

## **RETROFIT SUITABILITY OF COMPETING CO<sub>2</sub> CAPTURE TECHNOLOGIES**

A techno-economic investigation  
commissioned by the members of the  
**Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program**

Client Private  
December 2012



### **The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program**

The **CO<sub>2</sub>CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO<sub>2</sub> capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO<sub>2</sub> life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO<sub>2</sub>CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly **CO<sub>2</sub>CC Communiqués** (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO<sub>2</sub>CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO<sub>2</sub>CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at [John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com](mailto:John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com) or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680  
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A.  
ph: +1.215.628.4447

## CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 REPORT ORGANIZATION .....	1
1.2 REPORT SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .....	2
1.3 IMPORTANT CRITERIA/FACTORS FOR EVALUATION (I.E., OPERATIONAL, ECONOMIC, ETC.).....	2
1.4 SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE TECHNOLOGIES .....	3
1.5 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS .....	3
<b>2. RETROFIT SUITABILITY OF SELECTED POST-COMBUSTION CAPTURE     PROCESSES .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 ALTERNATIVE PROCESSES FOR CONSIDERATION – LANDSCAPE OVERVIEW.....	7
2.1.1 Oil Refineries and CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions .....	9
2.1.2 Physical Sources of CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from an Oil Refinery .....	11
2.1.2.1 Utilities (electric power and process steam generation).....	12
2.1.2.2 Process heaters .....	13
2.1.2.3 FCC and continuous coking processes.....	13
2.1.2.4 Hydrogen production.....	13
2.1.2.5 Summary .....	14
2.2 SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE PROCESS: CHEMISORPTION GAS SCRUBBING (E.G., AMINE-BASED CO <sub>2</sub> CAPTURE SYSTEM).....	15
2.2.1 Critical Factors (based on design basis assumptions) .....	15
2.2.1.1 Operational considerations .....	16
2.2.1.2 Financial and economic considerations.....	20
2.2.2 Overall Assessment: Retrofit Suitability .....	24
2.2.3 Hurdles and Implications.....	28
2.3 OUTLOOK FOR AMINE-BASED CO <sub>2</sub> CAPTURE RETROFIT .....	30
2.4 REFERENCES .....	32
<b>3. RETROFIT SUITABILITY OF SELECTED PRE-/OXY-COMBUSTION     PROCESSES .....</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 ALTERNATIVE PROCESSES FOR CONSIDERATION .....	35
3.2 SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE PROCESS: OXY-FUEL APPROACH.....	38

**PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!**

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.2.1	Critical Factors (based on design basis assumptions).....	46
3.2.1.1	Operational considerations .....	46
3.2.1.2	Financial and economic considerations.....	48
3.2.2	Overall Assessment: Retrofit Suitability .....	50
3.2.3	Hurdles and Implications.....	51
3.3	OUTLOOK FOR PRE-/OXY-COMBUSTION RETROFIT .....	53
3.4	REFERENCES .....	53
<b>4.</b>	<b>RETROFIT SUITABILITY OF SELECTED “OTHER” PROCESSES.....</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	ALTERNATIVE PROCESSES FOR CONSIDERATION .....	61
4.2	SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE PROCESS: CACO <sub>3</sub> FLUIDISED BED APPROACH.....	64
4.2.1	Critical Factors (based on design basis assumptions).....	64
4.2.1.1	Operational considerations .....	69
4.2.1.1.1	CO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> capture efficiency .....	71
4.2.1.1.2	Emissions requirements .....	75
4.2.1.1.3	Land/space requirements .....	75
4.2.1.1.4	Utilities (heat, power, water, etc. and integration opportunities) .....	76
4.2.1.1.5	Access to flue gas desulfurization (FGD) and/or selective catalytic reduction (SCR) .....	76
4.2.1.1.6	Plant availability impacts.....	76
4.2.1.2	Financial and economic considerations .....	78
4.2.1.2.1	LCOE (levelized cost of electricity), CO <sub>2</sub> avoided costs, CAPEX and OPEX .....	78
4.2.1.2.2	Plant efficiency penalty .....	80
4.2.1.2.3	External costs.....	80
4.2.2	Overall Assessment: Retrofit Suitability .....	80
4.2.3	Hurdles and Implications.....	81
4.3	OUTLOOK FOR SELECTED “OTHER” RETROFIT .....	81
4.4	REFERENCES .....	82
<b>5.</b>	<b>INDEX .....</b>	<b>87</b>

## FIGURES

Figure ES-1	World energy demand; projected demand growth and energy sources (taken from the OECD/IEA World Energy Outlook, 2010).....	xviii
Figure 2.1.1	World energy demand; projected demand growth and energy sources. (taken from the OECD/IEA World Energy Outlook, 2010).....	7
Figure 2.1.2	Illustration of CO <sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage (CCS) technology chain. Adapted from IEA, 2004 .....	8
Figure 2.1.3	Refinery CO <sub>2</sub> emissions breakdown by process (% m/m). Adapted from CONCAWE, 2011 .....	12
Figure 2.1.4	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions point sources at a typical refinery. Adapted from van Straelen, 2010 .....	14
Figure 2.2.1	Schematic diagram of amine-based CO <sub>2</sub> capture process (Drawn by author).....	16
Figure 2.2.2	Costs of capture as a function of CO <sub>2</sub> concentration in flue gas. Costs are based on the capture of 1000 kt/a CO <sub>2</sub> ; CO <sub>2</sub> recovery has been optimized per case to between 85% and 90%. Adapted from van Straelen, 2010 .....	21
Figure 2.2.3	Costs of capture as a function of flue gas volume for a flue gas containing 8% CO <sub>2</sub> . Adapted from van Straelen, 2010 .....	22
Figure 2.2.4	Overview costs of CO <sub>2</sub> capture with MEA from emissions point sources at the typical refinery. Adapted from van Straelen, 2010 .....	22
Figure 2.2.5	Marginal abatement curve CO <sub>2</sub> capture with MEA from emissions point sources at the typical refinery used for this case study. Only sources above 400 kt/a CO <sub>2</sub> are included in the curve. Adapted from van Straelen, 2010 .....	23
Figure 3.2.1	Schematic of generic oxy-fired boiler configuration .....	39
Figure 3.2.2	Sulphation conversion profiles under oxy-fired conditions for varied concentrations of H <sub>2</sub> O (with air-fired profiles overlaid) .....	45
Figure 4.1.1	Typical breakdown of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from refineries worldwide by source, after (Kuramochi, Ramírez et al. 2012) .....	61
Figure 4.1.2	Enthalpy/Entropy diagram for the steam cycle of a model power station without CCS added .....	63
Figure 4.2.1	Basic flowsheet for the Ca-looping process (author's own) .....	69
Figure 4.2.2	Drop in CO <sub>2</sub> uptake for Havelock limestone as a function of number of cycles of calcination (redrawn from author's own data) .....	70

**PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!**

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 4.2.3	Partial pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> above CaO as a function of temperature (drawn by the Author, thermodynamic parameters from (McBride, Zehe et al. 2002)).....	71
Figure 4.2.4	The partial pressures of CO <sub>2</sub> in the exhaust gases from a variety of industrial sources (redrawn from (Kaarstad, Berger et al. 2011)).....	72
Figure 4.2.5	Comparative CO <sub>2</sub> capture efficiencies from three different pilot plants (Sanchez 2011).....	72
Figure 4.2.6	CO <sub>2</sub> capture as a function of time for the La Pereda pilot plant (part of the EU Caoling project) (Sánchez-Biezma, Diaz et al. 2012) .....	73
Figure 4.2.7	The extent of SO <sub>2</sub> capture in the calciner and carbonator of the Ca-looping system at La Pereda over a protracted period (Sánchez-Biezma, Diaz et al. 2012) .....	73
Figure 4.2.8	CO <sub>2</sub> uptake per cycle of carbonation of a UK limestone (Longcliffe). 650°C carbonation, 900°C calcination, 15% CO <sub>2</sub> in both, Δ550 ppm SO <sub>2</sub> present, ◇no SO <sub>2</sub> . Author's own data. ....	74
Figure 4.2.9	The La Pereda pilot plant of the Caoling project (Courtesy of author).....	77

**TABLES**

Table ES-1	The Primary CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Point Sources in a Complex Refinery (data taken from van Straelen et al, 2010) .....	xix
Table ES-2	Summary of CO <sub>2</sub> Sources and Properties in an Oil Refinery (data from CONCAWE, 2011) .....	xx
Table 2.1.1	Global stationary CO <sub>2</sub> fixed point emission sources Emissions from transportation sector not included, data from Gale, 2005 .....	8
Table 2.1.2	The primary CO <sub>2</sub> emission point sources in a complex refinery, data taken from van Straelen et al 2010 .....	11
Table 2.1.3	Summary of CO <sub>2</sub> sources and properties in an oil refinery. Data from (CONCAWE, 2011).....	14
Table 2.2.1	Process improvements based on parameter adjustment .....	17
Table 2.2.2	Overview utilities for capture plant (van Straelen, 2010) .....	24
Table 3.1.1	Processes which can benefit from oxygen enrichment or use of pure oxygen .....	37
Table 3.2.1	Comparison of burner gas compositions (wt. %), from Zhou and Moyeda (2010) .....	40
Table 3.2.2	Average measured SO <sub>2</sub> /SO <sub>3</sub> concentrations (Maier et al, 2008).....	41

**PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!**

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Table 3.2.3	List of pilot plant oxyfuel FBC facilities (modified from Wall et al., 2012) .....	46
Table 3.2.4	Representative performance and economic data supercritical PC (air and oxy-fired) and IGCC (Chen et al, 2012) .....	50
Table 4.1.1	Estimated costs of decarbonisation from a variety of locations in an oil refinery (after (Kuramochi, Ramírez et al. 2012)).....	62
Table 4.2.2	Summary of the technical issues for the key capture technologies in the context of a refinery (adapted from (Florin and Fennell (accepted))).....	65
Table 4.2.3	Assessment technology readiness level (TRL) and technical issues for a variety of advanced technologies in the context of biomass combustion.....	68
Table 4.2.4	Costs for biomass combustion and calcium looping for a number of combinations of coal, biomass, calciner fuel and addition or not of ocean liming. Adapted from (Pignatelli et al., 2012). AC = Avoided cost. COE = \$ 2011 .....	79