

ADVANCES IN REFINERY CRACKING CATALYSTS AND PROCESSES II

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
December 2015



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Matthew A. Colquitt at Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1130).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	XIX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. FLUID CATALYTIC CRACKING TECHNOLOGY	5
2.1 THE FCC IN TODAY’S REFINERY	5
2.1.1 Technology Overview	6
2.1.2 Feedstock Challenges	8
2.1.2.1 Residue processing review	8
2.1.2.2 Tight oil review	14
2.1.2.3 Bitumen feedstocks	24
2.1.3 FCC and the Petrochemical Connection	25
2.1.3.1 Introduction	25
2.1.3.2 Maximizing propylene production in conventional FCC’s.....	26
2.1.3.3 High severity – high propylene FCC designs.....	27
2.1.3.4 Ethylene recovery & use as a chemical feedstock	31
2.1.3.5 Butylene as a chemical feedstock.....	32
2.1.3.6 Aromatic recovery	32
2.1.3.7 Other propylene options	32
2.1.4 Maximizing Middle Distillate Yield	33
2.1.5 Maximizing Slurry Conversion	33
2.1.6 FCC Reliability and Operations	34
2.1.6.1 Computational particle fluid dynamics	34
2.1.6.2 Radioactive tracer studies.....	37
2.1.6.3 Cyclone advances	38
2.1.7 Fluid Solids Research.....	40
2.1.7.1 Down flow reactor.....	40
2.1.8 Process Licensors	40
2.1.8.1 Kellogg brown and root (KBR).....	40
2.1.8.2 CB&I FCC technology (formerly Lummus).....	42
2.1.8.3 Sinopec	45
2.1.8.4 Technip technology	45
2.1.8.5 Honeywell UOP technology.....	48
2.2 FCC CATALYSTS.....	50

2.2.1	Multicomponent Catalyst Systems	50
2.2.2	Iron Traps.....	50
2.2.3	FCC Catalysts & Metals Deposition.....	51
2.2.4	Boron Based Catalysis.....	52
2.2.5	Nano-Zeolites for FCC Catalyst.....	52
2.2.6	Catalyst & Additive Suppliers.....	53
2.2.6.1	Albemarle	54
2.2.6.2	BASF	57
2.2.6.3	Johnson Matthey.....	61
2.2.6.4	W.R. Grace	63
2.3	Environmental Issues.....	67
2.3.1	The FCC Regenerator.....	67
2.3.2	Hydrogen Cyanide Emissions and the FCC Regenerator.....	68
2.4	REFERENCES.....	71
3.	ADVANCES IN HYDROCRACKING AND HYDROTREATING TECHNOLOGY	77
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	77
3.2	FEEDSTOCK AND PRODUCT MARKET DRIVERS.....	79
3.2.1	Current Economic Climate	79
3.2.2	The Need for More Hydrocrackers.....	79
3.2.3	Current Hydrogen Market.....	79
3.2.4	The Future of Hydrotreating and Hydrocracking	80
3.3	Catalyst Developments	80
3.3.1	Short Summary of Existing Catalysts.....	80
3.3.2	Improving the Cold Flow Product Properties from Existing ULSD Hydrotreaters	81
3.3.3	In Situ and Ex Situ Pre-Sulfiding	83
3.3.4	New Approaches for Demetallization	84
3.3.4.1	Distillation and solvent extraction.....	84
3.3.4.2	Chemical methods	84
3.3.5	Dual Catalysts for Ebullated Bed Reactors	85
3.3.6	Increasing the Bio Content of FAME Bio-Diesel.....	87
3.3.7	Green Diesel in Existing Refineries	88
3.3.8	Catalyst Suppliers.....	92
3.3.8.1	Albemarle catalysts.....	92

3.3.8.2	Honeywell UOP catalysts.....	92
3.3.8.3	Criterion.....	93
3.3.8.4	Johnson Matthey.....	95
3.3.8.5	W.R. Grace and ART (a JV between W.R. Grace and Chevron)	95
3.4	REACTOR SYSTEM AND ENGINEERING	97
3.4.1	Four-Stage Hydrocracking Pretreatment.....	97
3.4.2	Membranes for Diesel	99
3.4.3	Re-Refining of Spent Lubrication Oils	100
3.4.4	Modular Compressor Package for Hydrotreating	103
3.4.5	Technology Licensors	104
3.4.5.1	Shell Global Solutions.....	104
3.4.5.2	Axens.....	107
3.4.5.3	Haldor Topsøe	108
3.4.5.4	Chevron Lummus Global	109
3.4.5.5	Eni Slurry Phase Technology (EST).....	111
3.4.5.6	ExxonMobil.....	113
3.4.5.7	Honeywell UOP	116
3.5	FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....	118
3.5.1	Hydrogen production.....	118
3.5.1.1	Structured catalysts for hydrogen.....	119
3.5.1.2	Solar-energy based hydrogen production of hydrogen	121
3.5.1.3	Bio-mass reforming in supercritical water (BRSCW)	122
3.5.2	Tailoring Industrial Hydrocracking Catalysts.....	125
3.5.3	Life-Cycle Assessment of Bio-Fuels	128
3.5.4	Algae Production	129
3.5.5	Concluding Remarks.....	130
3.6	REFERENCES.....	132
4.	RESID UPGRADING	135
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	135
4.1.1	Residua, Heavy Oil, Extra Heavy Oil, and Tar Sand Bitumen	135
4.1.1.1	Origin and Occurrence	136
4.1.1.2	Properties.....	137
4.1.2	Recent Historical Perspective.....	139
4.1.2.1	Installed technologies	139

4.1.2.2	Catalyst technologies	140
4.2	FEEDSTOCK AND PRODUCT MARKET DRIVERS	140
4.2.1	Product Slate Drivers	141
4.2.1.1	Demand changes and geographical considerations	141
4.2.1.2	Pollution regulations	141
4.2.1.3	Other considerations	142
4.2.2	Feedstock Drivers	142
4.2.2.1	Drivers	142
4.2.2.2	Geographical issues	143
4.2.2.3	Hydrogen requirements	143
4.3	UPGRADING TECHNOLOGY FOR HEAVY FEEDSTOCKS	144
4.3.1	New Commercial and Announced Reactor Technologies	145
4.3.2	Reactor Strategies	146
4.3.3	Feed Inlet and Distribution Systems	147
4.3.4	Process Licensors	148
4.3.4.1	Axens	148
4.3.4.2	Chevron Lummus Global	149
4.3.4.3	ExxonMobil	149
4.3.4.4	GenOil Inc.	150
4.3.4.5	Headwaters Technology Inc.	151
4.3.4.6	KBR-VEBA	152
4.3.4.7	Pristec AG	153
4.3.4.8	Shell Global Solutions	153
4.3.4.9	Superior Upgrading Technology	154
4.3.4.10	Technip Stone & Webster	155
4.3.4.11	Toyo Engineering	155
4.3.4.12	Honeywell UOP	155
4.4	NEW CATALYST TECHNOLOGIES FOR HEAVY FEEDSTOCKS	156
4.4.1	Physical Composite Properties	157
4.4.2	Support Materials	157
4.4.3	Metal Functions for Hydrogenation	157
4.4.4	Acid Functions for Cracking	157
4.4.5	Contaminant Removal and Catalyst Stability	158
4.4.6	Multiple Catalyst Schemes	158

4.4.7	Commercial Catalysts.....	158
4.4.7.1	Albemarle	159
4.4.7.2	Axens.....	159
4.4.7.3	BASF.....	159
4.4.7.4	Criterion.....	159
4.4.7.5	ENI slurry phase technology.....	161
4.4.7.6	Haldor Topsøe.....	161
4.4.7.7	JGC.....	162
4.4.7.8	SulphCo Inc.....	163
4.4.7.9	Honeywell UOP	163
4.5	FUTURE DIRECTIONS: HEAVY FEEDSTOCK UPGRADING TECHNOLOGY IN THE PERIOD 2020-2060.....	163
4.5.1	R&D for Improved Processes.....	163
4.5.2	R&D for New Catalysts	165
4.6	REFERENCES.....	166
5.	INDEX.....	177

FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Diagram of a single stage regenerator.....	10
Figure 2.2	Diagram of a two stage regenerator	11
Figure 2.3	Feed injection system.....	12
Figure 2.4	Example of a riser termination device.....	13
Figure 2.5	Top 10 countries by technically recoverable shale oil reserves.....	15
Figure 2.6	Slurry API gravity vs. equilibrium catalyst iron	20
Figure 2.7	Slurry density vs. equilibrium catalyst accessibility	20
Figure 2.8	Honeywell UOP RxCat Technology.....	22
Figure 2.9	Deep catalytic cracker	27
Figure 2.10	Honeywell UOP's PetroFCC	28
Figure 2.11	KBR's MAXOFIN.....	28
Figure 2.12	KBR's KCOT technologies are based upon the orthoflow design	29
Figure 2.13	Technip's High-Severity FCC	30
Figure 2.14	Eliminating afterburn - before & after time averaged simulations demonstrating elimination of maldistribution (temperature plots).....	36
Figure 2.15	Eliminating afterburn - before & after time averaged simulations demonstrating elimination of maldistribution (10 concentration plots).....	36

Figure 2.16	Dynamic simulation of regenerator identifying high energy impact zones likely leading to erosion.....	37
Figure 2.17	First & second stage cyclone operation.....	38
Figure 2.18	CB&I's FCC/ Indmax FCC Configuration.....	43
Figure 2.19	Micro-Jet™ Fresh Feed Injectors.....	43
Figure 2.20	Patented Modular Grid (ModGrid™) internals of stripper.....	43
Figure 2.21	Reaction termination device (RTD).....	44
Figure 2.22	Regenerator nitrogen reactions.....	68
Figure 2.23	TPO of spent catalyst regeneration without CO promoter (IR detector, 3% O ₂ , 2.5% H ₂ O in N ₂).....	69
Figure 2.24	Effects of regenerator layout.....	70
Figure 3.1	Projected transport fuels supply.....	77
Figure 3.2	Feeds and products from hydrotreating and hydrocracking.....	78
Figure 3.3	Ebullated bed technology for heavy oil upgrading.....	87
Figure 3.4	Process flow diagram for the revamped unit at preem gothenburg.....	89
Figure 3.5	Reaction pathways in hydrotreating of rapeseed oil.....	89
Figure 3.6	Axens Vegan Process.....	90
Figure 3.7	Photosynthesis.....	90
Figure 3.8	Current and future bio-diesel production.....	91
Figure 3.9	Comparison of bio-diesel processes.....	91
Figure 3.10	High pressure ULSD STAX© catalyst systems.....	92
Figure 3.11	Honeywell UOP's Hydroprocessing Catalysts.....	93
Figure 3.12	Hydrocracking Catalysts by Criterion/Zeolyst.....	94
Figure 3.13	Tri-lobe shaped hydrocracking catalysts.....	94
Figure 3.14	ART ICR series of RDS catalyst commercialization.....	96
Figure 3.15	ART Deep conversion catalysts.....	96
Figure 3.16	Four-Stage hydrocracking pretreatment.....	98
Figure 3.17	Cross flow filtration configuration.....	99
Figure 3.18	Lubricating oil additives.....	101
Figure 3.19	Properties of spent lube oil.....	102
Figure 3.20	Simplified re-refining plant process diagram.....	102
Figure 3.21	Possible catalyst pore structure.....	103
Figure 3.22	Shell's High Dispersion Tray.....	105
Figure 3.23	Shell's UFQ Tray.....	105
Figure 3.24	Shell's UFQ Results.....	106

Figure 3.25	Axens EquiFlow Distribution Tray	108
Figure 3.26	Haldor Topsøe’s VLT Tray	108
Figure 3.27	Haldor Topsøe reactor internals	109
Figure 3.28	Chevron Lummus Global Reactor Internals.....	110
Figure 3.29	CLG’s On-stream Catalyst Replacement (OCR) process	111
Figure 3.30	EST demonstration plant results.....	112
Figure 3.31	Eni’s EST complex layout.....	112
Figure 3.32	Simplified diagram of the EST process.....	113
Figure 3.33	MIDW TM technology from EMRE.....	114
Figure 3.34	Trim Dewaxing with MIDW TM retractor configuration.....	114
Figure 3.35	Trim Dewaxing with MIDW TM seasonal operation	115
Figure 3.36	Integrated Processes from EMRE/Honeywell UOP.....	115
Figure 3.37	Honeywell UOP’s Unionfining diagram.....	117
Figure 3.38	Honeywell UOP’s Unicracker diagram.....	118
Figure 3.39	Structured SMR catalysts	119
Figure 3.40	Relative performance of SMR catalysts	120
Figure 3.41	SMR Results with Ni honeycomb catalyst.....	121
Figure 3.42	Solar hydrogen production scheme	122
Figure 3.43	Bio-mass reforming in supercritical water	123
Figure 3.44	Super critical water gasification	124
Figure 3.45	Possible catalyst pore structure	126
Figure 3.46	Structure of tetra ethyl orthosilicate	126
Figure 3.47	“Dry Syn” preparation method.....	127
Figure 3.48	Preparation of Y sieve	127
Figure 3.49	High Performance Zeolites by “Dry Syn”.....	128
Figure 3.50	Biofuels and electricity production using algae production.....	130
Figure 4.1	Properties of 650°F+ (343°C+) Residua from Various Crude Oils	139
Figure 4.2	Conventional hydrocracking catalyst particle (left); In situ catalyst production concept (right)	146
Figure 4.3	Axens line of residue and heavy-fraction conversion technologies	148
Figure 4.4	CLG LC-FINING Process.....	149
Figure 4.5	ExxonMobil Flexicoking Process	150
Figure 4.6	GHU hydroconversion operating range	151
Figure 4.7	HCAAT hydrocracking technology	151

Figure 4.8	VCC process flow scheme.....	152
Figure 4.9	Pristec cold cracking upgrading process.....	153
Figure 4.10	Typical Shell Gasification Process (SGP) scheme	154
Figure 4.11	Hydrocarbon cracking during cavitation bubble collapse	155
Figure 4.12	Honeywell UOP residue conversion solutions	156
Figure 4.13	The role of different pore size in cracking resid constituents.....	158
Figure 4.14	Criterion fixed bed catalyst.....	160
Figure 4.15	EST simplified process scheme	161

TABLES

Table 2.1	Papers Published by Catalyst & Additive Suppliers.....	6
Table 2.2	Papers Published by Engineering Companies.....	7
Table 2.3	Effect of Feed Concarbon on Regenerator Selection.....	10
Table 2.4	LTO's Impact Comes From Its Volume And Quality	16
Table 2.5	Tight Oil Metal Concentrations	18
Table 2.6	Comparative Crude Composition.....	24
Table 2.7	Comparative VGO Qualities.....	24
Table 2.8	Comparison of Cone Erosion Rates for Different Cyclone Configurations	39
Table 2.9	Conventional FCC vs. RxCat Technology Comparison	49
Table 2.10	Changes in the Single Particle Macro Pore Network Caused by the Metals Fe & Ni.....	52
Table 2.11	Catalyst Portfolios of the Major Catalyst Suppliers.....	53
Table 2.12	Additive Portfolios of the Major Additive Suppliers.....	54
Table 3.1	Summary of Hydrocracking Catalysts	81
Table 4.1	Simplified Distinction Between Conventional Crude Oil, Heavy Oil, Extra Heavy Oil, and Tar Sand Bitumen.	138
Table 4.2	JGC Catalysts for Heavy Feedstock Conversion.	162