2.20	Laboratory catalytic reactors for catalyst testing (adapted from Dautzenberg, 2012) .....	39
Figure 2.21	Fixed bed reactor (plug flow) set-up for catalyst performance evaluation.....	39
Figure 2.22	A medium throughput multiple slurry reactor setup for catalyst testing of multiphase catalytic reactions .....	40
Figure 2.23	Schematic of various steps involved in heterogeneous catalytic reaction (adapted from Bravo-Suarez et al., 2013) .....	41
Figure 2.24	Progress of Heterogeneous Catalysis: How much do we know? (Chorkendorff & Niemantsverdriet, 2007) .....	42
Figure 2.25	(a) Catalytic Cycle and (b) Potential Energy Diagram of a heterogeneous Catalytic Reaction (Chorkendorff & Niemantsverdriet, 2007).....	43
Figure 2.26	Types of adsorption-Reaction Mechanisms: (a) LH Model, (b) Mars-van Krevelen Model and (c) Eley-Rideal Model (adapted from IZA, 2012). .....	46
Figure 2.27	Classification of Laboratory Reactors for Heterogeneous Catalysis (adapted from Deutschmann et al., 2009).....	53
Figure 2.28	Reaction scheme for hydrogenation of diethyl adipate (DEA).....	57
Figure 2.29	Comparison of experimental and predicted concentrations vs W/F data for hydrogenation of diethyl adipate for H <sub>2</sub> pressure = 3.9 MPa and H <sub>2</sub> flow rate = 60 L/h (STP) for (a) 543 K and (b) 563 K.....	59
Figure 2.30	Reactions involved during hydrogenolysis of glycerol with Ru-Re/C catalyst (Torres et al., 2010b).....	61
Figure 2.31	Typical concentration-time profile for aqueous phase hydrogenolysis of glycerol, using 1% Ru-1% Re/C catalyst. Reaction conditions: glycerol concentration, 1.1 kmol/m <sup>3</sup> ; 1% Ru-1% Re/C concentration, 16.66 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ; temperature, 493 K; P <sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> : 6.9 MPa. ....	61
Figure 2.32	Concentration-time profile at 473 K. Reaction conditions: glycerol concentration, 1.1 kmol/m <sup>3</sup> ; catalyst concentration, 16.66 kg/m <sup>3</sup> ; P <sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> : 6.9 MPa; solvent: H <sub>2</sub> O. ....	62
Figure 2.33	Cartoon of Catalyst Poisoning Mechanisms (adapted from IZA, 2012; Farrauto et al., 2016; Heck et al., 2009a).....	68
Figure 2.34	TEM of fresh and sintered Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst from Automobile Catalytic Converter: Black dots are Pt crystallites (adapted from Heck et al., 2009a) .....	69
Figure 2.35	Schematics of Catalyst Deactivation Mechanisms: (A) Coke formation, (B) Poisoning, (C) Sintering of the active metal particles, and (D) Sintering and solid-solid phase transitions and encapsulation of active metal particles (adapted from	

	Ulla Lassi, 2003, Deactivation Correlations of Pd/Rh Three-way Catalysts Designed from: <a href="http://herkules.oulu.fi/isbn9514269543/html/x546.html">http://herkules.oulu.fi/isbn9514269543/html/x546.html</a> ) ..... 70	70
Figure 2.36	Concentration Profile for a Multiphase (gas-liquid-solid) Catalytic Reaction (adapted from Mills, P L & Chaudhari, R V, 1997)..... 76	76
Figure 2.37	Catalyst particle shapes. (a) Circle lobe, (b) Rounded step, and (c) Trigonometric lobe..... 77	77
Figure 2.38	1-D concentration profiles using different flux models. .... 81	81
Figure 2.39	Comparison between profiles for the rounded step catalyst shape using the Wilke and Dusty-Gas flux models. .... 82	82
Figure 2.40	Comparison between profiles for the light bulb catalyst shape using the Wilke and Dusty-Gas flux models. .... 83	83
Figure 2.41	Comparison between profiles for the trigonometric catalyst shape using the Wilke and Dusty-Gas flux models. .... 83	83
Figure 2.42	Slice plots of temperature and concentration profiles for light-bulb catalyst shape using the Wilke flux model. .... 84	84
Figure 2.43	Intraparticle concentration profiles of key components in (a) Cylinder, (b) Sphere, and (c) H-Cylinder. Conditions: P = 25 bar, T = 493 K, H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2, and L <sub>e</sub> = 0.5 mm. .... 96	96
Figure 2.44	Intraparticle CO conversion profile for Sphere, Cylinder and H-Cylinder pellets..... 97	97
Figure 2.45	Intraparticle L/V ratio profile for Sphere, Cylinder and H-Cylinder pellets..... 97	97
Figure 2.46	Average concentration of key components in various catalyst pellet shapes as a function of temperature (a) H <sub>2</sub> , (b) CO, (c) CO <sub>2</sub> , and (d) H <sub>2</sub> O. Conditions: P = 25 bar, H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2, and L <sub>e</sub> = 0.5 mm. .... 98	98
Figure 2.47	Effect of operating conditions on intraparticle liquid-to-vapor ratio in various catalyst shapes. .... 99	99
Figure 2.48	Effect of particle shape and temperature on (a) Average diesel concentration (C <sub>13</sub> -C <sub>17</sub> ), (b) Average fuel gas concentration (C <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> ), and (c) Average methane-based diesel selectivity. Conditions: P = 25 bar, H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2, and L <sub>e</sub> = 0.5 mm..... 100	100
Figure 2.49	Effectiveness factor of various catalyst pellet shapes as a function of (a) Temperature, and (b) Thiele modulus. Conditions: P = 25 – 30 bar, T = 493 – 533 K, H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2, and L <sub>e</sub> = 0.5 mm. .... 101	101
Figure 2.50	Effect of diffusion flux models on intraparticle concentration profiles of (a) H <sub>2</sub> , (b) CO, (c) CO <sub>2</sub> , and (d) H <sub>2</sub> O. Conditions: R <sub>p</sub> = 1.5 mm, T = 493 K, P = 25 bar, κ = 25 nm, and H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2..... 102	102
Figure 2.51	Effect of diffusion flux models on intraparticle liquid-to-vapor ratio. Conditions: R <sub>p</sub> = 1.5 mm, T = 493 K, P = 25 bar, κ = 25 nm, and H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2..... 103	103

Figure 2.52	Effect of mean pore diameter on intraparticle concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> using (a) DGM, and (b) Wilke-Bosanquet model. Conditions: R <sub>p</sub> = 1.5 mm, T = 493 K, P = 25 bar, κ = 5-25 nm, and H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2.....	104
Figure 2.53	Effect of mean pore diameter on intraparticle concentration of methane-based diesel selectivity. (a) DGM, and (b) Wilke-Bosanquet model. Conditions: R <sub>p</sub> = 1.5 mm, T = 493 K, P = 25 bar, κ = 5-25 nm and H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2.....	104
Figure 2.54	Effect of mean pore diameter on intraparticle liquid-to-vapor ratio (L/V). (a) DGM, and (b) Wilke-Bosanquet model. Conditions: R <sub>p</sub> = 1.5 mm, T = 493 K, P = 25 bar, κ = 5-25 nm and H <sub>2</sub> /CO = 2. ....	105
Figure 3.1	Key phenomena that affect reactor performance. ....	131
Figure 3.2	Actual and Ideal Process R&D Approaches to Scale-up. ....	132
Figure 3.3	Key Parameters and Multiphysics Knowledge for Scale-up of Catalytic Reactors.....	134
Figure 3.4	Schematic of (a) Stages Involved in Scale-up, and (b) Methodologies to Reduce Adverse Effects on Scale-up of Trickle Bed Reactors (adapted from Ranade et al., 2011). ....	138
Figure 3.5	Comparison Between Model Predictions and Experimental Data for Hydrodesulfurization of a Crude Oil Feed in Pilot Plant Data (adapted from Bhaskar et al., 2004). (a) total sulfur content vs LHSV at various reactor temperatures; (b) total sulfur content vs temperature at various LHSV's. ....	141
Figure 3.6	Industrial Trickle Bed Hydroprocessing Reactor System (Bhaskar et al., 2004). ....	142
Figure 3.7	Sulfur dioxide oxidation convertor arrangement showing three-staged fixed-beds of solid heterogeneous catalyst with interstage cooling (Davenport and King, 2006). ....	145
Figure 3.8	Conversion vs temperature behavior in an adiabatic multi-bed reactor system for the oxidation of sulfur dioxide to sulfur trioxide (Bayer, 2015). ....	145
Figure 3.9	Particle and reactor domain geometries for fixed-bed reactor model. The parameter x refers to the reactor coordinate and r refers to the catalyst particle coordinate. (The latter is assumed to be the radius r corresponding to a spherical catalyst.).....	147
Figure 3.10	SO <sub>2</sub> conversion, temperature, specie molar ratio and reaction rate profiles for the 1-dimensional, adiabatic, pseudo-homogeneous reactor bed. ....	150
Figure 3.11	Conversion, temperature, specie molar ratio, and reaction rate profiles as a function of reactor volume for the non-ideal fixed-bed reactor bed model.....	151
Figure 3.12	Sulfur dioxide concentration profiles for 3 mm spherical particles (a) and 5 mm spherical particles (b).....	152
Figure 3.13	Conversion and particle sulfur dioxide concentration profiles for the fixed-bed reactor model with a 3 mm spherical catalyst. (a): With SO <sub>3</sub> in the feed; (b): Without SO <sub>3</sub> in the feed. ....	152



Figure 3.14	Sulfur dioxide concentration profiles in the particle with 3 mm radius at different positions in the reactor bed. The x axis is defined in terms of a dimensionless particle radius.....	153
Figure 3.15	Sulfur dioxide concentration profiles in the particle at different positions in the reactor bed for spherical particles having radii of 3 mm 5 mm, respectively.....	154
Figure 3.16	Interactions in a typical MTFBR.....	157
Figure 3.17	Catalyst pellet shapes .....	158
Figure 3.18	Extrusion coupling and linear projection strategies for a solution of a 1-D heterogeneous Fischer-Tropsch reactor model using COMSOL Multiphysics™. ....	162
Figure 3.19	Axial concentration profiles for the key reaction components. (a) H <sub>2</sub> , (b) CO <sub>2</sub> , (c) CO, and (d) H <sub>2</sub> O. (e): CO conversion profiles for different catalyst shapes at P = 25 and 30 bar, and T = 493 K. ....	165
Figure 3.20	Intraparticle CO <sub>2</sub> concentration profiles along the length of the reactor for different catalyst shapes. (a) cylinder, (b) ring, and (c) sphere at P = 25, and T = 493 K. ....	166
Figure 3.21	Comparison between intraparticle volume-averaged concentration profiles of CO <sub>2</sub> along the length of the reactor for different catalyst shapes.....	167
Figure 3.22	Axial temperature profiles of the fixed-bed with different catalyst shapes at P = 25 and 30 bar.....	167
Figure 3.23	Diesel range (C <sub>13</sub> -C <sub>17</sub> ) concentration profiles for different catalyst shapes along the length of the fixed-bed at P = 25 & 30 bar. ....	168
Figure 3.24	Procedure for Scale-up of Industrial Scale Catalytic Fluidized Bed Reactors. (adapted from Kelkar and Ng, 2002).....	172
Figure 3.25	Illustration of the Computer-Automated Radioactive Particle Tracking (CARPT) and Computer Tomography (CT) and their application to various multiphase reactors. ....	174

## TABLES

Table 1.1	Global demand of catalysts and forecast of application (adapted from ref. Bravo-Suarez et.al., 2013).....	2
Table 1.2	History of Heterogeneous Catalysts and Processes (adapted from Fecete et al., 2012).....	3
Table 1.3	Differences in Process Development for Bulk and Specialty products (adapted from Moulijn et al., 2001). ....	8
Table 2.1	Overview of common shaping techniques of silica (adapted from refs. Moulijn et.al., 1993; Petra, 2012).....	18

Table 2.2	Overview of the properties of commonly used catalyst supports (Petra, 2012) ....	21
Table 2.3	Summary of synthetic methods for producing single atom catalysts (Liu, 2017) .....	26
Table 2.4	Common catalyst characterization techniques for hydrogenation catalysts (adapted from Jin & Chaudhari, 2017) .....	32
Table 2.5	Classification of Deactivation Catalysts and Selection of Reactors (Dautzenberg, 2012) .....	37
Table 2.6	General Structure of LH Type of Rate Equations (adapted from Deutschmann et al., 2009 and Thomas, 1992).....	47
Table 2.7	Summary of recent studies on Kinetic modeling of heterogeneous catalytic reactions .....	47
Table 2.8	Micro kinetic modeling and computational models for heterogeneous catalysis ..	50
Table 2.9	Criteria for absence of external and intraparticle mass & heat transfer effects (Baerns et.al., 1999; Renken & Kiwi-Minsker, 2012).....	56
Table 2.10	Rate parameters for hydrogenation of diethyl adipate.....	60
Table 2.11	Summary of recent studies on Catalyst deactivation .....	64
Table 2.12	Recent literature reports on deactivation kinetics.....	71
Table 2.13	Summary of key parameters used in flux models.....	81
Table 2.14	Dimensions of different catalyst particle shapes with equal characteristic diffusion length ( $L_e = V_p/S_p = 0.5$ mm).....	88
Table 2.15	Catalyst physical properties.....	94
Table 2.16	Process conditions used in the simulations.....	94
Table 2.17	Boundary conditions.....	95
Table 2.18	Typical FT Product Distribution.....	99
Table 3.1	Key Metrics of Reactor Performance.....	131
Table 3.2	Examples of Scale-up of Catalytic Processes from Laboratory to Pilot Plant and Commercial Scales.....	135
Table 3.3	Comparison Between Operating Parameters and Mass Transfer Characteristics in Pilot Plant and Industrial Hydroprocessing Reactors (adapted from Bhaskar et al., 2004).....	142
Table 3.4	Comparison Between Model Predictions versus Operating Data and an Industrial Hydroprocessing Reactors (adapted from Bhaskar et al., 2004).....	143
Table 3.5	Particle domain boundary conditions.....	163
Table 3.6	Reactor domain boundary conditions.....	163
Table 3.7	Typical process variables and catalyst properties.....	164
Table 3.8	Magnitude of the hot-spot temperature.....	168