

## **ADVANCES IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY VIA SEPARATIONS TECHNOLOGY**

A techno-economic investigation  
commissioned by the members of the  
**Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program**

Client Private  
April 2014



### **The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program**

The **CO<sub>2</sub>CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO<sub>2</sub> capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO<sub>2</sub> life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO<sub>2</sub>CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO<sub>2</sub>CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO<sub>2</sub>CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at [John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com](mailto:John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com) or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680  
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A  
ph: +1.215.628.4447

# CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>xxv</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .....	1
1.2 OVERVIEW OF SEPARATIONS TECHNOLOGIES FOR GAINING ENERGY EFFICIENCIES.....	2
1.3 DRIVERS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY GAINS VIA SEPARATIONS .....	2
1.3.1 Via Membranes .....	2
1.3.2 Via Adsorbents.....	3
1.3.3 Via Enhanced Distillation/Extraction (Catalytic, Extractive, etc.) .....	3
1.4 GAINS AND REMAINING CHALLENGES.....	3
1.5 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS.....	4
<b>2. ADVANCES IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY VIA MEMBRANES</b> .....	<b>7</b>
2.1 OVERVIEW OF MEMBRANES .....	7
2.1.1 Membrane Types.....	8
2.1.1.1 Nonporous Membranes .....	8
2.1.1.2 Porous Membranes.....	8
2.1.1.3 Facilitated Transport Membranes.....	9
2.1.2 Membrane Processes .....	9
2.1.3 Membrane Modules.....	9
2.1.3.1 Spiral-Wound Permeators .....	10
2.1.3.2 Hollow-Fiber Permeators .....	10
2.2 ADVANCED SEPARATIONS VIA MEMBRANES – GAS.....	10
2.2.1 H <sub>2</sub> Production .....	11
2.2.1.1 Market and Related CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions .....	11
2.2.1.2 Membrane-Based Hydrogen Production Processes .....	12
2.2.1.3 Commercialization Progress of Dense Metal Membranes.....	14
2.2.1.4 Case Study: Water-Gas-Shift Membrane Reactor for High-Pressure H <sub>2</sub> Production .....	15
2.2.1.5 Case Study: Inorganic Membranes for Refinery Gas Separations.....	20

2.2.2	Air Separation .....	23
2.2.2.1	N <sub>2</sub> Production .....	23
2.2.2.2	O <sub>2</sub> Production .....	23
2.2.3	Air Dehydration.....	24
2.2.4	Olefin-Paraffin Separations.....	24
2.2.4.1	Market and Related CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions .....	24
2.2.4.2	Background of Olefin-Paraffin Separations .....	25
2.2.4.3	Case Study: Membrane Technology for Olefin-Paraffin Separation .....	28
2.2.5	Natural Gas Separations .....	30
2.2.6	CO <sub>2</sub> Separation.....	32
2.3	ADVANCED SEPARATIONS VIA MEMBRANES – LIQUID .....	33
2.3.1	Desalination.....	33
2.3.1.1	Market and Related CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions .....	33
2.3.1.2	Background on Reverse Osmosis.....	35
2.3.1.3	Case Study: Improved Seawater Desalination with Nanocomposite Membranes .....	37
2.3.2	Water Purification .....	40
2.3.3	Lube Oil Processing .....	40
2.3.4	Solvent Dehydration.....	41
2.3.5	Biofuel Purification .....	41
2.4	OVERARCHING HURDLES .....	41
2.5	CONCLUSIONS.....	42
2.6	REFERENCES.....	43
<b>3.</b>	<b>ADVANCES IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY VIA ADSORBENTS.....</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	47
3.1.1	State of "Benchmark" Technologies for Separations via Adsorbents.....	47
3.1.2	By Type and Material.....	47
3.1.3	By Process (e.g., temperature swing, pressure swing, humidity, physical adsorption, chemical adsorption etc.).....	49
3.1.4	Recovery of Adsorbed Materials.....	50
3.1.4.1	Temperature Swing Adsorption (TSA).....	50
3.1.4.2	Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA).....	50

3.2	ADVANCED SEPARATION OF GASES VIA ADSORPTION .....	50
3.2.1	Air and CO <sub>2</sub> Separation and Purification .....	50
3.2.1.1	Case Study: Comparison of UOP Molecular Sieve 13X APG and APG-III for air prepurification for CO <sub>2</sub> removal .....	50
3.2.1.2	Case Study: Zeochem adsorbents for air prepurification via CO <sub>2</sub> removal and air separation .....	51
3.2.1.3	Case Study: ADA adsorbent for CO <sub>2</sub> removal.....	52
3.2.2	Drying for Dehumidification and Sustainable Chemical Production.....	54
3.2.2.1	Case Study: UOP MOLSIV adsorbents .....	54
3.2.2.2	Case Study: BASF SORBEADS.....	55
3.2.3	Ammonia Production via Adsorption .....	56
3.2.3.1	Case Study: UOP Polybed.....	56
3.2.3.2	Case Study: Linde Ammonia Concept (LAC) .....	57
3.2.3.3	Case Study: SmartKoncept Technologies and University of South Carolina.....	58
3.2.4	Adsorbents for Adsorbed Natural Gas (ANG) Storage.....	59
3.2.4.1	Case Study: ANG technology developed at the University of Missouri.....	60
3.3	ADVANCED SEPARATION OF LIQUIDS VIA ADSORPTION .....	62
3.3.1	Removal of Toxins and Hazardous Chemicals .....	62
3.3.1.1	Case Study: UOP HgSIV and GB for the removal of mercury.....	62
3.3.2	Chemical and Petrochemical Refining.....	63
3.3.2.1	Case Study: Samsung/ Para-xylene aromatics separation.....	63
3.4	COMMERCIAL/MARKET OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY GAINS.....	64
3.4.1	Benchmark Technologies.....	64
3.4.2	Recent Advances .....	65
3.4.2.1	Case Study: CO <sub>2</sub> Capture: Air Separation Technologies .....	65
3.4.2.2	Case Study: CH <sub>4</sub> -CO <sub>2</sub> Separations.....	65
3.4.3	Developmental Routes .....	66
3.5	REMAINING HURDLES (E.G., TECHNICAL, ECONOMIC, ETC.).....	66
3.6	CONCLUSIONS.....	67
3.7	REFERENCES.....	67

<b>4. ADVANCES IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY VIA ENHANCED DISTILLATION/EXTRACTION .....</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1 STATE OF “BENCHMARK” TECHNOLOGIES FOR SEPARATIONS VIA ENHANCED DISTILLATION/EXTRACTION.....	71
4.1.1 Enhanced Distillation Processes.....	72
4.1.2 Extractive Distillation .....	73
4.1.3 Integrated Distillation Processes .....	73
4.1.3.1 Zeolite upgrading of crude oil.....	73
4.1.3.2 Ethane Cracking .....	75
4.2 EXTRACTIVE DISTILLATION .....	75
4.2.1 C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub> Parrafin Separation.....	75
4.2.2 Toluene Diisocyanate Production .....	78
4.2.3 Xylene Separation .....	80
4.2.4 Ethylene Hydration for Ethanol Production.....	82
4.2.5 Propane/Propylene Distillation by Vapor Decompression.....	85
4.3 OTHER DISTILLATION TECHNIQUES.....	86
4.3.1 Pressure Swing Distillation .....	86
4.4 EXTRACTION TECHNIQUES .....	86
4.4.1 Liquid-Liquid .....	86
4.4.1.1 Countercurrent.....	87
4.4.1.2 Supercritical Extraction.....	87
4.4.1.3 Rectification and Catalytic Distillation for Cryogenic Separation.....	88
4.4.2 Ethylene-ethane Separation by Reactive Absorption.....	88
4.5 PLANT DESIGN-INTEGRATION OF TECHNOLOGIES: ARAMCO-NGL RECOVERY PLANT .....	88
4.6 COMMERCIAL/MARKET OPPORTUNITIES .....	90
4.6.1 Exergy Loss Minimization for Chemical Processes Using Self Heat Recuperation Technology (SHRT).....	90
4.6.1.1 Exergy loss for the distillation of bioethanol .....	91
4.6.1.2 Exergy for hydro-desulfurization .....	91
4.6.1.3 LNG recovery using self-heat recuperation .....	91
4.6.1.4 Crude oil distillation using self-heat recuperation .....	92

4.6.2	Microchannel Distillation.....	92
4.6.3	Other Modelling Techniques.....	93
4.6.4	Carbon Capture Optimisation.....	93
4.7	DEVELOPMENTAL CHALLENGES.....	94
4.8	CONCLUSIONS.....	95
4.9	REFERENCES.....	95
<b>5.</b>	<b>INDEX.....</b>	<b>99</b>

## FIGURES

Figure 2.2.1.1.1	CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Sources in a Typical Ammonia Plant (Levin and Chahine, 2010; Strait, 2010).....	12
Figure 2.2.1.2.1	Conventional steam reforming process, with multiple stages for H <sub>2</sub> production (Gallucci, <i>et al.</i> , 2013) .....	13
Figure 2.2.1.4.1	Combined reactor approach by Idaho-GE-WRI 2/ concept (left), flow (middle), and membrane diagram (right). (Klaehn, 2013).....	16
Figure 2.2.1.4.2	H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub> selectivity as a function of membrane permeance (Klaehn, 2013)..	17
Figure 2.2.1.4.3	Gas-separation performance of hollow-fiber module over time (Klaehn, 2013).....	17
Figure 2.2.1.4.4	Selectivity stability of membrane over ~ 1800 hours over a range of conditions (Klaehn, 2013).....	18
Figure 2.2.1.4.5	Technical approach to membrane material development and manufacturing process (Klaehn, 2013).....	19
Figure 2.2.1.4.6	Cost overview of various components of the membrane reactor. (Klaehn, 2013).....	20
Figure 2.2.1.5.1	Full-scale membrane and housing used for field testing. (Liu, 2012).....	21
Figure 2.2.1.5.2	Long-term thermal stability of Pd Membrane Bundles (Liu, 2012) .....	21
Figure 2.2.1.5.3	PdCu membranes developed in 2011-12 meet the 2015 DOE cost vs performance target. (Liu, 2012) .....	22
Figure 2.2.1.5.4	Scanning electron microscopy images of the thin film deposition on the membrane supports (left); bundle and housing of coated tubes (right) (Liu, 2012).....	22
Figure 2.2.2.1.1	Approximate competitive range of current N <sub>2</sub> production systems, in which site-specific factors may affect system selection. (Baker, 2004) .....	23

Figure 2.2.4.2.1	Permeation rate and product purity of a 37 m <sup>2</sup> hollow fiber silver-nitrate-impregnated facilitated transport membrane for the separation of propylene-propane mixtures. (Hughes <i>et al.</i> , 1986) .....	26
Figure 2.2.4.2.2	Conceptual illustration of HFSP module used as a distillation column. Liquid flows down in the lumen side, while vapor flows upward in the shell side. The inset shows the hollow fiber strings and a mesh (Yang, <i>et al.</i> , 2013).....	28
Figure 2.2.4.3.1	Plot of the flux rate for ethylene through a membrane containing various amounts of silver at different temperatures. (Herrera, 2004).....	29
Figure 2.2.4.3.2	Plot of the flux rate for polypropylene across the membrane (Herrera, 2004).....	29
Figure 2.2.5.1	Global production of natural gas from BP Statistical Review, 2013. (BP, 2013).....	31
Figure 2.3.1.1.1	Schematic of seawater reverse osmosis process with thickness of arrows representative of energy flows. (Elimelech, <i>et al.</i> , 2011).....	34
Figure 2.3.1.1.2	Power consumption of RO (top left), minimum energy as a function of salt concentration and percent recovery (top right), and schematic of the energy-RO relationship (bottom). (Elimelech and Phillip, 2011).....	34
Figure 2.3.1.2.1	Milestones in the development of RO (Baker, 2004).....	35
Figure 2.3.1.2.2	Performance characteristics of membranes operating on seawater at 56 kg/cm <sup>2</sup> (840 psi) and 25 °C (Riley, 1991).....	36
Figure 2.3.1.3.1	Cross section of NanoH <sub>2</sub> O TFN “QuantumFlux” membrane for RO applications. (NanoH <sub>2</sub> O, 2011) .....	38
Figure 2.3.1.3.2	Transmission electron microscopy image of a cross-section of a TFN membrane. (Kurth, 2010).....	38
Figure 2.3.1.3.3	Long-term testing with flux versus rejection. (Kurth <i>et al.</i> , 2009).....	39
Figure 2.3.1.3.4	Pilot-scale system (Kurth, 2011).....	39
Figure 3.2.3.1	Production of all value-added by-products from the implementation of LAC (LAC, Linde Engineering, Accessed in March 2014).....	58
Figure 3.2.3.2	Comparison of the conventional scheme for producing ammonia with LAC. The possibility of adding a CO <sub>2</sub> separation step is not represented in LAC above. (LAC, Linde Engineering, Accessed in March 2014).....	58
Figure 3.2.3.3	Proposed process schematic for the production of NH <sub>3</sub> via PSA. ( <a href="http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/resources/chemicals/pdfs/ammonia_psa.pdf">http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/resources/chemicals/pdfs/ammonia_psa.pdf</a> Accessed in March 2014). .....	59



Figure 3.2.4.1	Comparison of the effectiveness of various adsorbents in adsorbing natural gas 298 K (313 K for activated carbon fiber) and 500 psig (Menon & Komarneni, 1998).....	60
Figure 3.2.4.2	Changes in the pore size distribution as corn cob is treated with H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> and KOH .....	61
Figure 4.1.1	Petroleum Refining Industry Energy bandwidth, Production per year basis ( <a href="http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/resources/petroleum_refining/pdfs/bandwidth">http://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/resources/petroleum_refining/pdfs/bandwidth</a> ).....	72
Figure 4.2.3.1	Profitability index of Payback period, Net present value and Internal rate of return .....	82

## TABLES

Table 2.1.1.2.1	Porous Membrane Classifications (Baker, 2004).....	8
Table 2.1.3.1	Area-to-Volume Ratios for Typical Membrane Configurations (Wilcox, 2012).....	9
Table 2.2.1.2.1	Comparison of Membranes for H <sub>2</sub> Separation (Kluiters, 2004; Liu, 2010; Gallucci, et al., 2013) .....	14
Table 2.2.1.3.1	Properties Overview of Commercially Available Membranes for H <sub>2</sub> Production (Gallucci, et al., 2013) .....	14
Table 2.2.4.1.1	Source of CO <sub>2</sub> , Approximate Share, and Related Dilution (Herzog, 2013; Metz, 2005) .....	25
Table 2.2.4.2.1	Permeation and Separation Characteristics through Glassy, Rubbery, and Cellulosic Hollow Fibers. (Faiz and Li, 2012; Ito, 1989) .....	27
Table 2.2.5.1	Preferred Membrane Materials for Natural Gas Separation (Baker, 2004) ..	31
Table 2.2.6.1	U.S. Sources and Supplies of CO <sub>2</sub> for Enhanced Oil Recovery Activities (Kuuskraa, 2011).....	32
Table 2.2.6.2	U.S. State of Art and “Next Generation” Opportunities for Enhanced Oil Recovery Activities (Kuuskraa, 2011).....	32
Table 2.3.1.2.1	Properties of Current Good-quality Commercial Membranes (Baker, 2004).....	37
Table 3.1.3.1	Comparison of Physisorption and Chemisorption (adapted from Rouquerol et al., 2013).....	49
Table 3.2.1.1	Particle Size and Density of 13X-PG, 13X-HP, and APG-II (He et al., 2013).....	51
Table 3.2.1.2	Comparison of the Effectiveness of Various Adsorbents such as Supported Amines, Carbonates, Carbon, and Zeolites Based on Their Working	

	Capacity, Thermal Stability, Theoretical Regeneration Energy, and Other Major Issues (ADA-ES <i>for</i> NETL-DOE, 2010).....	53
Table 3.2.2.1	Expected Life-time Values and Dewpoints Achievable with SORBEAD (SORBEAD - ENGELHARD).....	55
Table 3.3.1.1	Existing Mercury Removal Technologies (UOP, 2009) .....	62
Table 4.1.3.1.1	Rive MH-1 Catalyst Showed Lower Coke and Increased Gasoline (Rive 2013).....	74
Table 4.2.1.1	Extractive Distillation Column in a C <sub>4</sub> Separation Unit in China Showing Changes to Various Parameters. The Pressures Quoted are Gauge Pressures (Dong, 2013).....	77
Table 4.2.3.1	Test Run Data Comparison: Pre- and Post-Revamp (Lee and Min, 2013).....	81
Table 4.2.4.1	Column Specifications Before and After Reducing Reflux (Pejpichestakul and Kiemanond, 2013) .....	83
Table 4.2.4.2	Comparing Cost Between Column Integration Designs Showing Percentage Energy Savings Compared to Unmodified Columns (Pejpichestakul and Kiemanond, 2013). .....	83
Table 4.2.4.3	HEN of Background Process and Columns with Reduced Reflux and Side Exchangers Showing Percentage Energy Savings (Pejpichestakul and Kiemanond, 2013) .....	84
Table 4.2.5.1	Comparison of Operating Energy Costs in a C <sub>3</sub> Splitter (Choudhari, et al., 2012).....	86

**PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!**

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.