

CO₂ IN ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY (EOR): SOURCES, CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES AND APPLICATIONS

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
October 2013



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES.....	1
1.2 OVERVIEW OF CO ₂ SOURCES FOR EOR.....	2
1.3 APPLICATIONS OF CO ₂ IN EOR.....	4
1.4 MAJOR HURDLES	5
1.5 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS	6
2. CO₂ SOURCES AND CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES FOR EOR	9
2.1 HISTORY AND STATUS OF CO ₂ SUPPLY FOR EOR.....	9
2.2 OVERVIEW OF CO ₂ SUPPLY FOR EOR.....	11
2.2.1 Natural Sources	12
2.2.2 Natural Gas Processing	14
2.2.3 Hydrocarbon Conversion	16
2.2.4 Future Supply Developments	17
2.3 CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES OVERVIEW	17
2.3.1 Purchased Volumes	17
2.3.2 Recycled volumes.....	21
2.4 COMMERCIAL IMPLICATIONS.....	25
2.4.1 CO ₂ EOR Market	25
2.4.2 CO ₂ for EOR Contracts and Negotiation	27
2.4.2.1 Quality of the product	27
2.4.2.2 Quality of the supply.....	28
2.4.2.3 Quality of the supplier.....	29
2.4.2.4 Price risk and mitigation	29
2.5 REMAINING HURDLES AND OTHER ISSUES	30
2.5.1 Transportation	30
2.5.2 Oil Price.....	31
2.5.3 Hydrocarbon Conversion	32
2.5.4 Target Growth.....	32

2.5.5	GHG Mitigation and Policy	33
2.5.6	Commodity Recognition	34
2.5.7	Resource Competition	35
2.6	CONCLUSIONS	35
2.7	REFERENCES	37
3.	APPLICATIONS OF CO₂ IN EOR.....	43
3.1	WHY DOES CO ₂ EOR WORK?	43
3.2	DIFFICULTIES WITH CO ₂ EOR	46
3.3	DEALING WITH THE MOBILITY PROBLEMS VIA WATER INJECTION	47
3.4	CO ₂ MISCIBLE DISPLACEMENT	49
3.4.1	CO ₂ Miscible Water Alternating CO ₂ Gas (WAG).....	49
3.4.1.1	Constant WAG injection.....	49
3.4.1.2	Tapered WAG injection.....	50
3.4.1.3	Simultaneous CO ₂ and water injection, SWAG	51
3.4.2	Gas Cycling (Continuous CO ₂ injection).....	51
3.4.3	Gravity Stabilized Recovery, including Double Displacement	52
3.5	CO ₂ IMMISCIBLE DISPLACEMENT	54
3.5.1	Low Pressure CO ₂ Immiscible Floods	54
3.5.2	CO ₂ Huff-and-Puff	54
3.6	CURRENT US CO ₂ EOR ACTIVITY	55
3.7	CO ₂ EOR GEOLOGY AND ASSOCIATED CO ₂ EOR RECOVERABLE OIL	59
3.8	CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FACING CO ₂ EOR	60
3.8.1	Improved Volumetric Sweep During CO ₂ EOR	60
3.8.1.1	Improved mobility control.....	61
3.8.2	Increased Supply of CO ₂	68
3.8.3	New CO ₂ EOR Projects.....	70
3.8.3.1	Conventional CO ₂ EOR projects above the oil-water contact.....	70
3.8.3.2	CO ₂ EOR from the residual oil zone (ROZ).....	70
3.8.3.3	CO ₂ for the recovery of heavy California oils (14+ °API)	71
3.8.3.4	CO ₂ enhanced shale oil from the Bakken Formation.....	71
3.8.4	Monitoring CO ₂ Flow In-situ	72

3.8.5 Modeling CO ₂ EOR	74
3.8.6 Keeping Up with Progress.....	75
3.9 REFERENCES.....	75
4. INDEX.....	79

FIGURES

Figure ES-1	North American CO ₂ EOR systems in year 2013, showing CO ₂ supply capacity (modified from Murrell, 2013). Red circles indicate CO ₂ EOR project locations.	xx
Figure ES-2	Effect of pressure on the displacement efficiency of oil from a packed sand-packed slim tube.	xxiii
Figure ES-3	Qualitative illustration of the WAG mobility control process in a relatively flat sandstone or carbonate formation at a constant 1:1 ratio of water to CO ₂	xxiv
Figure ES-4	An aerial view (i.e. from above) of a quarter of a five-spot pattern of miscible displacement in a single layer as a function of the ratio of displaced fluid viscosity/displaced fluid viscosity (M).....	xxvii
Figure ES-5	The position of the ROZ relative to the conventionally productive main pay zone (MPZ).....	xxvii
Figure 2.1.1	North American CO ₂ Sales over time (Murrell, 2013; DiPietro et al., 2012; Denbury Resources, 2012a; Denbury Resources, 2012b; Hartgroves et al., 2011).	10
Figure 2.1.2	North American CO ₂ EOR incremental oil production over time (Murrell, 2013; Wuerth, 2013; Denbury Resources, 2012a; Denbury Resources, 2012b; Hartgroves et al., 2011; Melzer, 2012).	11
Figure 2.1.3	Worldwide CO ₂ EOR projects over time (modified from Oil & Gas Journal, 2012).	11
Figure 2.2.1.1	Worldwide CO ₂ EOR projects. Areas in red denote active and continuous CO ₂ EOR production. Areas in orange denote pilot or inactive projects (modified from Oil & Gas Journal, 2012).	12
Figure 2.2.1.2	North American CO ₂ EOR systems in year 2000, showing CO ₂ supply capacity (modified from Murrell, 2013). Red circles indicate CO ₂ EOR project locations.	13
Figure 2.2.1.3	North American CO ₂ EOR systems in year 2013, showing CO ₂ supply capacity (modified from Murrell, 2013). Red circles indicate CO ₂ EOR project locations.	13

Figure 2.2.1.4	Potential North American CO ₂ EOR systems in year 2020, showing CO ₂ supply capacity (modified from Murrell, 2013). Red circles indicate potential CO ₂ EOR project locations.	14
Figure 2.3.1.1	Market price trends for the Permian Basin and Rocky Mountain systems (Office of Natural Resource Revenue, 2013; Kinder Morgan Partners Annual Report, 2003-12; Murrell and Melzer, 2012).	19
Figure 2.3.2.1	Two-column Ryan-Holmes Process Diagram (Nicholas Consulting Group, 2013).	22
Figure 2.3.2.2	Recovery comparison of three different NGL processing methods (modified from Prim, 2012).	23
Figure 2.3.2.3	Estimated capital cost comparison for 0.4 Mt/a CO ₂ /NGL gas processing plant (modified from Prim, 2012).	23
Figure 2.3.2.4	Typical membrane flow scheme (Nicholas Consulting Group, 2013).	23
Figure 2.3.2.5	Estimated value of products for 0.4 Mt/a CO ₂ /NGL gas processing plant (modified from Prim, 2012).	24
Figure 2.3.2.6	Estimated Annual EBITDA for 0.4 Mt/a CO ₂ /NGL gas processing plant (modified from Prim, 2012).	24
Figure 3.1.1	The density of CO ₂ as a function of temperature and pressure, data from the NIST webbook (NIST 2011). The dashed line is the two-phase (liquid-vapor) region boundary, and the dot is the critical point.	44
Figure 3.1.2	Effect of pressure on the displacement efficiency of oil from a packed sand-packed slim tube.	44
Figure 3.1.3	The viscosity of CO ₂ as a function of temperature and pressure, data from the NIST webbook (NIST 2011). The dashed line is the two-phase (liquid-vapor) region boundary, and the dot is the critical point.	45
Figure 3.2.1	An aerial view (i.e. from above) of a quarter of a five-spot pattern of miscible displacement in a single layer as a function of the ratio of displaced fluid viscosity/displaced fluid viscosity (M).....	47
Figure 3.3.1	Example of a typical relative permeability values for water and CO ₂ flowing through a sandstone core. The relative permeability is high when the saturation of the fluid is high. As the CO ₂ saturation decreases, the relative permeability of CO ₂ decreases and the relative permeability of water increases.	48

Figure 3.4.1.1.1	Qualitative illustration of the WAG mobility control process in a relatively flat sandstone or carbonate formation at a constant 1:1 ratio of water to CO ₂ . The process is typically conducted in the main pay zone (MPZ), oil-bearing layers above the water-oil contact; most MPZs have been previously waterflooded during secondary recovery. (A residual oil zone (ROZ) <i>may</i> occur below the oil/water contact that contains an immobile oil saturation left behind by natural waterflooding of oil-bearing rock.)	50
Figure 3.4.1.2.1	Qualitative illustration of the tapered WAG process (i.e. wetting the WAG).	51
Figure 3.4.3.1	Gravity stable displacement of oil by the less dense CO ₂ in a dipping formation.	53
Figure 3.4.3.2	The double displacement process (DDP) in a tilted formation in which oil is first swept up-dip by the more dense brine, and then the majority of the water-swept zone is then swept down-dip by a less dense, more effective solvent (CO ₂). The brine is gray, oil is dark gray, and the gas (methane-rich natural gas in the original formation, and then injected CO ₂) is white. SI indicates the well is shut-in at that time.	53
Figure 3.6.1	Qualitative representation of the location of natural CO ₂ deposits, natural gas processing plants that separate natural CO ₂ from methane, and anthropogenic sources of CO ₂ . The major pipelines that transport the CO ₂ to EOR projects are also illustrated. CO ₂ supply and CO ₂ EOR production based on 2010 data. CO ₂ sources and CO ₂ EOR projects under development are not shown.	57
Figure 3.7.1	An overview of the CO ₂ EOR sources, activities in the Permian Basin, and potential for expansion in the Gulf Coast (Ambrose et al. 2009; Holtz et al. 2005).	59
Figure 3.8.3.2.1	The position of the ROZ relative to the conventionally productive main pay zone (MPZ).	71
Figure 3.8.4.1	Comparison of the (A) modeled and (B) experimental cross-well seismic difference tomogram at the Frio site for CO ₂ sequestration in a saline aquifer. In this figure (Hovorka et al. 2006) S _g refers to immiscible CO ₂ phase saturation(A). In Figure A and B, the white regions in the formation indicate high saturations of the mobile fluid (CO ₂). The dark regions correspond to impermeable zones in which seismic velocity did not change between the geophysical surveys.	73

TABLES

Table 2.2.1	Worldwide Current CO ₂ Supply for EOR.....	15
Table 2.2.4.1	Worldwide Scheduled and Potential CO ₂ Supply for EOR Developments.....	18
Table 2.4.1.1	Estimated CO ₂ Storage Potential from the Application of CO ₂ EOR in World Oil Basins (Advanced Resources International and Melzer, 2009).....	26
Table 2.4.2.1.1	Examples of Pipeline CO ₂ Quality (Tracy, 2012).....	28
Table 2.5.1.1	Example CO ₂ Pipeline Construction Costs (modified from Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission, 2010).....	31
Table 3.6.1	US CO ₂ EOR Operators, Oil Production Rates and Number of Projects Reported in 2012 (Koottungal, 2012; Kuuskraa, 2012).....	56
Table 3.6.2	The Largest Producing CO ₂ EOR Miscible Floods and CO ₂ EOR Immiscible Flood (Tinsley) in the US Based on Reported 2012 Enhanced Production (Koottungal 2012). MPZ = main pay zone, k = permeability, ϕ = porosity. To convert °API to density ρ g/cm ³ , $\rho = 141.5 / (131.5 + \text{°API})$	58
Table 3.8.4.1	Summary of Applied Geophysical Methods Related to Subsurface CO ₂ Monitoring and EOR.....	72