

**BENCHMARKING CO₂ CAPTURE TECHNOLOGY (VOL. 1):
POST-COMBUSTION ROUTES**

A Techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
November 2010



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly **CO₂CC Communiqués** (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF REPORT (VOL. 1)	1
1.2 METHODOLOGY FOR TOPIC SELECTION/PRIORITIZATION	3
1.3 METRICS FOR COMPARATIVE ECONOMIC BENCHMARKS/ CASE STUDY ANALYSES	5
1.4 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	8
1.5 REFERENCES	10
2. ABSORPTION TECHNOLOGIES.....	13
2.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS	13
2.2 ABSORPTION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS.....	14
2.2.1 “Wet” Absorption.....	14
2.2.1.1 KM CDR Process (Licensed by Mitsubishi Heavy Industries – MHI)	14
2.2.1.1.1 Description and Status.....	14
2.2.1.1.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	21
2.2.1.1.3 Assessment and Implications	22
2.2.1.2 Econamine FG Plus SM (Licensed by Fluor)	23
2.2.1.2.1 Description and Status.....	23
2.2.1.2.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	28
2.2.1.2.3 Assessment and Implications	31
2.2.1.3 Chilled Ammonia Process - CAP (Licensed by Alstom).....	33
2.2.1.3.1 Description and Status.....	33
2.2.1.3.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	37
2.2.1.3.3 Assessment and Implications	41
2.2.1.4 Concentrated Piperazine: UT-Austin	42
2.2.1.4.1 Description and Status.....	43
2.2.1.4.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	48
2.2.1.4.3 Assessment and Implications	48
2.2.1.5 Dual Phase Solvent (Licensed by Institut Français du Pétrole – IFP).....	50
2.2.1.5.1 Description	50

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

2.2.1.5.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	55
2.2.1.5.3 Commercial Potential	57
2.2.1.6 Oligomers for CO ₂ Capture	58
2.2.1.6.1 Description and Status.....	58
2.2.1.6.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data	61
2.2.1.6.3 Assessment and Implications	61
2.2.1.7 University of Notre Dame (UND) / Ionic Liquid (IL) Absorbents	62
2.2.1.7.1 Description & Status	62
2.2.1.7.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	69
2.2.1.7.3 Commercial Potential.....	70
2.2.2 “Dry” Absorption	73
2.2.2.1 Dry Carbonate Sorbent Technology - RTI.....	73
2.2.2.1.1 Description and Status.....	73
2.2.2.1.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data	83
2.2.2.1.3 Assessment and Implications	85
2.2.2.2 Immobilized Amine: Silica Adsorbent Development (SBA-15) (DOE-NETL).	88
2.2.2.2.1 Description & Status	88
2.2.2.2.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	93
2.2.2.2.3 Commercial Potential	94
2.2.2.3 Dry Absorption with Potassium Based Sorbents (licensed by Korean Electrical Power Research Institute - KEPRI).....	96
2.2.2.3.1 Description	96
2.2.2.3.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking.....	100
2.2.2.3.3 Commercial Potential	101
2.3 ECONOMIC COMPARISONS AND IMPLICATIONS	102
2.3.1 Wet Absorption Comparison Analysis.....	102
2.3.2 Dry Absorption Comparative Analysis	105
2.4 REFERENCES.....	105
3. ADSORPTION TECHNOLOGIES.....	111
3.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS	111
3.2 ADSORPTION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS	112
3.2.1 Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) – UOP	112

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.2.1.1 Description and Status.....	112
3.2.1.1.1 Owner/Operator/Partners/Funding Sources	112
3.2.1.1.2 Size/Location.....	113
3.2.1.1.3 Objectives.....	113
3.2.1.1.4 Technology Description	114
3.2.1.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	122
3.2.1.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data.....	122
3.2.1.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics (Case-specific)	123
3.2.1.3 Assesment and Implications	123
3.2.1.3.1 Competitive Position (vs. Alternatives)	123
3.2.1.3.2 Commercial Potential.....	123
3.2.1.3.3 Hurdles (Technical/Economic).....	124
3.2.2 Encapsulated Amine -- Clay Adsorbent Development (DOE-NETL).....	125
3.2.2.1 Description and Status.....	125
3.2.2.1.1 Technology Description and Objectives	125
3.2.2.1.2 Scale of Development/Testing	128
3.2.2.1.3 Key Issues Remaining.....	128
3.2.2.1.4 Players/Locations	129
3.2.2.1.5 Status	129
3.2.2.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	130
3.2.2.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data (to extent available).....	130
3.2.2.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics	130
3.2.2.3 Commercial Potential	131
3.2.2.3.1 Competitive Advantages if Successful.....	131
3.2.2.3.2 Hurdles (Technical/Economic).....	131
3.2.2.3.3 Partners/Funding Sources.....	132
3.2.2.3.4 Timeline for Commercialization	132
3.2.3 Rapid Cycle Thermal Swing Adsorption (Patented by ExxonMobil).....	132
3.2.3.1 Description	132
3.2.3.1.1 Technology Description & Objective.....	132
3.2.3.1.2 Scale of Development/Testing	138
3.2.3.1.3 Key Issues That Remain.....	138
3.2.3.1.4 Players/Locations	139
3.2.3.1.5 Status	139

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.2.3.2 Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	139
3.2.3.2.1 Current/Projected Economic Data.....	139
3.2.3.2.2 Major Factors Affecting Economics	139
3.2.3.3 Commercial Potential.....	140
3.2.3.3.1 Commercial Advantages If Successful	140
3.2.3.3.2 Hurdles (Technical/Economic)	141
3.2.3.3.3 Partners/Funding Sources.....	141
3.2.3.3.4 Timeline for Commercialization	141
3.3 ECONOMIC COMPARISONS AND IMPLICATIONS	141
3.4 REFERENCES.....	143
4. MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGIES	145
4.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS	145
4.2 MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS.....	145
4.2.1 Membrane Technology and Research (MTR) Polaris™ Membranes.....	145
4.2.1.1 Description and Status.....	145
4.2.1.1.1 Background and Objectives	145
4.2.1.1.2 Partners and Funding Sources	146
4.2.1.1.3 Technology	146
4.2.1.5.4 Status and Timeline	139
4.2.1.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data	151
4.2.1.3 Assessment and Implications	152
4.2.2 METI/RITE Polyimide Hollow Fiber Membranes	154
4.2.2.1 Description and Status.....	154
4.2.2.1.1 Cardo Polyimide Hollow Fiber Membranes	155
4.2.2.1.2 Molecular Gate Membranes	159
4.2.2.2 Timeline (for Cardo Polyimides and Molecular Gate Technologies)	162
4.2.3 TNO Polyolefin Hollow Fiber Membranes.....	163
4.2.3.1 Description	163
4.2.3.1.1 Background and Objectives	163
4.2.3.1.2 Partners and Funding Sources	164
4.2.3.1.3 Technology	165
4.2.3.1.4 Status & Timeline	167

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

4.2.3.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	169
4.2.3.3 Commercial Potential and Hurdles.....	170
4.2.4 RTI Fluorinated Polymer Membrane	170
4.2.4.1 Description and Status.....	170
4.2.4.1.1 Background and Objectives.....	170
4.2.4.1.2 Partners and Funding Sources	170
4.2.4.1.3 Technology	171
4.2.4.1.4 Status & Timeline.....	174
4.2.4.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	174
4.2.4.3 Commercial Potential and Hurdles.....	175
4.3 ECONOMIC COMPARISONS AND IMPLICATIONS	175
4.4 REFERENCES.....	177
5. BIOLOGICAL AND CRYOGENIC TECHNOLOGIES.....	181
5.1 STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION AND REVIEW OF OPTIONS	181
5.2 BIOLOGICAL AND CRYOGENIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS	182
5.2.1 Algae Technology for CO ₂ Capture	182
5.2.1.1 Algenol Biofuels.....	185
5.2.1.1.1 Description and Status.....	185
5.2.1.1.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	187
5.2.1.1.3 Assessment and Implications	188
5.2.1.2 Sapphire Energy	189
5.2.1.2.1 Description and Status.....	189
5.2.1.2.1.1 Background & Objectives	189
5.2.1.2.1.2 Partners and Funding Sources	189
5.2.1.2.1.3 Technology	190
5.2.1.2.2 Benchmarking/Economic Data.....	192
5.2.1.2.3 Assessment and Implications	192
5.2.2 Cold Separation - Anti-Sublimation – Mixed Refrigerant Cascade Chiller (Licensed by Alstom)	193
5.2.2.1 Description and Status.....	193
5.2.2.1.1 Technology Description & Objective.....	193
5.2.2.1.2 Scale of Development Testing	199
5.2.2.1.3 Key issues that remain.....	199

5.2.2.1.4	Players/Locations	200
5.2.2.1.5	Status	200
5.2.2.2	Techno-Economic Benchmarking Data	200
5.2.2.2.1	Current/Projected Economic Data.....	200
5.2.2.2.2	Major Factors Affecting Economics	201
5.2.2.3	Commercial Potential	202
5.2.2.3.1	Competitive Advantages if Successful.....	202
5.2.2.3.2	Hurdles (Technical/Economic)	202
5.2.2.3.3	Partners/Funding Sources.....	202
5.2.2.3.4	Timeline for Commercialization	202
5.3	ECONOMIC COMPARISONS AND IMPLICATIONS	202
5.4	REFERENCES	204
6.	INDEX	207

FIGURES

Figure 1.2.1	NETL RD&D Timeline to Commercial Deployment.....	6
Figure 1.2.2	Model System Calculation.....	7
Figure 2.2.1.1.1	CO ₂ Recovery from Coal-Fired Boilers.....	16
Figure 2.2.1.1.2	MHI's KM-CDR Process Flow	17
Figure 2.2.1.1.3	Process Improvements and Heat Integration	19
Figure 2.2.1.1.4	Performance of MHI's Improved Regeneration Process.....	20
Figure 2.2.1.2.1	Typical Econamine FG SM Flowsheet.....	24
Figure 2.2.1.2.2	Absorber Intercooling	26
Figure 2.2.1.2.3	Lean Vapor Compression Scheme.....	27
Figure 2.2.1.3.1	Alstom CAP Process Flow Diagram	35
Figure 2.2.1.3.2	Comparison of Power Produced and Consumed in CCS with CAP vs. KM CDR	40
Figure 2.2.1.4.1	Proposed high-temperature, two-stage flash process with concentrated piperazine and absorber intercooling	45
Figure 2.2.1.4.2	Amine Solvent losses due to Oxidative Degeneration.....	46
Figure 2.2.1.4.3	Metal Concentrations in Thermal Degradation in 316 SS tubes with Amines	47
Figure 2.2.1.5.1	New Concept: Principle	51
Figure 2.2.1.5.2	DMX™ Thermodynamics-absorption/desorption tests	52

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.2.1.5.3 Example: DMX1 solvent	53
Figure 2.2.1.5.4 Comparison of Power Produced and Consumed in CCS with DMX1 Vs. KM CDR.....	56
Figure 2.2.1.6.1 Reversible Reaction between GAP-0 and CO ₂	59
Figure 2.2.1.6.2 GE continuous absorption layout for CO ₂ capture	59
Figure 2.2.1.7.1 Examples of Cations and Anions in Ionic Liquid Salts	64
Figure 2.2.1.7.2 SO ₂ /CO ₂ /O ₂ /N ₂ solubility in IL's as a function of pressure	64
Figure 2.2.1.7.3 Adding Amine functional groups to increase CO ₂ capacity. In this case, the classical primary amine stoichiometry of 0.5/1 (mole CO ₂ /mole Amine) is retained with the amine-modified IL	65
Figure 2.2.1.7.4 Tuning reaction energy using functional groups	66
Figure 2.2.1.7.5 Design targets in IL development	66
Figure 2.2.1.7.6 CO ₂ equilibrium isotherms for various IL's at low pressure	67
Figure 2.2.1.7.7 Viscosity versus temperature for IL's w/o and w CO ₂ bonding. Molecular modeling shows that only cation-anion interactions are present (no salt bridges forming).	67
Figure 2.2.1.7.8 NETL RD&D Timeline to Commercial Deployment.....	72
Figure 2.2.2.1.1 Equilibrium constants (K) for carbonate reactions as a function of temperature	75
Figure 2.2.2.1.2 Simplified flowscheme: RTI dry carbonate process	76
Figure 2.2.2.1.3 Supported-Na ₂ CO ₃ sorbent loading obtained from bench-scale unit	77
Figure 2.2.2.1.4 Sorbent Development – loading improvement with changing sorbent formulation.....	77
Figure 2.2.2.1.5 RTI pre-pilot unit	78
Figure 2.2.2.1.6 RTI's Pre-Pilot Plant Field Test Unit at US EPA MCCRF – typical results ...	79
Figure 2.2.2.1.7 3D drawing of 1-ton CO ₂ /day pilot plant with unit sizing criteria	81
Figure 2.2.2.1.8 Sizing criteria for 5 MWe proposed demonstration unit - Overview of UNC-Chapel Hill coal-fired power plant.....	82
Figure 2.2.2.1.9 Timeline of Deliverables for Budget Period II and the Projected Next Phase	83
Figure 2.2.2.1.10 For overall regeneration heat duties to achieve the target goals of 500--1000 BTU/lb-mole CO ₂ , CO ₂ loadings <u>must</u> attain 2-3 g-moles/kg adsorbent	87
Figure 2.2.2.2.1 NETL is evaluating 3 different molecular weights – PEI423, PEI600, and PEI2500 – all highly branched compounds having 2 Carbons per N ₂ and a ratio of 1:2:1 between primary, secondary, and tertiary amine groups	89
Figure 2.2.2.2.2 Example isotherms at low (adsorption) and high (desorption) temperatures demonstrate the absolute CO ₂ capacity and the working CO ₂ capacity. CO ₂ partial pressure is normalized to 1.0.	91

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 2.2.2.2.3	CO ₂ Isotherms at 50 ⁰ C (left) and 75 ⁰ C (right) for PEI-IAS	91
Figure 2.2.2.2.4	CO ₂ Isotherm at 75 ⁰ C for 50%Wt PEI at Test Pressure of 1.0 bara	91
Figure 2.2.2.2.5	NETL RD&D Timeline to Commercial Deployment, June 2010	95
Figure 2.2.2.2.6	NETL RD&D Timeline to Commercial Deployment, October 2009	96
Figure 2.2.2.3.1	CO ₂ Capture Using Solid Sorbent	97
Figure 2.2.2.3.2	Alternative Sorbent Regeneration, Using Hot CO ₂	99
Figure 3.2.1.1	MOF molecular construction	114
Figure 3.2.1.2	Comparison of 13X zeolite compared to MOF-177 at ambient temperature	116
Figure 3.2.1.3	Experimental CO ₂ uptake in screened MOF's 0.1 bar CO ₂ partial pressure and 20-25 ⁰ C	116
Figure 3.2.1.4	Chemical structures of Mg/DOBDC and Ni/DOBDC	117
Figure 3.2.1.5	CO ₂ equilibrium isotherms for Mg/DOBDC (top) and Ni/DOBDC (bottom) at 25 ⁰ C.....	118
Figure 3.2.1.6	Generic 5-step cycle for PSA process for separation of CO ₂ from flue gas .	119
Figure 3.2.1.7	Structure of Zn-aminotriazolato-oxalate	120
Figure 3.2.1.8	CO ₂ absorption isotherm at 0 ⁰ C for Zn-aminotriazolato-oxalate. Closed circles – adsorption / open circles – desorption. Inset shows the enthalpy of adsorption as a function of CO ₂ loading	120
Figure 3.2.2.1	Schematic of Encapsulated Amine – Clay Adsorbent	126
Figure 3.2.2.2	Spray-Dried Formulation Suitable for Fluidized Beds Prepared in Collaboration with Süd Chemie (average particle size = 80 microns)	126
Figure 3.2.2.3	CO ₂ up-take bench-scale, fixed-bed, flow reactor test data for amine-clay adsorbent. Conditions are 1 atm, 300C, SV=1000h-1; feed comp=16%V CO ₂ , 3% O ₂ , 82% N ₂ , H ₂ O sat; Süd-Chemie prepared extrudates	127
Figure 3.2.2.4	For overall regeneration heat duties to achieve the target goals of 500--1000 BTU/lb-mole CO ₂ , CO ₂ loadings <u>must</u> attain 2--3 kg-moles/kg adsorbent.....	128
Figure 3.2.2.5	Total Heat Duty for Adsorbent Systems from contributing components.....	129
Figure 3.2.2.6	NETL RD&D Timeline to Commercial Deployment	132
Figure 3.2.3.1	Figure 5 from US Patent Number 7,731,782 (to ExxonMobil). Temperature swing adsorption of CO ₂ from flue gas utilizing heat from compression, Issued date: June 8. 2010	133
Figure 3.2.3.2	Figure 6 from US Patent Number 7,731,782 (to ExxonMobil). Temperature swing adsorption of CO ₂ from flue gas utilizing heat from compression, Issued date: June 8. 2010	134

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 3.2.3.3	Figure 8 from US Patent Number 7,731,782 (to ExxonMobil). Temperature swing adsorption of CO ₂ from flue gas utilizing heat from compression, Issued date: June 8, 2010	134
Figure 3.2.3.4	Figure 10 from US Patent Number 7,731,782 (to ExxonMobil). Temperature swing adsorption of CO ₂ flue gas utilizing heat from compression, Issued date: June 8, 2010	135
Figure 4.2.1.1	CO ₂ /N ₂ trade-off plot comparing MTR Polaris™ membranes with a commercial natural gas membrane. (Courtesy of MTR)	147
Figure 4.2.1.2	A flow diagram of the MTR post-combustion CO ₂ capture membrane process. (Courtesy of MTR)	148
Figure 4.2.1.3	Commercial Polaris membrane modules manufactured at MTR (T. Merkel, 2009).....	150
Figure 4.2.1.4	MTR's CO ₂ capture test system at APS Cholla power plant. (Courtesy of MTR)	150
Figure 4.2.1.5	Timeline plan for MTR CO ₂ capture membrane technology development ..	151
Figure 4.2.1.6	Impact of feed pressure on capture cost and membrane area (Merkel, T.C., 2010)	152
Figure 4.2.1.7	Impact of selectivity and CO ₂ permeance on capture cost (Merkel, T.C., 2010)	152
Figure 4.2.2.1	Permeability–Selectivity tradeoff for various cardo polymers (Kazama, 2004).....	156
Figure 4.2.2.2	Cardo polyimide hollow fiber membrane and module (Kazama, 2004).....	156
Figure 4.2.2.3	Comparative economics of membrane and amine processes (Kazama, 2005).....	158
Figure 4.2.2.4	Principle of Molecular Gate membranes (Kazama, 2006)	159
Figure 4.2.2.5	Design and operation of Molecular Gate membranes (Fujioka, 2009)	160
Figure 4.2.2.6	Life test on PAMAM dendrimer pencil module (Kazama, 2006).....	161
Figure 4.2.2.7	CO ₂ Capture Roadmap (METI, 2007).....	163
Figure 4.2.3.1	Principle of MGA and TNO's Phase Contactor	165
Figure 4.2.3.2	Hollow fiber and flat sheet modules used in TNO tests	166
Figure 4.2.3.3	Chemical Structures of Amine (Top) versus Amino Acid Salt (CORAL)....	166
Figure 4.2.3.4	Process scheme using membrane gas absorption for CO ₂ capture.....	167
Figure 4.2.3.5	The CO ₂ Catcher pilot plant and MGA equipment at Maasvlakte.....	167
Figure 4.2.3.6	Relative Cost for CO ₂ Capture	168
Figure 4.2.3.7	TNO's Roadmap for CO ₂ Capture	168
Figure 4.2.4.1	RTI's Technology Development Roadmap.....	171

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 4.2.4.2	Membrane material development at Arkema	172
Figure 4.2.4.3	Hollow fiber optimization (Lora Toy et al., 2009).....	173
Figure 4.2.4.4	RTI Project Timeline (Lora Toy et al., 2009)	174
Figure 5.2.1.1	Comparison of Algae with conventional oil crops (Goodall, B., 2009).....	182
Figure 5.2.1.2	GreenFuel's Cogen pilot at MIT and Photo-bioreactor tubes (LaMonica, M., 2009).....	184
Figure 5.2.1.3	Algenol's GMO Algae	186
Figure 5.2.1.4	Algenol's PBR and Ethanol Collection.....	186
Figure 5.2.1.5	Photo of PBR set-up in demo plant (Algenol Biofuels, 2010).....	188
Figure 5.2.1.6	Sapphire's Green Crude	190
Figure 5.2.1.7	Sapphire's PBR and Small Raceways (Attia, P., 2009)	190
Figure 5.2.1.8	Life Cycle Analysis – CO ₂ emission (gm/MJ) from Petroleum Diesel and Sapphire's Algal Diesel (Attia, P., 2009).....	191
Figure 5.2.1.9	Sapphire's scale-up of raceway system (Attia, P., 2009).....	193
Figure 5.2.2.1	Anti-Sublimation Process for Removal of CO ₂ from Flue Gas	197
Figure 5.2.2.2	Energy Consumption Figure of the CO ₂ Concentration for a 90% CO ₂ Capture Efficiency	199

TABLES

Table ES-1	Wet Absorption Comparative Analysis.....	xxvii
Table ES-2	Dry Absorption Comparative Analysis	xxix
Table ES-3	Adsorption Comparative Analysis	xxxii
Table ES-4	Membrane Technologies Comparative Analysis	xxxiv
Table ES-5	Biological and Cryogenic Technologies Comparative Analysis.....	xxxvi
Table ES-6	Chances of Success: Ranking of “Top 5” Technologies.....	xxxvii
Table 1.1	Initial (46) and Selected (19) List of Technologies for “Case Study/Benchmarking” Economic Evaluation (technologies in bold selected for coverage in this report).....	4
Table 2.1.1	Post-Combustion Cost with and w/o Carbon Capture	29
Table 2.2.1.7.1	Cost of Electricity for MEA Base Case and 4 IL cases	70
Table 2.2.2.1.1	DOE/NETL Cooperative Agreements DE-FC26-00NT40923/DE-FC26- 07NT43089.....	74

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Table 2.2.2.1.2	Preliminary Economic Analysis for a PC Power Plant w/o and w CO ₂ Capture EPRI, <i>Evaluation of Innovative Fossil Fuel Power Plants with CO₂ Removal</i> , EPRI Report # 1000316, Palo Alto, CA, 2000.....	84
Table 2.2.2.1.3	Design basis specifications for the RTI preliminary economic analysis.....	84
Table 2.3.1	Wet Absorption Comparative Analysis.....	103
Table 2.3.2	Dry Absorption Comparative Analysis	104
Table 3.2.1.1	Physical properties of zeolites compared to IRMOF-1	115
Table 3.3.1	Adsorption Comparative Analysis	142
Table 4.2.1.1	Impact of membrane properties on CO ₂ capture from flue gas.....	148
Table 4.2.2.1	Assumptions used in economic analysis of cardo polyimide membranes (Kazama, 2004)	157
Table 4.2.3.1	TNO's Partners and Funding Sources	164
Table 4.2.3.2	Economics of CO ₂ Capture using CORAL	169
Table 4.2.4.1	RTI Int'l Technology Development Partners and Roles	172
Table 4.2.4.2	High Flux Polycarbonate Membrane Development at Generon	173
Table 4.3.1	Membrane Technologies Comparative Analysis	176
Table 5.2.1.1	Some Algae useful for Bio-Fuels	183
Table 5.2.1.2	Culture Systems for Micro-Algae	183
Table 5.2.1.3	Timeline for Algenol Development	187
Table 5.2.1.4	Sapphire's Timeline for Commercialization	192
Table 5.3.1	Biological and Cryogenic Technologies Comparative Analysis.....	203
Table 5.3.2	Chances of Success: Ranking of "Top 5" Technologies	204