ADVANCES IN TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO₂ CONVERSION TO CHEMICALS

A Techno-economic investigation commissioned by the members of the Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
January 2011
(for the 2010 membership year)





The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO2CC) Program

The CO_2CC Program is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the "state-of-the-art" in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO_2 capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO_2 life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly CO₂CC Communiqués (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program Annual Meeting.

The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at <u>John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com</u> or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680 Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EX	KECU	TIVE SUMMARY	.xiii
1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF REPORT	1
	1.2	IMPORTANCE OF CO_2 CONVERSION IN MONETIZING GHG EMISSIONS	3
	1.3	ADDRESSING THE ISSUE OF SCALE FOR CO ₂ -DERIVED CHEMICALS	4
	1.4	R&D NEEDS FOR CO ₂ -DERIVED CHEMICALS	6
	1.5	OPTIONS IN THE VALUATION OF CO ₂	7
	1.6	REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	10
	1.7	REFERENCES	12
2.		CONVERSION TO LOWER MOLECULAR WEIGHT ORGANICS AND DROCARBONS	15
	2.1	STATE OF TECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION	15
	2.2	REVIEW OF OPTIONS – ACIDS, ALCOHOLS, ALDEHYDES, ETHERS, HYDROCARBONS	18
	2.3	TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION TO CARBOXYLIC ACIDS AND DERIVATIVES	22
		2.3.1 Advances in the Conversion of CO ₂ to Formic, Oxalic and Acetic Acid	22
		2.3.1.1 Formic acid	22
		2.3.1.2 Oxalic acid	27
		2.3.1.3 Acetic acid	28
		2.3.2 Mantra Venture Group's Electroreduction of CO ₂ to Formic Acid	30
		2.3.3 Advances in the Conversion of CO ₂ and Alkenes to Acrylic Acids and Esters.	32
	2.4	TECHNOLOGIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION TO ALCOHOLS, ALDEHYDES, ETHERS, AND HYDROCARBONS	34
		2.4.1 Methanol, Ethanol and Dimethylether (DME) Synthesis from CO ₂	
		2.4.1.1 Methanol	34
		2.4.1.2 Ethanol	41
		2.4.1.3 Dimethylether (DME)	41

		2.4.1.4	Mitsui's catalytic process for producing methanol from CO ₂ based on their "Green House Gases-to-Chemical Resources (GTR)" technology	42
		2.4.1.5	Carbon Recycling International (CRI) clean renewable methanol – RM	
		2.4.1.6	The CAMERE process (CO ₂ hydrogenation to methanol via a reverse water gas shift reaction) operated by the Korean Institute of Science & Technology (KIST)	e
		2.4.1.7	Reforming of methane by CO ₂ and steam to produce DME; Mitsubishi and Kansai Electric	47
	2.4.2		sion of CO ₂ to Higher Alcohols and Hydrocarbons (>C ₂) Using lysis or Electrocatalysis Methodologies	49
	2.4.3		cation of Fischer-Tropsch (FT) Synthesis with CO ₂ -rich Syngas for C Formation	
	2.4.4	CO ₂ At	osorption/Reduction in Catalytic Pores	54
2.5	TECH	NO-ECO	DNOMIC ASSESSMENTS	56
	2.5.1	Mitsui	Chemicals' Methanol from CO ₂	56
		2.5.1.1	Factors that impact economic viability	56
		2.5.1.2	Speculative economic assessment	59
		2.5.1.3	Economic assessment - conclusions	65
	2.5.2	CAME	RE Methanol from CO ₂	66
		2.5.2.1	Factors that impact economic viability	66
		2.5.2.2	Speculative economic assessment	68
		2.5.2.3	Economic assessment - conclusions	73
2.6			CARBON FOOTPRINTS FOR SELECTED CONVERSION	73
2.7	POTE	ENTIAL	COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS	76
2.8	REM	AINING	TECHNICAL/ECONOMIC HURDLES	78
2.9	REFE	ERENCE	S	79
			ON TO HIGHER MOLECULAR WEIGHT ORGANICS AND	89
3.1	STAT	E OF T	ECHNOLOGY/COMMERCIALIZATION	89
3.2	REVI	EW OF	OPTIONS	90
3.3			GIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION TO UREAS, CARBAMATES, 'ES AND CARBONATES	95

3.

		3.3.1	Urea Synthesis Using CO ₂ Catalytic Conversion Technology	96
			3.3.1.1 CO ₂ stripping (Stamicarbon) process	98
			3.3.1.2 Ammonia stripping (Snamprogetti) process	. 100
			3.3.1.3 ACES process of Toyo Engineering Corp	. 101
		3.3.2	Synthesis of Ureas	. 103
		3.3.3	Synthesis of Carbamates through the Catalytic Conversion of CO ₂ and Amine Substrates	. 104
		3.3.4	Synthesis of Isocyanates via CO ₂ Conversions	. 106
		3.3.5	Synthesis of Organic Carbonates	. 107
			3.3.5.1 Synthesis of linear carbonates via catalytic CO ₂ conversions	. 107
			3.3.5.2 Synthesis of cyclic carbonates using CO ₂ and oxiranes	. 108
	3.4		NOLOGIES FOR CO ₂ CONVERSION INTO HIGHER MOLECULAR HT ORGANICS AND POLYMERS	. 113
		3.4.1	Conversion of CO ₂ to Phenol Using a Hydrothermal Reaction	. 118
		3.4.2	Catalytic Process Using CO ₂ to Produce Polyether Polycarbonate Polyols	. 118
			3.4.2.1 Bayer Material Science, RWTH Aachen University, RWE Power .	. 119
		3.4.3	Use of CO ₂ to Produce Polymeric Resins for Adesive, Coatings and Inks	. 119
			3.4.3.1 Asahi Kasei phosgene-free polycarbonate process	. 120
			3.4.3.2 CNOOC CO ₂ -based degradable plastic chlorinated polypropylene	. 122
			3.4.3.3 Novomer Inc. catalytic CO ₂ polymerization process	. 122
	3.5	ГЕСНО	O-ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT	. 124
		3.5.1	Novomer CO ₂ Based Polymers and Polyols	. 124
			3.5.1.1 Factors that impact economic viability	. 124
			3.5.1.2 Speculative economic assessment	. 126
			3.5.1.3 Economic assessment - conclusions	. 129
	3.6	LIFE-	CYCLE CARBON FOOTPRINTS FOR CONVERSION ROUTES	. 129
	3.7	POTE	NTIAL COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS	. 132
	3.8	REMA	AINING TECHNICAL/ECONOMIC HURDLES	. 133
	3.9	REFE	RENCES	. 134
4.	BIO	LOGI	CAL FIXATION AND MINERALIZATION OF CO ₂	. 141
	4.1 I	BIOLO	GICAL FIXATION OF CO ₂	. 141
		4.1.1	State of Technology/Commercialization and General Aspects	. 141
			4.1.1.1 Issues to solve for commercialization	. 143

	4.1.2	Review of Options – Biological Fixation	148
		4.1.2.1 Type of micro-organisms and mechanism of action	148
		4.1.2.2 Factors to improve CO ₂ bio-fixation	152
		4.1.2.3 Photobioreactor (PBR) design	153
		4.1.2.4 Processing algal biomass	157
	4.1.3	Technologies for Biological Fixation of CO ₂	160
		4.1.3.1 Enzyme cross-linking of CO ₂ for fine chemical applications; CO ₂ Solutions Inc. and CLEA Technologies B.V	171
		4.1.3.2 Algae in photo bioreactors to produce vegetable oils, carbohydrates and animal feed; Odyssey Oil & Energy	174
		4.1.3.3 Metabolic pathways to make alcohols from CO ₂ ; Mitsubishi Chemical Holdings and UCLA	175
		4.1.3.4 Production of low cost sugar, glucose, and sucrose using waste CO ₂ , plant cell cultures and photosynthesis; Naturally Scientific, UK	176
		4.1.3.5 Syngas fermentation	177
		4.1.3.6 Bio-succinic acid from glucose and CO ₂	178
	4.1.4	Techno-Economic Assessment	181
		4.1.4.1 Carbon dioxide's role in synthesis gas fermentation	181
	4.1.5	Life-cycle Carbon Footprints for Selected Conversion Routes	190
	4.1.6	Potential Commercial Implications	193
	4.1.7	Remaining Technical/Economic Hurdles	196
	4.2 MIN	IERALIZATION OF CO ₂	196
	4.2.1	State of Technology/Commercialization and General Aspects	196
	4.2.2	Review of Options – Mineralization	198
	4.2.3	Technologies for Mineralization of CO ₂	202
		4.2.3.1 Conversion of CO ₂ into saleable carbonate and bicarbonate minerals.	202
		4.2.3.2 Conversion of CO ₂ into green building materials	211
	4.2.4	Techno-Economic Assessment	218
		4.2.4.1 Mineralization of Carbon Dioxide: Skyonics SkyMine tm Process	218
	4.2.5	Life-cycle Carbon Footprints for Selected Conversion Routes	223
	4.2.6	Potential Commercial Implications	225
	4.2.7	Remaining Technical/Economic Hurdles	226
	4.3 REF	ERENCES	226
5.	INDEX		235

FIGURES

Figure ES-1	Routes in the conversion of CO ₂ to chemicals by reaction with H ₂ and hydrocarbons.	V
Figure 1.5.1	The different routes to valuation of CO_2 (Thybaud and Lebain, 2010)	8
Figure 1.5.2	Summary of the stage of development for the different options in the valuation of CO_2 (Thybaud and Lebain, 2010)	8
Figure 1.5.3	Overall summary of the different options in the valuation of CO ₂ (Thybaud and Lebain, 2010)	0
Figure 2.1.1	CO ₂ as the alternative raw material for the integrated path to chemistry and energy products via syngas	5
Figure 2.2.1	The enthalpy of reaction for syngas production and Fischer–Tropsch (FT) synthesis of methanol and dimethyl ether (Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2010). The reactions labelled DRM, SRM and POM are listed in Table 2.2.1	20
Figure 2.2.2	Routes in the conversion of CO ₂ to chemicals by reaction with H ₂ and hydrocarbons	21
Figure 2.3.1.1	Schematic diagram of the microfluidic reactor for CO ₂ conversion (Whipple <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	24
Figure 2.3.1.2	Energy diagram of hybrid photocatalysis under visible light with a semiconductor and a metal complex (Sato <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	25
Figure 2.3.1.3	(a) Reaction scheme and separation process for the hydrogenation of CO ₂ promoted by [DAMI][TfO]. (b) Scheme of the reaction and separation process (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009a)	25
Figure 2.3.1.4	Proposed electrocatalytic cycle for Li-oxalate formation by CO ₂ capture (Angamuthu <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	28
Figure 2.3.1.5	Proposed step-wise conversion of CH ₄ and CO ₂ to acetic acid over supported Pd-based catalysts (Ding <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	29
Figure 2.3.1.6	Single versus stepwise reaction processes for the direct synthesis of C2-oxygenates (ethanol and acetic acid) from CH ₄ and CO ₂ (Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2010) 3	0
Figure 2.3.2.1	Schematic diagram of the Mantra ERC technology	1
Figure 2.3.3.1	(a) Catalytic pathways in the synthesis of acrylic acid from ethene and carbon dioxide (Aresta and Dibenedetto, 2004). (b) Reaction of homogeneous nickel-mediated coupling of ethylene and carbon dioxide (Graham <i>et al.</i> , 2007) 3	32
Figure 2.3.3.2	In situ methylation of nickelalactones to realize the β -hydride elimination and the liberation of the acrylate species for the catalytic pathways toward the synthesis of acrylic acid from ethylene and CO_2 (Bruckmeier <i>et al.</i> , 2010b)3	3
Figure 2.4.1.1	Water permselective membrane integrated in the methanol catalytic reactor 3	6

Figure 2.4.1.2	Complex formed by reversible reduction of CO ₂ to formate with H ₂ activated by a frustrated Lewis acid-base pair. Thermal decomposition of this complex forms methanol (Ashley <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Figure 2.4.1.3	Cartoon illustrating the reduction of CO ₂ to methanol using a catalyzed p-GaP based photoelectrochemical cell (Barton <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
Figure 2.4.1.4	Proposed Mechanistic Steps in the Reduction of CO_2 by Metal Tetraazamacrocycles. Hydrogen production (blue), formate production (red), CO formation (brown), and putative intermediates (green) when $M = Co$ or Ni. D• is a highly reduced carbon-centered radical species, such as Et_2NC •HCH ₃ or $(HOC_2H_4)_2N(C$ •HCH ₂ OH)
Figure 2.4.1.5	Production of solar fuels (alcohols) by photoelectrocatalytic conversion of CO ₂ and water (Centi and Perathoner, 2010)
Figure 2.4.1.6	Scheme of the Mitsui process for methanol manufacture from CO ₂ and photo of the pilot plant. (www.mitsuichem.com)
Figure 2.4.1.7	Carbon Recycling International plan to manufacture methanol from CO ₂ with a photo of the pilot plant unit and of the site where the industrial scale plant will be located. (www.carbonrecycling.is)
Figure 2.4.1.8	Schematic process flow diagram for CAMERE process (Joo et al., 2004) 45
Figure 2.4.1.9	Process flow scheme for a 4000 tons/day methanol plant from CO ₂ and H ₂ designed by Toyo Engineering Corporation (TEC) according to NIRE/RITE process (Ushikoshi <i>et al.</i> , 2000)
Figure 2.4.1.10	O Methanol +DME yield and DME selectivity vs. operation time (Recycle operation) in Kansai- Mitsubishi process for DME+CH ₃ OH synthesis from methane reforming with CO ₂ and steam. Operating condition: Temperature: 250°C (MK-2, MK-3), 300°C (D-4), Pressure: 6MPa, GHSV based on Make-up gas: 1000 h ⁻¹ , Gas Composition H ₂ /CO/CO ₂ /CH4 =Base/23.3/7.5/0.2 mol% Recycling ratio=2(A), 4(B (Hirano <i>et al.</i> , 2007 and Tatsumi <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Figure 2.4.1.1	1 Schematic diagram of the process of KOGAS 10 TPD DME production Demo plant. (Lee, Cho <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
Figure 2.4.2.1	(a) Isobutanol production from NaHCO ₃ using SA579. (b) Productivities $(\mu g \cdot l^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$ of isobutyraldehyde and isobutanol in comparison with ethanol rom <i>S. elongatus</i> , H ₂ from (1) <i>Anabaena variabilis PK84</i> , (2) <i>Anabaena variabilis AVM13</i> , (3) <i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i> (4) <i>Oscillatoria sp. Miami BG7</i> , and lipid from <i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i> (Atsumi <i>et al.</i> , 2009) 50
Figure 2.4.2.2	Products distribution at 60°C in the electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide in gas phase over Nafion 117/(Pt or Fe(10%)/CNT)20%/carbon cloth GDM (gas diffusion membrane) electrode (Centi and Perathoner, 2007, 2009b and Gangeri <i>et al</i> , 2009)
Figure 2.4.2.3	Butanol-production pathways branched from the Calvin cycle using the reducing power (NADPH) and energy (ATP) from the photosynthetic water splitting and proton-coupled transport process to reduce CO ₂ into butanol with a series of enzymatic reactions (Lee, 2009)

Figure 2.4.2.4	Cell-division-controllable designer organism for photobiological production of butanol from CO_2 and water with designer biosafety mechanism (Lee, 2009) 5	2
Figure 2.4.3.1	Hydrocarbon distributions of CO_2 and CO hydrogenation over Fe–Zn–Zr/HY catalyst (340°C, 5MPa, $H_2/CO_2 = 3.0$, $H_2/CO = 2.0$) (Ni <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	4
Figure 2.4.4.1	Concept of nanointegration of MOF membranes with catalytic nanoparticles for CO_2 absorption/reduction (<u>http://www.bath.ac.uk/chemistry/co2removal</u>) 5	5
Figure 2.5.1.1	Equilibrium Methanol From Carbon Dioxide and Hydrogen (Goehna; 1994) 5	7
Figure 2.5.1.2	Mitsui Chemicals' Methanol from CO ₂	0
Figure 2.5.1.3	Hydrogen and Methanol Variable Costs	<u>i</u> 4
Figure 2.5.1.4	Approx. Methanol Transfer Price at 2500 tpd	5
Figure 2.5.2.1	CO ₂ Conversion Using Zn/Al ₂ O ₄ Catalyst at different GHSV values (Joo; 2004)	7
Figure 2.5.2.2	CAMERE Process PFD (Henao; 2007)	59
	CAMERE Process Concept – Methanol from CO ₂	
Figure 2.5.2.4	Approximate CAMERE Methanol Transfer Price vs. Hydrogen Price	'2
Figure 2.6.1	Relative impacts of the CCS scenarios (for a case study of a gas power plant case with post-combustion CO ₂ capture - different methods - and underground storage) in relation to the reference scenario of the gas power plant without CCS (Modahl <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	'4
Figure 2.6.2	Lifecycle carbon-dioxide emissions for various hydrogen production methods (Utgikar and Thiesen, 2006)	'5
Figure 2.7.1	Simplified energy diagram for the energy storage-conversion chain using methanol as energy storage medium with flows of electrical energy (dark gray symbol), heat (dark gray symbol), and chemical exergy (material streams, pale gray symbol) (Rihko-Struckmann <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	'6
Figure 2.7.2	Comparison of CCR (RCO2 technology) vs. CCS approaches	7
Figure 3.2.1	A summary of organic chemicals produced from CO ₂ 9	0
Figure 3.2.2	CO_2 based synthetic routes to carbamates, carbonates and isocyanates, and their interconversion through trans-esterification reactions. (Aresta M., 2007) 9	1
Figure 3.2.3	Applications of cyclic carbonates in organic synthesis. (Zhang et al., 2006)9	14
Figure 3.3.1	CO ₂ emission in the synthesis of ethylene carbonate for three synthetic routes	96
Figure 3.3.2	Block diagram of the urea process (InfoMil, 2001)9	7
Figure 3.3.3	Stamicarbon Total Recycle CO ₂ Stripping Urea Process (EFMA, 2001)9	8
Figure 3.3.4	The Stamicarbon Pool Reactor (Stamicarbon Urea 2000plus TM Process)9	19
Figure 3.3.5	Snamprogetti Total Recycle Ammonia Stripping Urea Process (EFMA, 2001)	00

Figure 3.3.6	ACES21® Process Synthesis Section (ACES21® Urea Process Brochure, 2010)
Figure 3.3.7	ACES21® Vertical Submerged Carbamate Condenser (VSCC) (ACES21® Urea Process Brochure, 2010)
Figure 3.4.1	Catalysts for asymmetric copolymerization of carbon dioxide and cyclohexene oxide (Nozaki K., 2004)
Figure 3.4.2	Simplified process flow of Asahi Kasei phosgene-free polycarbonate process and of the DPC monomer process. In the inset, view of the joint venture Chi Mei CorpAsahi Kasei Corp. plant in Taiwan (http://www.asahi-kasei.co.jp and Fukuoka S. <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
Figure 3.4.3	Molecular model of the zinc-diiminate catalyst that stitches together CO ₂ and propylene oxide into a carbonate chain (Ritter S.K., 2007)
Figure 3.5.1	Polypropylene Carbonate Domain (Novomer; 2010)
Figure 3.5.2	Life Cycle Analysis – Carbon Footprint of PPC
Figure 3.5.3	Carbon Footprint of Polymers
Figure 3.5.4	Speculative PPC Transfer Price12
Figure 3.6.1	Material and energy balances through the system boundaries for an industrial plant with CO ₂ capture, followed by a process using CO ₂
Figure 4.1.1.1	Schematic description of photosynthetic conversion of CO ₂ , solar energy, and wastewater into a variety of valuable end products (e.g., bioenergy, food additives, pharmaceuticals, and cosmetics) by using microalgae (Ho et al., 2010)
Figure 4.1.1.2	Development of low-cost photobioreactors. Flat-panel reactors from (A) Proviron, Belgium, (B) Solix Biofuels, USA, and (C) Fotosintetica & Microbiologica S.r.l., Italy (Wijffels and Barbosa, 2010)
Figure 4.1.2.1	An overview of photosynthesis: photosynthesis uses sun light to make ATP and NADPH through the electron transport chain, which moves from PS II to PS I, in the thylakoid membranes. Later, the Calvin cycle driven via ATP/NADPH can incorporate CO ₂ into glucose and other sugars (Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Iverson, 2006)
Figure 4.1.2.2	General scheme representing the strategy used by four different carbon dioxide fixation pathways. The vertical arrows point to the carbon dioxide fixation products released from these metabolic cycles. The combination of the metabolic modules 1 and 4 results in the 3-hydroxypropionate cycle, as studied in <i>Chloroflexus</i> sp. The combination of 1 and 3 yields the 3-hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle present in several Crenarcheae. The combination of 2 and 3 yields the dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle proposed to be present in <i>Ignicoccus</i> . The combination of 2 and 5 yields the reductive citric acid cycle, which is present in various anaerobes or microaerobes

Figure 4.1.2.3	Analysis and comparison of microalgal CO ₂ -fixation ability (under batch operation) of 25 microalgal species reported in recent literature (Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	152
Figure 4.1.2.4	Schematic diagram for microalgae biotechnology (Harun etal., 2010)	157
Figure 4.1.3.1	Components of typical microalgae (Singh et al., 2010).	162
Figure 4.1.3.2	Schematic flow sheet for a microalgae biorefinery (Singh et al., 2010)	165
Figure 4.1.3.3	$CO_2 \ Solution's \ enymatic \ reactor \ to \ capture \ CO_2 \ (\underline{www.co2solutions.com}) \$	172
Figure 4.1.3.4	Placement of CO ₂ Solution's equipment in coal-fired power plant	173
Figure 4.1.3.5	Scheme of the procedure for the synthesis of CLEAs (Lòpez-Serrano <i>et al.</i> , 2002)	174
Figure 4.1.3.6	Schematic representation of the biosynthetic pathway of 3-methyl-1-pentanol. The engineered nonnatural metabolic pathway is shaded in lavender. Similar to 2-ketoisovalerate, 2-keto-3-methylvalerate can have one more carbon added to its side chain by the leucine biosynthesis enzymes (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	
Figure 4.1.3.7	Scheme of syngas fermentation biorefinery	177
Figure 4.1.3.8	Bioengineering Resources Inc (BRI) scheme of the pilot unit, with photos of some of the key equipments. The pilot is target for ethanol production from a variety of wastes. (www.lacity-alternativetechnology.org/ PDF/Gasification Fermentation_Arkansas.pdf)	178
Figure 4.1.3.9	Succinic acid from fermentation of glucose $+$ CO_2 as platform molecule	179
Figure 4.1.3.10	O Bioamber's new biosuccinic acid plant in Pomacle, France (Taylor, 2010)	180
Figure 4.1.4.1	Alternative Routes Utilizing Syngas From Biomass Gasification	182
Figure 4.1.4.2	Alternative Biomass Derived Routes to Chemicals/Fuels (Holmgren; 2010) \dots	184
Figure 4.1.4.3	Schemes Compared In Economic Assessment	186
Figure 4.1.5.1	Life Cycle Burdens of Algae vs. Corn, Switchgrass and Canola (Clarens <i>et al.</i> 2010)	
Figure 4.2.2.1	Emissions of CO_2 in the production of Portland cement in comparison with the "green"route (using serpentine rock) to produce cement which mineralizes CO_2 (McKenna, 2010)	
Figure 4.2.2.2	Net CO ₂ emissions in producing Portland Cement versus Calera concrete Mix (www.calera.com)	200
Figure 4.2.3.1	The Calera Process: Mineral Carbonation	212
Figure 4.2.3.2	Optimized mass and energy balance for the CALERA process. (http://www.usea.org/Publications/Documents/Sequestering_CO2.pdf)	214
Figure 4.2.4.1	Simplified Scheme for Carbon Dioxide Mineralization (St. Angelo; 2010)	219
Figure 4.2.4.2	Simplified Overall Material Balance	220
Figure 4.2.4.3	Dependency of CO ₂ Capture Cost vs. Sodium Bicarbonate Credit	223

TABLES

Table 1.4.1	Overview of the options for CO ₂ utilization (Dechema, 2009)	7
Table 2.2.1	Main reactions for syngas production by tri-reforming of natural gas (Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	19
Table 2.4.1	Comparison between CAMERE and NIRE/RITE processes for CO ₂ to methanol (50-100 kgCH ₃ OH/day) (CDRS (Carbon Dioxide Reduction and Sequestration) R&D Center - Korea 2007)	16
Table 2.4.2	Biomass vs. CO ₂ for methanol production (Galindo Cifre and Badr, 2007)	17
Table 2.5.1.1	Carbon Dioxide Emissions From Power Plants (Department of Energy; 2000)	50
Table 2.5.1.2	Methanol Synthesis from Carbon Dioxide and Hydrogen	51
Table 2.5.1.3	Basis for Hydrogen Production by Electrolysis of Water (NREL; 2006; Department of Energy; 2005)	52
Table 2.5.1.4	Variable Cost Analysis for Hydrogen and Methanol	53
Table 2.5.1.5	Approximate Methanol Transfer Price Analysis	54
Table 2.5.2.1	Approximate Reverse Water Gas Shift Investment Cost	70
Table 2.5.2.2	Approximate Methanol Synthesis Investment Cost – CAMERE Process	71
Table 2.5.2.3	Approximate Methanol Transfer Price Analysis – <i>CAMERE</i> Process	72
Table 3.3.1	Urea Process Operating Conditions/Requirements (UNIDO, Int. Fertilizer Development Center, 1998))3
Table 3.5.1	Speculative Novomer PPC Economics	28
Table 3.6.1	Industrial applications of CO ₂ (only products or applications at the Mt-scale): yearly market, amount of CO ₂ used, its source, and product lifetime (Pelc <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The figures in the Table are associated with a large degree of approximation	30
Table 4.1.1.1	Algae production companies around the world listed region-wise and course of action for biofuel conversion, listed on the various cultivation methods (Edward, 2009)	
Table 4.1.2.1	Comparison of the growth characteristics and CO ₂ fixation performance of microalgal strains under different CO ₂ concentrations, temperatures, and NOx/SOx contents (Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2010) (a): Calculated from the biomass productivity	51
Table 4.1.2.2	A brief comparison of open and closed systems for microalgae cultivation (Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	54

Table 4.1.2.3	Advantages and limitations of open ponds and photobioreactors (Brennan and Owende, 2010)	155
Table 4.1.2.4	Biomass productivity figures for open pond and closed photobioreactors production systems (Brennan and Owende, 2010)	156
Table 4.1.2.5	Relevant examples of downstream applications of various microalgae species (Ho <i>et al.</i> , 2010).	158
Table 4.1.2.6	Present state of microalgal production (Brennan and Owende, 2010)	159
Table 4.1.3.1	General composition of different human food sources and algae (% of dry matter) (Spolaore <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	161
Table 4.1.3.2	Proteins and carbohydrates contents from various species of microalgae (Si et al., 2010)	
Table 4.1.3.3	CO ₂ Tolerance of Various Species	169
Table 4.1.4.1	Sucrose to Ethanol Economics	187
Table 4.1.4.2	Carbon Dioxide Derived Synthesis Gas Fermentation to Ethanol	188
Table 4.2.1.1	Industrial residue material resources for carbonation (Zevenhoven and Fagerlund, 2010)	197
Table 4.2.3.1	Minerals and materials suitable for carbonation	203
Table 4.2.4.1	Speculative Economics for Skyonics Concept	222
Table 4.2.5.1	Mineral carbonation storage costs for CO ₂ (O'Connor et al., 2005)	224
	SCHEMES	
Scheme 3.3.1	Bifunctional synergistic catalysts having acid and base sites	110
	Biphasic system, composed of scCO2 and ILs, for the carboxylation of oxin (Kanawami H. <i>et al.</i> , 2003).	
Scheme 3.3.3	Resolution of racemic oxiranes	112
Scheme 3.3.4	Direct route to cyclic carbonates from an olefin and CO_2 , in water (Egbhal and Li C.J., 2007)	
Scheme 3.4.1	Formation of a linear supramolecular polymer <i>via</i> self-assembly of calixare capsules and reaction with CO ₂ (Rudkevich D. M. <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	
Scheme 3.4.2	Separation of a target molecule from a solution, employing CO ₂ (Zhang H. 2007, Stastny V. <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	
Scheme 3.4.3	An example of CO ₂ as an assembly agent for constructing lamellar material with high amounts of amine functionality (Alauzun J. <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	
Scheme 3.4.4	Poly(urethane-amine) obtained by copolymerization of 2-methylaziridine at carbon dioxide under supercritical conditions	

Scheme 3.5.1 Reaction of propylene oxide and CO ₂ to form polypropylene carbonate (PPC)	124
Scheme 4.2.3.1 Calera mineralisation via aqueous precipitation (MAP)	
Scheme 4.2.3.2 Process flow of the CALERA Moss Landing pilot plant	214
Scheme 4.2.3.3 New Sky's process operations	216