

ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CO₂ MITIGATION CASE STUDY SERIES — VOLUME 1: REFINING & FUELS

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
October 2014



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.1.1 Motivation for GHG Emissions Reduction.....	1
1.1.2 Strategies for Reducing GHG Emissions.....	2
1.1.3 CO ₂ Emissions Intensity from Various Energy Sources.....	5
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	6
1.3 METHODOLOGY.....	6
1.4 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS.....	7
1.5 REFERENCES.....	8
2. TARGETED METHODS AND APPROACHES	11
2.1 BEST PRACTICES FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY	11
2.1.1 Principal Methods and Approaches	11
2.1.1.1 Management Policies	12
2.1.1.2 Equipment Upgrades and Operational Improvements	12
2.1.1.3 General Design and Operating Principles	16
2.1.2 Estimating Combined Savings from Multiple Projects.....	17
2.2 HEAT RECOVERY OPTIMIZATION	18
2.2.1 HEN Retrofit Case Study: Crude Distillation Unit.....	22
2.2.2 New HEN Design Case Study: Diesel Hydrotreater.....	29
2.2.3 Process Modifications case Study: Distillation Column.....	33
2.3 STEAM GENERATION AND UTILIZATION	35
2.3.1 CHP Simulation Modeling Case Study: US Refinery	36
2.4 HOT-OIL SYSTEMS.....	41
2.4.1 Load Management Case Study: Gas-Oil Separation Plant	43
2.5 REDUCING PROCESS VARIABILITY	49

2.5.1	Process Variability Case Study: NGL Fractionation Plant	50
2.5.2	Feedstock Stabilization Case Study: Crude Distillation Unit	60
2.6	OTHER APPROACHES.....	61
2.6.1	Equipment and Instrument/Control Upgrades	61
2.6.2	Compressor Controls.....	68
2.6.3	Optimum Equipment Load Management.....	72
2.6.4	Arbitrage Among Equipment and Utilities	74
2.6.5	Piping/Control Modifications	76
2.6.6	Equipment Condition Monitoring.....	77
2.6.7	Optimized Maintenance/Cleaning Schedules for HENs.....	78
2.6.8	Combustion Controls	79
2.6.9	Air Preheaters, Economizers, and Outboard WHBs	79
2.6.10	Standard Best Operating Practices.....	81
2.7	HURDLES OVERCOME AND REMAINING CHALLENGES.....	81
2.8	REFERENCES.....	82
3.	OVERALL PROCESS INTEGRATION.....	87
3.1	FULL PROCESS INTEGRATION WITHIN A UNIT	87
3.2	THERMAL INTEGRATION BETWEEN PROCESS UNITS.....	93
3.3	INTEGRATED DESIGN OF PROCESS AND UTILITIES.....	100
3.4	ACROSS-THE-FENCE INTEGRATION BETWEEN PLANTS	105
3.5	PROCESS AUTOMATION – ONLINE OPTIMIZATION.....	108
3.5.1	Advanced Process Control Case Study: Refining/Chemicals Complex	108
3.5.2	Online Optimal CHP System Control Case Study: Kuwait Refinery	109
3.6	PERFORMANCE METRICS AND BENCHMARKS	112
3.6.1	Formulation of Effective Energy KPIs	116
3.6.2	Corporate KPIs Case Study: National Oil Company.....	120
3.7	THE IMPORTANCE OF CORRECT UTILITY PRICING.....	122
3.8	HURDLES OVERCOME AND REMAINING CHALLENGES.....	124
3.9	REFERENCES.....	128
4.	LESSONS LEARNED AND INDUSTRY TRENDS	131

4.1	LESSONS LEARNED	134
4.2	INDUSTRY TRENDS	135
4.2.1	Five Mega Trends	135
4.2.2	Need for Increased Hydrogenation	137
4.2.3	Water Conservation and WWT Capacity Debottlenecking	139
4.3	REFERENCES.....	143
5.	INDEX.....	145

FIGURES

Figure 1.1.1.1	Potential Global GHG Emission Scenarios.	2
Figure 1.1.2.1	Direct and indirect CO ₂ emissions from US oil refineries.....	2
Figure 1.1.2.2	Various sources of oil refinery CO ₂ emissions.	3
Figure 1.1.2.3	Key drivers of refinery CO ₂ emissions, EIA data.	3
Figure 1.1.2.4	Data from ~60 projects showing the cumulative percentage of maximum potential savings achievable as a function of payback.	5
Figure 2.1.1.3.1	Minimizing recycle loops.....	16
Figure 2.1.1.3.2	Bypasses can avoid needless heating and cooling.	17
Figure 2.2.1	(a) Traditional design workflow. (b) Targeting before design.....	19
Figure 2.2.2	Composite Curves (a) without heat recovery (b) with heat recovery.	19
Figure 2.2.3	Optimum CHP system configuration derived from Total Site Profiles.	20
Figure 2.2.4	Influence on plant construction and operating costs declines rapidly as the project progresses.....	21
Figure 2.2.1.1	The Network Pinch design procedure.	22
Figure 2.2.1.2	Simplified flowsheet for the CDU.	23
Figure 2.2.1.3	Composite Curves for the CDU at MAT = 10°C.	24
Figure 2.2.1.4	Map of temperature driving forces in individual HXs of the existing HEN.	25
Figure 2.2.1.5	Grid representation of the Existing HEN, at 92.5 MBD crude feed rate.....	27
Figure 2.2.1.6	Grid representation of the proposed HEN revamp, at 102 MBD crude feed rate.....	28
Figure 2.2.2.1	Simplified PFD for Diesel Hydrotreating process	30

Figure 2.2.2.2	Composite Curves for Diesel Hydrotreater at MAT = 24°F. Observe that the pinched region extends over almost the entire temperature range.....	31
Figure 2.2.3.1	Representative savings in furnace fuel due to minimizing non-isothermal mixing.....	34
Figure 2.3.1.1	CHP System simulation model for existing refinery operation.....	38
Figure 2.3.2.1	Marginal cost of steam is a function of amount saved.....	41
Figure 2.4.1	Typical hot-oil heating network.....	42
Figure 2.4.2	Generic configuration for a high-efficiency hot-oil system.....	43
Figure 2.4.1.1	Block flow diagram of a typical GOSP.....	44
Figure 2.4.1.2	Simplified flowsheet for desalting process units at GOSP.....	45
Figure 2.4.1.3	Histogram of process heating duties.....	46
Figure 2.4.1.4	Proposed heater configuration for optimum load management.....	47
Figure 2.5.1.1	Simplified process flow diagram of NGL fractionation plant.....	50
Figure 2.5.1.2	Combined feed flow profile for NGL fractionation plant.....	51
Figure 2.5.1.3	One year data for steam generation.....	52
Figure 2.5.1.4	Correlation of steam demand vs feed rate. The upper and lower bounds reflect the effect of uncontrollable external variable such as relative proportions of C ₂₊ and C ₃₊ feeds to the plant, as well as variations in composition and ambient temperature.....	53
Figure 2.5.1.5	CHP model of NGL fractionation plant, showing HMB and costs for existing (sub-optimal) operating mode.....	54
Figure 2.5.1.6	Histogram of feed flow rates.....	56
Figure 2.5.1.7	Schematic diagram of existing DeC2 column control system.....	57
Figure 2.5.1.8	A low-capital solution to accommodate C ₂₊ feed variability.....	59
Figure 2.5.2.1	Heat recovery variation at steady crude feed rates.....	60
Figure 2.6.1.1	Generic characteristic and efficiency correlations for a centrifugal pump.....	62
Figure 2.6.1.2	Program results for estimating VFD savings for pipeline booster pump.....	63
Figure 2.6.1.3	Control system changes required for a VFD on pipeline booster pumps.....	64
Figure 2.6.1.4	Control system changes required for a VSD on CDU charge pumps.....	65

Figure 2.6.1.5	Graphical construction of system and composite pump characteristic curves.	67
Figure 2.6.1.6	An outdoor VFD installation for a 500 HP oilfield pump.	67
Figure 2.6.2.1	Existing controls on vapor recovery compressor at a tank farm.	68
Figure 2.6.2.2	Flow distribution profile of hydrocarbon vent vapor.	69
Figure 2.6.2.3	Two basic configurations for compressor speed control.	70
Figure 2.6.2.4	Proposed modifications to compressor control system.	71
Figure 2.6.3.1	Boiler FD fan load management: (a) 3 running; (b) 2 running.	72
Figure 2.6.3.2	Boiler FD fan performance curves.	73
Figure 2.6.4.1	Power conservation by shifting load from compressor to fin-fan condenser.	74
Figure 2.6.4.2	Continuous minimization of HP steam for process heating.	76
Figure 2.6.5.1	The jumptover line allows one onshore compressor to be shut off.	77
Figure 2.6.7.1	Example of shell-side fouling in a CDU preheat train.	78
Figure 2.6.8.1	Combustion air supply control to compensate for variable fuel heating value.	79
Figure 2.6.9.1	Combustion air preheating external to the boiler or fired heater.	80
Figure 2.6.9.2	Improved furnace efficiency by addition of an external WHB.	80
Figure 3.1.1	Existing process design for HDS unit.	88
Figure 3.1.2	(a) Packinox HX (b) Packinox installed inside a pressure vessel.	89
Figure 3.1.3a	Optimum energy targets with conventional S&T HX vs. Packinox.	90
Figure 3.1.3b	Optimum energy targets with conventional S&T HX vs. Packinox.	91
Figure 3.1.4	Final optimized design for HDS unit.	92
Figure 3.2.1	Overall wax plant flowsheet (CHP not shown).	93
Figure 3.2.2	Composite Curves for the VDU, existing operation.	95
Figure 3.2.3	Existing flowsheets for the VDU and WWT units.	96
Figure 3.2.4	Composite Curves for the VDU + WWT, integrated operation.	97
Figure 3.2.5	Optimized integrated HEN retrofit for the VDU and WWT, grid format.	98
Figure 3.2.6	Optimized integrated HEN retrofit for the VDU and WWT, flowsheet format.	99
Figure 3.3.1	EPC's original oil processing design proposal for the CPF project.	101
Figure 3.3.2	EPC's original CHP design proposal for the CPF project.	101

Figure 3.3.3	Balanced Grand Composite Curve for CPF project.....	102
Figure 3.3.4	Conceptual flowsheet of optimized oil processing design for CPF project.	103
Figure 3.3.5	Conceptual flowsheet of pressure-recovery RO desalination process..	103
Figure 3.3.6	Conceptual flowsheet of optimized CHP system for CPF project.....	104
Figure 3.4.1	Conceptual sketch of proposed inter-refinery energy integration.....	107
Figure 3.5.1	Typical architecture of CHP System optimization software.....	108
Figure 3.5.1.1	Gas Separations unit links Refinery to Olefins plant.....	109
Figure 3.5.1.2	Improvement in C2 Splitter energy consumption due to APC	109
Figure 3.5.2.2	Energy cost savings during RTEMS implementation test period.	110
Figure 3.5.2.1	GUI for the RTEMS at KNPC’s Mina Al-Ahmadi refinery.....	111
Figure 3.5.2.3	Residual potential for energy cost and CO ₂ emissions reduction	112
Figure 3.6.1	Reported vs. corrected EII at a Mid-east refinery.	113
Figure 3.6.2	Using EII to identify candidate process units for an energy retrofit.	115
Figure 3.6.1.1	3-month trend line for steam usage in the Gas Treating Unit	117
Figure 3.6.1.2	EPI formulations for (a) Consumers and (b) Converters.	118
Figure 3.6.1.3	Alternative equipment EPI formulations	118
Figure 3.6.1.4	Compressor train power consumption at constant process conditions. X axis = time, Y axis = Compressor Horsepower draw.....	119
Figure 3.6.1.5	Schematic of back-pressure steam turbine.....	119
Figure 3.6.2.1	Structure of KPI monitoring plan at an Asian NOC.....	121
Figure 3.6.2.2	Data collection, reconciliation, and KPI calculation/reporting protocol.	121
Figure 3.6.2.3	Energy Efficiency Index for the NOC’s refining business.	122
Figure 3.8.1	A suggested organizational structure.	124
Figure 3.8.2	Optimum timing for Energy Optimization of new projects.....	125
Figure 3.8.3	Sample workflow for retrofit project approval.	126
Figure 3.8.4	Suggested mode for Energy Optimization team to interface with FEED and Detailed Engineering effort.	127
Figure 3.8.5	Savings Potential as a function of required ROI.....	128
Figure 4.1	Regional Net Oil Refining Margins	133
Figure 4.2.1.1	Refinery-Petrochemical plant integration options	135
Figure 4.2.1.2	Recent grass-roots refinery projects, world-wide	136

Figure 4.2.1.3	Percent of western European ethylene capacity integrated with refinery owned by the same company.....	136
Figure 4.2.2.1	H ₂ balance and “flowsheet” for a refinery	137
Figure 4.2.2.2	Hydrogen supply and demand profile	138
Figure 4.2.3.1	Source-Sink Curves for a single contaminant.....	140
Figure 4.2.3.2	Water conservation strategy for Amoco’s oil refinery (new equipment and piping is identified by dashed lines).....	142

TABLES

Table 1.1.2.1	Cost of CO ₂ Capture and Storage (2007 basis).....	4
Table 1.1.3.1	CO ₂ Emission Factors, www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/coefficients CO ₂ Emission Factor for Nuclear Power from www.world-nuclear.org	6
Table 2.1.1.1	Typical Range of Savings from Different Approaches to Energy Efficiency	11
Table 2.2.1.1	Heat and Material Balance (Stream Data) for the CDU	24
Table 2.2.1.2	Comparison of results from 3 different HEN retrofit methods	26
Table 2.2.2.1	Actual and Target Energy for Diesel Hydrotreater at MAT = 24°F.	31
Table 2.2.2.2	Estimated CO ₂ Emissions Reduction from Energy Optimization of the DHT	32
Table 2.3.1.1	Energy Savings and CO ₂ Reduction Potential from CHP System.....	39
Table 2.3.2.1	Calculating the Marginal Cost of 150# Steam Savings	40
Table 2.4.1.1a	Furnace Data for Hot Oil system.	48
Table 2.4.1.1b	Savings Potential from Furnace Load Allocation Policy (only).....	48
Table 2.4.1.2	Savings Potential from Combined Load Shifting and Load Allocation.....	49
Table 2.4.1.3	Estimating CO ₂ Emissions Reduction Potential.	49
Table 2.5.1.1	HP and LP Boiler Capacities and Operating Policy.....	51
Table 2.5.1.2	Feed Flow Data for 1 Year, Organized by Time Slices	56
Table 2.5.1.3	Comparison of Operating Costs for the Two Alternative Operating Policies	56
Table 2.5.1.4	CO ₂ Emissions Reduction from Optimized Boiler Load Management.....	57
Table 2.5.2.1	Energy Savings and CO ₂ Emissions Reduction.....	61

Table 2.6.1.1	Energy and Cost Savings from Using the Correct VSD Control Strategy	66
Table 2.6.2.1	Energy and Cost Savings from the Proposed Compressor Control System	71
Table 2.6.3.1	Estimated Savings from FD Fan Load Management	73
Table 2.6.4.1	Shedding Fan Load vs. Minimizing Compression Ratio	75
Table 3.2.1	Baseline Utility Consumption, MMBtu/h	94
Table 3.2.2	Boiler Capacity and Load Data	94
Table 3.2.3	Energy Savings and CO ₂ Emissions Reduction Potential	100
Table 3.3.1	Efficiency Comparison of the Two Alternative Designs	104
Table 3.4.1	Comparison of Separate vs. Integrated Utility Systems	106
Table 4.1	Summary of Case Study Results	132
Table 4.2	US Oil Refining Energy Costs	133
Table 4.2.2.1	Size of New H ₂ Plant Reduced by Regeneration and Reuse	139
Table 4.2.2.2	Energy Savings potential from HEN retrofit of an H ₂ plant.	139
Table 4.2.3.1	Water Savings Potential Identified through Pinch Analysis.	141