ADVANCES IN CATALYST CHARACTERIZATION AND SYNTHESIS

A technical investigation commissioned by the members of the Catalytic Advances Program

> Client Private July 2018



Gwynedd Office Park • P.O. Box 680 • Spring House, PA 19477 – USA – • Phone: +1-215-628-4447 • Fax: +1-215-628-2267 E-mail: tcgr@catalystgrp.com • Web Site: www.catalystgrp.com



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Matthew A. Colquitt at <u>Matthew.A.Colquitt@catalystgrp.com</u> or +1.215.628.4447 (x1130).



P.O. Box 680 Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A ph: +1.215.628.4447 fax: +1.215.628.2267 website: <u>www.catalystgrp.com</u>

CONTENTS

EХ	KECUTI	VE SUMMARY	. xxi
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.	ADVA	ANCES IN CATALYST CHARACTERIZATION	5
,	2.1 INT	RODUCTION, SCOPE AND LIMITS	5
,	2.2 IDE	INTIFICATION OF THE REACTION MECHANISM	6
	2.2.1	TAP - Temporal Analysis of Products	6
	2.2.2	SSITKA – Steady-State Transient Kinetic Analysis	9
	2.2.3	TPSR – Temperature Programmed Surface Reactions	10
	2.2.4	In-situ and Operando Methodologies	10
	2.2.5	Detection of adspecies and reaction intermediates	27
	2.2.6	Modulation Excitation Spectroscopy	29
	2.2.7	Adsorption/reaction energetics measured by microcalorimetry	30
	2.2.8	Surface- and tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy	31
	2.2.9	Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering	33
,	2.3 MO	NITORING CATALYST DYNAMICS DURING REACTIONS	34
	2.3.1	Introduction	34
	2.3.2	Monitoring surface and bulk changes during catalysis	36
	2.3.3	Catalyst evolution and dynamic effects in catalysis	43
	2.3.4	Near ambient pressure XPS	46
	2.3.5	Advanced in-situ TEM	49
	2.3.6	Ultrafast Multidimensional Spectroscopy	51
	2.3.7	Breakthroughs in Hard X-ray Diffraction	54
	2.3.8	Atom Probe Tomography	56
	2.3.9	Nanoscale imaging	59
	2.3.10	Single Molecule Nanospectroscopy	61
	2.3.11	Operando X-ray Diffraction	64
	2.4 CO	NCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES	65
,	2.5 REI	FERENCES	66
3.	ADVA	ANCES IN CATALYST SYNTHESIS	77
	3.1 INT	RODUCTION	77
	3.2 PRI	ECISION METAL SYNTHESIS, INCLUDING SHAPE/FACETING CONTROL	78

FICURES				
4. INDE	X			
3.7 REI	FERENCES			
3.6 CO	NCLUSIONS/PERSPECTIVES	127		
3.5.3	Overcoated Catalysts	126		
3.5.2	Wet Chemical Core-Shell Materials	124		
3.5.1	ALD/CVD	121		
3.5 CO	NTROLLED OXIDE SYNTHESES	121		
3.4.2	Core-Shell	120		
3.4.1	Alloy-type			
3.4 BIN	IETALLICS	113		
3.3.3	Mesoporous Oxides	106		
3.3.2	Carbons	104		
3.3.1	Zeolites	94		
3.3 NO	VEL MICRO/MESOSTRUCTURED MATERIALS	94		
3.2.2	Wet Chemical Methods	81		
3.2.1	ALD/CVD/Lithography	78		

FIGURES

Figure E-1	Overview over experimental methods employed from Bäumer et al. (2007) to bridge the "pressure gap" in studying the adsorption and reaction of methanol on supported Pd catalystsxxii
Figure 2.1	(a) The TAP pulse response methodology; (b) Operating modes in the TAP reactor 7
Figure 2.2	Flow scheme of a typical SSITKA setup with example of a normalized transient curve for true (A) and minor (B) unlabellel (X) and labelled (X*) intermediates9
Figure 2.3	Overview over experimental methods employed from Bäumer et al. (2007) to bridge the "pressure gap" in studying the adsorption and reaction of methanol on supported Pd catalysts
Figure 2.5	Simplified scheme of an operando spectroscopy experimental setup14
Figure 2.6	Simplified set-up of microreactor operando cell used for multiple (non- simultaneous) studies on a solid catalyst. The catalyst is confined between two silicon nitride windows, and the reaction gases flow through the system to interact with the confined catalyst at atmospheric pressure. Four different beams (electron, X-ray, IR, and laser) probe different parts of the catalytic system
Figure 2.7	Simulation of [species amount]–[catalytic reaction rate] dependences for linear (a) and non-linear (b,c) dependences of the amount of species on the catalytic reaction rate. For each case, the different curves correspond to four different catalyst precursor loading. Cat _{act1} and Cat _{act1} S denote the intermediates (active species) of the catalytic cycle where product P1 is formed. The reaction progress is indicated by arrows

Figure 2.8	(a) Static liquid cell. (b) Electrochemical static liquid cell (c) electrochemical flow liquid cell and (d) gas cell. Note: (i) Si ₃ N ₄ membrane, (ii) liquid reservoir, (iii) O-ring, (iv) working electrode. (v) Counter electrode, and (vi) reference electrode respectively
Figure 2.9	Schematic illustration of the working principle of PSD. A(t) is the stimulation function, B(t) is noise, C(t) is a response of a spectator species, and D(t) is the response of an active species. The stimulation function is, in this case, a sine wave with frequency ω . Demodulation using PSD transforms time-domain spectra to the phase domain. Instead of being time-dependent, the spectra are now a function of the phase angle Φ_{PSD} . Instead of appearing at a certain time delay Δt , in the phase domain, the absolute phase delay ϕ contains information about the dynamics and kinetics of the studied system
Figure 2.10	Main transitions and interactions involved in 1s2p and 1s3p RIXS processes for cobalt-containing compounds (left). A typical RIXS 2D plane consisting of multiple emission slices measured at various incident energies (right). The RIXS slices are then interpolated to obtain a full RIXS 2d plane
Figure 2.11	Typical time and length scales relevant for dynamic processes in catalysis. Blue: molecular processes at the active site; green: processes involving solid-state catalysts, yellow: transport processes of reactants and products. The time-frame important for dynamic operation is indicated with a red box (form the case of catalytic technologies using renewable energy) includes microscopic and macroscopic length scales
Figure 2.12	Analysis of the overgrowth of ZnO on Cu-particles
Figure 2.13	Schematic of the latest reaction cell HP-STM
Figure 2.14	Reactor-like HP-STM consisting a reaction cell and a separated STM body. (a) CAD drawing of the whole system. (b) Picture of the HP-STM system. (c) Picture of the reaction cell assembled with STM body
Figure 2.15	A) STM images of 55 x 55 Å ² and the corresponding ball models showing Moiré superstructures of CO on Pt(111) at room temperature. (a) Incommensurate structure at $p = 10^{-2}$ Torr. (b) Commensurate structure at $p = 720$ Torr. (c) Mode of the incommensurate structure at 10^{-2} Torr. (d) Mode of the commensurate ($\sqrt{19} \times \sqrt{19}$) <i>R</i> 23.4°-13CO structure at 720 Torr. Reproduced from Dou et al. (2017) based on the original paper of Longwitz et al. (2004). B) Pt 4f and C 1s XPS spectra of the Pt(111) surface at different conditions (clean, 20 L and 50 mTorr) at 298 K. Pt 4f spectra was deconvoluted into bulk, unoccupied (U) and top (T) and bridge (B) sites bound to CO molecules
Figure 2.16	ETEM images of Au/CeO ₂ catalyst in (A) vacuum and (B) 1% CO/air at 45 Pa at room temperature. After exposing to 1% CO/air, the distance between the top and second Au layer increases from 0.20 to 0.25 nm, while the distance between adjoining Au columns decreases from 0.29 to 0.25 nm
Figure 2.17	Schematic diagram showing the single-scattering photoelectron paths in the SEXAFS and PhD techniques. The red circles denote substrate atoms and the black circle denotes an adsorbate atom

Figure 2.18	Schematic and image of an in-house AP-XPS. (a and b) Assembly of a reaction cell in the AP-XPS system. (c) Picture of the sample surface and aperture. (d) Heating capability of the reaction cell
Figure 2.19	Sketch and working principles of the high-pressure cell: a) sketch of the principle SPEM setup with the reaction cell and its main components. b) Large-scale sketch that illustrates the gas delivery and probed sample area. c) Rendering of the enclosed cell mounted on the sample holder
Figure 2.20	Principle of S-UEM imaging (left) and ultrafast pump-probe spectroscopic measurements (right) for an array of nanocrystals. For comparison, typical transient- absorption spectra (TA spectra) and S-UEM images (secondary electron, SE images), representative of data acquired with each technique, are shown on the left. The schematic on the right shows the main difference between the methodologies, which is the nature of the probing pulse: photoelectrons for S-UEM and photons for pump-probe spectroscopy. In general, the nature of the excitation pulse is the same for each
Figure 2.21	4D electron imaging. The resolution boundaries of ultrafast imaging are compared with those achieved in conventional TEM, limited by the speed of video camera, and, in high-speed microscopy (HSM), defined by the rectangle shown. The spatiotemporal scales of UEM achieved to date are outlined with possible future extensions
Figure 2.22	Schematic illustration of the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 and H_2O at the titanium active center, emphasizing the femtosecond laser induced electron transfer and subsequent $Ti = O$ bond dilation
Figure 2.23	Pulse sequences depicting the equilibrium 2D-IR (top), transient 2D-IR (middle), and te-2D-IR (bottom) techniques, where the red pulses are the infrared pump pulses, the orange pulse is the infrared probe pulse and the purple pulse is the actinic or near-UV pulse
Figure 2.24	Time-resolved measurement of the response of the surface structure to a change in reaction gas stoichiometry from 6 Torr CO and 1.5 Torr O ₂ to 6 Torr CO and 3 Torr O ₂ at a sample temperature of 575 K. (A) Mass spectrometry data showing the O ₂ , CO, and CO ₂ signals during the experiment. (B) Integrated diffraction intensities in the areas shown by the white boxes in panel (C-I), corresponding to the surface and bulk oxides respectively. (C) HESXRD (High-energy surface-sensitive x-ray diffraction) snap shots during the experiment from the times indicated by I to III in (A). (D) Schematics of the surface structure at the times I, II, and III in Fig. 2.24, A to C, illustrating the gradual formation of an oxide layer
Figure 2.25	a) A schematic overview of the APT technique showing the 3D distribution of all ions (Al, O, Si, and ¹³ C) as detected in needle. b) Reconstruction for ¹³ C (red) distribution in needle together with a 3% ¹³ C concentration isosurface identified on the basis of those distributions and identified ¹³ C clusters (black overlay). The magnified region shows the distribution of ¹³ C clusters only (each dot represents one ¹³ C ion in the ¹³ C cluster). The molecules indicated are possible coke species that are consistent with median ¹³ C cluster sizes, though APT cannot provide molecular fingerprinting

- Figure 2.30 Integrated SMF microscopy and TEM of a single catalyst particle. a) Fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) particles embedded in epoxy resin (yellow) are microtomed into thin sections and deposited onto a SiN membrane. b) Calcination of the SiN membrane removes the resin, leaving just the catalyst thin sections. c) TEM image of the thin section. d) Sample reactivity is evaluated by SMF using the thiophene oligomerization as probe reaction; a movie with 9200 frames is recorded, showing the emitted fluorescence as bright, diffraction-limited spots. The movie is analyzed by Nanometer Accuracy by Stochastic Chemical reactions NASCA (e) and Superresolution optical fluctuation imaging- SOFI (f). e) Map of detected single-

	molecule events by NASCA. For clarity, the detected events have been enlarged. Fewer events are observed in the top right area because it is slightly out of focus. f) Map of the SOFI intensity. The scale bars represent 20 μ m
Figure 2.31	Schematic of the combined operando X-ray diffraction and UV-vis setup showing the X-ray diffractometer with the mounted capillary; in the middle of the capillary, the spot of the UV-vis light source can be seen (see inset)
Figure 3.1	A (above). Aberration-corrected HAADF-STEM Pt ₁ /graphene (left), dimeric Pt ₂ /graphene (middle), both with a scale of 20 nm, and the Pt particle size distribution (right) (Yan, et al. 2017). B (below). TEM images of Ni NPs deposited inside and outside carbon nanotubes (Gao, et al. 2015)
Figure 3.2	A. Isolated Pt species on TiO_2 (DeRita, et al. 2017); B. small cluster (circled red) Pt on TiO_2 , along with isolated species (DeRita, et al. 2017); HAADF-STEM image of Ag-Au alloy (C) showing EDX mapping of Ag (D) and Au(E) (Liu, et al. 2015)82
Figure 3.3	(a) STEM image of Pd clusters in Silicalite-1; (b) crystallographic projection of the cluster from (a); (c) Perspective view along the <i>b</i> crystallographic axis of the crystal structure of Pd-4 (purple spheres) in a phenylenebisoxamate MOF (Wang, et al. 2016b)
Figure 3.4	HRTEM image (A) and EDX line scan (B) of Pt nanowires after dissolution of Ni showing (111) lattice fringes (Li, et al. 2016a); TEM image of concave Pt cuboids (C) with HRTEM image (D) taken from the frame corner with an illustration of the arrangement at the {740} surface and a TEM (E) looking down the corner of the frame (scale bar 20 nm) (Xia, et al. 2013)
Figure 3.5	Two views (A, B) of the fit of two cis-3,5-dimethylpiperidinium OSDAs in the 12- member ring of gmelinite CIT-9 (Dusselier, et al. 2017); new pure silicates (C, D) made from the germanosilicate UTL (Mazur, et al. 2016)
Figure 3.6	A. Structures of the RHO family of zeolites and their seven building blocks (Min, et al. 2017); structure of SSZ-13 with 8-member ring pores, with the {010} face showing (Kumar, et al. 2015a)
Figure 3.7	HRTEMs (A, B) of ZSM-5@silicalite mesoporous core-shell zeolites with lattice fringes extending from shell to core (Ghorbanpour, et al. 2015); FESEM (C) and HRTEM (D) images of hierarchical ZSM-5 (Yang, et al. 2017a) and Mordenite (Kim, et al. 2017b)
Figure 3.8	A. TEM image of ZrO_2 inverse replica nanocast in SBA-15 SiO ₂ (Gu, et al. 2017); B. N ₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore-size distribution (inset) of A; C. TEM image and SAED (inset) of Ni _{0.2} CeO _{2-x} inverse replica nanocast from KIT-6 silica, with interstitial pores (circled) (Tang, et al. 2015a); D. HRTEM of C showing regions of both amorphous and crystalline NiO
Figure 3.9	Spatially isolated Pt/Pd on SiO ₂ . Left - HAADF-STEM image of mesopore channels with Pt NPs (L); right - bright-field TEM image of macropores with monodispersed Pd NPs (circled) (Parlett, et al. 2016)
Figure 3.10	Pt-Ni alloy NPs (Xu, et al. 2014): A. TEM image of hexoctahedral particles (inset is NP size distribution); B. HRTEM image of concave cubic particles with associated SAED; C. HAADF-STEM image of particle from A.; D. line scan profile of Ni-Pt NP

Figure 3.11	A. HAADF-STEM image of PtFe alloy supported on cabon (different colors due to Z-contrast of Pt and Fe) (Chung, et al. 2015); B. face-centered tetragonal model structure; C. FFT of the image in B; D. synthetic scheme to make bimetallic carbon-coated Pt-Co or Pt-Ni NPs on hollow porous carbon (Yang, et al. 2016); E-F. STEM images of PtCo@C NPs calcined at 500 (E) and 600°C (F), with scale bars = 50 nm
Figure 3.12	a) Conversion of self-assembled H ₂ -TCPP molecules into metal/metal oxide films via ALD of Mn, Al, or Zn precursors followed by the coalescing into a thin film (Avila, et al. 2106); b) Ti-Nb-O shell layers formed by deposition onto a electrospun PVA nanowire and annealing at 700 °C in forming gas (Putkonen, et al. 2018); c) Formation of Ni metal islands on W spheres (Van Norman, et al. 2017b)
Figure 3.13	a) The treatment of a Fe substrate with H_2 and NH_3 is shown change h-BN growth from island like to conformal film. The treatment gases are used to control precursor solubility in the substrate. (top) and conformal films (bottom) is shown (Caneva, et al. 2016). b) (Top) The location of defects within the graphene nanoribbons. The inset displays the grown samples. (bottom) Free energy diagram for ORR on different models for comparison under the conditions of pH = 13 and the maximum potential allowed by thermodynamics (Gong, et al. 2015)
Figure 3.14	a) IrO ₂ nanoparticles are grown hydrothermally on an α -MnO ₂ nanorod (Sun, et al. 2017) b) Ni nanoparticles are sandwiched between SiO ₂ and CeO ₂ layers grown in a three-step process (Das, et al. 2018). c) Cu ₂ O coated Au nanoparticles demonstrating the conformal coating (Liu, et al. 2012). d) The non-conformal Au-FeO _x dumb-bell structure due to lattice mismatch (Lin and Doong 2011)
Figure 3.15	a) recycling test for 6TiO ₂ @SBA-15 and HZSM-5 performing 1-phenylethanol dehydration (Héroguel, et al. 2018). b) A plot of the reaction temperatures for 50% CO conversion as a function of the number of TiO ₂ ALD cycles. The inset shows the location of deposition with increasing number of cycles. c) (Top) Schematic illustration of porous ALD alumina overcoat on Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ catalyst. (bottom) Representative high-resolution TEM and aberration-corrected HAADF STEM (Yi, et al. 2015)

TABLES

Table E-1	Some of the approaches used today and their limitations. Reproduced from Schlögl (2015).	xxiii
Table 2.1	Overview of the experimental modes and main information obtained. Reproduce from Morgan et al., 2017.	ed 8
Table 2.2	Complementary Functions of HP-STM and ETEM in In Situ Studies of Heterogeneous Catalysts. Reproduced from Tao and Crozier (2016)	37
Table 2.3	Some of the approaches used today and their limitations. Reproduced from Schlögl (2015)	45
Table 3.1	Wet Chemical Monometallic Metal Cluster Syntheses	83
Table 3.2	Synthetic Methods, Hierarchical Zeolite Structures	98 xxxi