

STATE OF THE ART AND FUTURE PROSPECTS FOR ELECTROCHEMICAL CO₂ CONVERSION ROUTES

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
November 2018



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION.....	1
1.2 AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS.....	3
1.3 REFERENCES.....	4
2. FUNDAMENTALS AND METHODOLOGY OF ELECTROCATALYTIC CO₂ REDUCTION (ECR)	7
2.1 GENERAL REACTION PATHWAYS AND CONCEPTUAL APPROACHES.....	7
2.1.1 The Electrochemical Experiment.....	7
2.1.2 Electrocatalysts and Reaction Pathways	9
2.1.3 Catalyst Benchmarking	10
2.2 HOMOGENEOUS ELECTROCATALYSTS	11
2.3 HETEROGENEOUS ELECTROCATALYSTS	15
2.3.1 Single Metal Catalysts.....	17
2.4 PAIRED REACTIONS.....	19
2.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	21
2.6 REFERENCES.....	21
3. SCOPE AND POTENTIAL TARGET PRODUCTS	23
3.1 CARBON MONOXIDE/SYNGAS	23
3.2 CARBOXYLIC ACIDS.....	34
3.2.1 Formic Acid.....	34
3.2.2 Acetic Acid.....	43
3.2.3 Oxalic Acid.....	44
3.2.4 Higher Carboxylic Acids.....	46
3.3 FORMALDEHYDE.....	46
3.4 ALCOHOLS.....	47
3.4.1 Methanol.....	47
3.4.2 Ethanol.....	51
3.4.3 Higher alcohols.....	53
3.5 HYDROCARBONS.....	58
3.5.1 Methane.....	58

3.5.2 Ethylene.....	59
3.6 MISCELLANEOUS.....	60
3.7 CONCLUSION	61
3.8 REFERENCES.....	63
4. TECHNO-ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF ECR TECHNOLOGIES.....	71
4.1 TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL (TRL) AND CRITERIA APPLIED	72
4.2 EVALUATION OF THE TRL OF THE DIFFERENT ECO ₂ RR PRODUCTS	73
4.3 CONCLUSIONS.....	77
4.4 REFERENCES.....	78
5. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES.....	79
5.1 REFERENCES.....	80
6. INDEX.....	81

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Qualitative molecular orbital diagram of CO ₂	2
Figure 2.1	Main research on new electroactive materials for electrocatalytic reduction of CO ₂	16
Figure 3.1	Physical characterization of NCNTs. (a) SEM images of NCNTs at low magnification. (b) EELS element mapping of N and C. (c) Statistic nitrogen atomic content and relative percentage. (d) Scheme of the graphitic, pyrolic, and pyridinic nitrogen configuration.....	24
Figure 3.2	The Pd(CNC _{p-R}) ⁺ CO ₂ reduction electrocatalyst.....	32
Figure 3.3	Activity of different metal chalcogenides for photocatalytic reduction of CO ₂ to HCOOH. Conditions: catalyst, 25 mg; irradiation ($\lambda = 420-780$ nm, $0.3 \text{ W}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$); MeCN, 20 mL; TEOA, 1 g; time, 10 h; illuminated area, 5 cm ² ; CO ₂ pressure, 3 MPa; temperature, 25 °C.....	35
Figure 3.4	Typical SEM images of Sn/CNT-Agls (a and b). Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of Sn/CNT and Sn/CNT-Agls (c) and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution curve of Sn/CNT-Agls (d).....	36
Figure 3.5	TEM images of (a) 7 nm Cu NPs, (b) 7/0.8 nm Cu/SnO ₂ NPs and (c) 7/1.8 nm Cu/SnO ₂ NPs. (d) HR-TEM image of a representative 7/0.8 nm Cu/SnO ₂ NP. (e) EELS elemental mapping of Cu and Sn of a 7/0.8 nm Cu/SnO ₂ NP. (f) EELS line scan across a 7/0.8 nm Cu/SnO ₂ NP.....	36
Figure 3.6	(a) Linear sweep voltammetry scans in the presence of the C-Cu/SnO _{2-0.8} catalyst in Ar- or CO ₂ -saturated 0.5 M KHCO ₃ aqueous solution, scan rate = 5 mV s ⁻¹ . Reduction potential dependent FEs for eCO ₂ RR measured on (b) C-Cu/SnO _{2-0.8} catalyst, (c) C-Cu/SnO _{2-1.8} catalyst and (d) acid-treated Sn foil electrode.....	37
Figure 3.7	SEM images of BDD electrodes with a boron content of (a) 0.01%, (b) 0.1%, (c) 0.5%, (d) 1%, and (e) 2% at the same magnification	38

Figure 3.8	Faradaic efficiencies for the production of formic acid (red), hydrogen (green), and carbon monoxide (blue) by the eCO ₂ RR at BDD electrodes with various boron contents at -2 mA cm ⁻² for 1 h. The error bars show the standard deviation obtained by repeating experiments three times.....	38
Figure 3.9	Manganese electrocatalyst for CO ₂ reduction to HCOO ⁻ /HCOOH	39
Figure 3.10	Reduced forms of [Ru(tpy)(pbn)(CO)] ²⁺	41
Figure 3.11	Ni-CCC pincer complex.....	43
Figure 3.12	(A) Predicted limiting potential ($U_{L, \text{Volcano}}$) for the elementary steps in eCO ₂ RR that involve the intermediate binding through carbon. (B) Predicted Gibbs free energy changes in the selectivity-determining step (CH ₄ vs. CH ₃ OH production) as a function of OH binding energy at zero electrode potential. The CH ₃ OH production is preferred at weaker (less negative) OH binding energies. Out of total 27 stable NSAs calculated in this study, those NSAs that are located close to the top of CO-analogous volcano (<i>i.e.</i> , between Cu and Au) are marked in blue, while other NSAs and pure metals are marked in black (also calculated in this study). We note that this volcano is usually semiquantitative in guiding the design of new catalysts, given a scatter in scaling relations.	48
Figure 3.13	CO and H binding energies for the lowest energy configurations of NSAs (black dots), potentially promising NSAs (blue dots) with low expected overpotentials (those that are between Cu and Au), and pure metals (hollow black dots). The values in parentheses are the OH binding energies. The vertical dashed line indicates the optimal CO binding energy: <i>i.e.</i> , the top of the volcano in Figure 2A. On the right, the volcano relation of the limiting potential ($U_{L, \text{Volcano}}$, x axis) for the hydrogen evolution reaction is shown as a function of H binding energies (y axis). The arrows indicate a desired direction for catalyst design with higher activity for CO ₂ reduction reaction as well as low HER. Hollow circles (calculated in this study) and black triangles indicate the calculated overpotential for the HER reaction.....	49
Figure 3.14	a) SEM images of CuAu sample deposited on nanoporous Cu film. b) Faradaic efficiency of methanol and ethanol on different electrodes c),d) Free energy diagram for the c) eCO ₂ RR to CH ₄ or CH ₃ OH (shown in red) and d) H ₂ evolution reactions at zero electrode potential for Cu (black) and W/Au (blue).	50
Figure 3.15	Electrocatalytic activity of carbon nanostructures towards CO ₂ reduction. (a) FEs of CO, CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄ , HCOO ⁻ , C ₂ H ₅ OH, CH ₃ COO ⁻ , and C ₃ H ₇ OH at various applied cathodic potentials for NGQDs. (b) FEs of CO ₂ reduction products for pristine GQDs. (c) Selectivity for NRGs. (d) Tafel plots of partial current density <i>versus</i> applied cathodic potential for three nanostructured carbon catalysts. The error bar represents the s.d. of three separate measurements for an electrode	52
Figure 3.16	Electrochemical CO ₂ reduction activity of transformed densely packed Cu nanoparticle ensembles (trans-CuEn). (A) FE of C ₁ , C ₂ , and C ₃ products at various potentials for trans-CuEn. (B) FE of major products at various potentials for trans-CuEn. Electrochemical tests were conducted using 0.1 M KHCO ₃ solution at 1 atm CO ₂ . Error bars shown are 1 SD from three independent measurements.....	53

Figure 3.17	Bar graph reporting the Faradaic efficiencies for each product on the different cube-shaped copper nanocrystals and on a Cu foil at $-1.1 V_{RHE}$ in 0.1 M potassium bicarbonate electrolyte solution.	54
Figure 3.18	Faradaic efficiency for C_2H_4 , C_2H_6 , CO, HCOOH, ethanol, <i>n</i> -propanol, and H_2 on Cu nanowire arrays with different lengths at $-1.1 V_{RHE}$ in CO_2 -saturated 0.1 M aqueous $KHCO_3$ electrolytes (0 mm nanowire represents Cu foil).	55
Figure 3.19	Reaction pathways for C_1 and C_2 products in e CO_2 RR on modified Cu mesh supports	57
Figure 3.20	Faradaic efficiencies for the production of C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 products by copper nanoparticles.....	57
Figure 3.21	a–c) Current densities and d–f) corresponding product distributions of various nanostructured electrodes and polycrystalline copper.	60
Figure 4.1	Schematic representation of different pathways for e CO_2 RR to liquid products. The pathways are CO_2 electroreduction to (1) CO and subsequent Fischer-Tropsch conversion of syngas to diesel fuel (CO_2 -CO-FTL), (2) ethanol in one step (CO_2 - C_2H_5OH), (3) CO and subsequent reduction to ethanol in two steps (CO_2 -CO- C_2H_5OH), and (4) formic acid (CO_2 -HCOOH).	72
Figure 4.2	Number of literature reports per year with the term “electrochemical reduction of CO_2 ”, showcasing the exponential rise of interest in the related research field over the past 18 years.....	73
Figure 4.3	Total costs (combined CAPEX and OPEX) per tonne of product generated against market price per tonne of product.	74
Figure 4.4	Faradaic efficiency vs. total current density for (a) C_1 and (c) C_2 - C_3 products and energetic efficiency vs. total current density for (b) C_1 and (d) C_2 - C_3 products.	75
Figure 4.5	Technology Readiness Level (TRL) ranges of the considered CO_2 utilization technologies.....	76

TABLES

Table 3.1	Mn based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	25
Table 3.2	Fe based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	27
Table 3.3	Co based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	29
Table 3.4	Ni based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	30
Table 3.5	Ru based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	31
Table 3.6	Re based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies	33

Table 3.7	Ir based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ to CO and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies.....	34
Table 3.8	Group 8 transition metal based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ to HCOO ⁻ /HCOOH and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies.....	40
Table 3.9	Group 9 transition metal based catalysts for electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ to HCOO ⁻ /HCOOH and their maximum Faradaic efficiencies.....	41
Table 3.10	Possible reactions in electrocatalytic CO ₂ reduction and associated potentials vs. SHE	47
Table 3.11	FE for the formation of ethanol and <i>n</i> -propanol by electrochemical CO ₂ reduction on transition metal modified Cu-based electrodes	55
Table 3.12	Standard potentials of possible reactions in electrochemical CO ₂ reduction reaction	61
Table 4.1	Different TRL levels, as a system to evaluate available technologies.	72
Table 4.2	Current and estimated costs of production by CO ₂ -electrolysis for H ₂ , CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄ , HCOO ⁻ and CH ₃ OH. Table reproduced from Durst et al. ^[146]	75

SCHEMES

Scheme 2.1	Experimental setup for electrochemical studies during CO ₂ and N ₂ purging in a standard three-electrode arrangement in H-Cell with gas inlet and outlet. Nanofibrous Co ₃ O ₄ acts as a WE, Pt as a CE and Ag/AgCl as RE in a 0.1 M TBAPF ₆ in acetonitrile with 1.0 vol% H ₂ O	7
Scheme 2.2	Reference electrode potentials vs. NHE. Ag/AgCl electrode potential value is given for a 3 M KCl solution.....	8
Scheme 2.3	Schematic presentation of potentials and overpotentials of important steps in electrochemical CO ₂ reduction.....	8
Scheme 2.4	General electrochemical CO ₂ reduction mechanism by a homogeneous (top) and heterogeneous (bottom)	9
Scheme 2.5	Inner and outer-sphere mechanism in electrochemical homogeneously catalyzed CO ₂ reduction.....	12
Scheme 2.6	Metal-CO ₂ binding modes occurring with one metal center	13
Scheme 2.7	Non-acid assisted pathways for the reduction of CO ₂	14
Scheme 2.8	Catalytic cycle for Brønsted assisted reduction of CO ₂	14
Scheme 2.9	Possible mechanisms for the different metal groups towards formation of C ₁ /C ₂ products.....	17
Scheme 2.10	ECO ₂ RR to CO and OER by Meyer et al. catalyzed by the same Ru complex catalyst	20
Scheme 2.11	Paired cathodic CO ₂ to CO electroconversion catalyzed by a Re-bpy complex and anodic synthesis of benzimidazole mediated by ceric ammonium nitrate	20

Scheme 3.1	Proposed mechanism for CO ₂ reduction over PEI-functionalized N-doped carbon nanomaterials.....	39
Scheme 3.2	Proposed catalytic cycle with Ir ^I generated <i>in situ</i> from CO ₂ and a two-electron reduction.....	42
Scheme 3.3	Mechanistic pathways for the electrocatalytic production of formic acid, acetic acid and methanol (red dots = electrocatalytically active sites)	44
Scheme 3.4	Reaction pathways for the electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ in the (A) absence and (B, C) presence of EMImTf ₂ N at a Pb Electrode in MeCN	45
Scheme 3.5	Reaction pathway for the pyridinium catalyzed production of methanol	51
Scheme 3.6	Proposed reaction paths for electrocatalytic reduction of CO ₂ on Cu nanowire arrays (orange dots = electrochemically active Cu sites).....	56
Scheme 3.7	Possible electrochemical cells for combined electrolysis and methanogenesis of CO ₂ to methane.	59