

CATALYTIC CONVERSION OF SYNGAS TO CHEMICAL PRODUCTS III

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
September 2018





The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A.
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS	4
1.2 REFERENCES	5
2. DIRECT CONVERSION OF SYNGAS TO CHEMICALS	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION, SCOPE AND LIMITS	7
2.2 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR OXYGENATES	9
2.2.1 Methanol.....	9
2.2.1.1 Current commercial processes.....	9
2.2.1.2 Catalyst advances	13
2.2.1.3 Process advances	16
2.2.2 Ethanol and Higher Alcohols	20
2.2.2.1 Current commercialization status.....	20
2.2.2.2 Catalyst advances	25
2.2.2.3 Process advances	28
2.2.3 Dimethyl Ether (DME).....	30
2.2.4 Mono Ethylene Glycol (MEG).....	33
2.3 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & HURDLES	37
2.4 REFERENCES	39
3. INDIRECT CONVERSION OF SYNGAS TO CHEMICALS.....	47
3.1 INTRODUCTION	47
3.2 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR CARBOYLATION	48
3.2.1 Acetic acid.....	48
3.2.1.1 Introduction	48
3.2.1.2 Catalyst advances	51
3.2.1.3 Process advances	58
3.2.2 Acetic anhydride.....	65

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.3 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR HYDROXYCARBONYLATION (HYDROCARBOXYLATION).....	66
3.3.1 Acrylic acid.....	66
3.3.2 Propanoic acid.....	68
3.4 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR ALKOXYCARBONYLATION	69
3.4.1 Methyl methacrylate, MMA	70
3.4.2 Adipic Acid.....	73
3.5 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR OXIDATIVE CARBONYLATION	75
3.5.1 Dimethylcarbonate, DMC.....	78
3.5.1.1 Catalyst advances in direct MeOH oxycarbonylation route	80
3.5.1.2 Catalysts advances in Methylnitrite carbonylation route.....	82
3.5.1.3 Alternative approaches for DMC production	83
3.6 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR HYDROFORMYLATION.....	84
3.6.1 Commercialization status.....	85
3.6.1.1 The Johnson Matthey (JM) Process	85
3.6.1.2 LP Oxo SM technology	86
3.6.1.3 ExxonMobil	86
3.6.1.4 Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation (MCC)	86
3.6.1.5 Shell hydroformylation (SHF)	87
3.6.1.6 Ruhrchemie/Rhone-Poulenc (RCH/RP)	87
3.6.2 Catalyst advances.....	87
3.6.2.1 Homogeneous hydroformylation catalysts	87
3.6.2.2 Heterogeneous hydroformylation catalysts.....	90
3.6.3 Process advances.....	91
3.7 CATALYST AND TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES FOR HYDROAMINO-METHYLATION	94
3.8 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & HURDLES	96
3.9 REFERENCES	97
4. CONVERSION OF SYNGAS TO CHEMICALS VIA FISCHER-TROPSCH SYNTHESIS	111
4.1 INTRODUCTION	111
4.1.1 Light olefins	111

4.1.2 Aromatics	112
4.2 CURRENT COMMERCIAL PROCESSES	114
4.2.1 FT fuel production processes	114
4.2.2 Olefin production processes	115
4.2.3 Aromatics production processes.....	117
4.3 CATALYST ADVANCES	118
4.3.1 Catalysts for olefins production	119
4.3.1.1 Modified FT catalysts.....	119
4.3.1.2 Bifunctional catalysts	122
4.3.2 Catalysts for aromatics production.....	124
4.4 PROCESS ADVANCES	126
4.4.1 Technology and processes for olefin production	126
4.4.2 Technology and processes for aromatics production	129
4.5 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & HURDLES	132
4.6 REFERENCES	135
5. INDEX	139

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Global syngas output by feedstock (GSTC, 2018).....	1
Figure 1.2 Syngas applications (Hands, 2017)	2
Figure 1.3 Syngas applications by market volume in 2004 (left) (van der Drift et al., 2004) and 2016 (right) (Hands, 2017)	3
Figure 2.1 Global methanol end uses in 2016 (Hands, 2017).....	7
Figure 2.2 Global methanol demand and projections by year and end use (Methanex, 2016).....	8
Figure 2.3 Process flow diagram of the ICI Low Pressure Methanol synthesis process. reproduced from Palma et al. (2018).....	10
Figure 2.4 Process flow diagram of the Haldor Topsoe Low Pressure Methanol synthesis process	11
Figure 2.5 Process flow diagram of the LPMEOH™ process (US DOE CCTDP, 2004).....	13
Figure 2.6 Methanol synthesis reactors designed for efficient cooling (a-d), optionally with feed pre-heating (c-e), as well as for low pressure drop (f and g). (a) Cooling with water bath; (b) cooling with water coils; (c) cooling with cold feed; (d) feed gas quench; (e) feed-effluent heat exchange by periodic flow reversal; (f) lateral flow. Adapted from Lange (2001)	17

Figure 2.7	Modern synthesis loop – Johnson Matthey Combi Loop (Sheldon, 2017).....	19
Figure 2.8	LanzaTech's Process Scale-up and Commercialization Plants (LanzaTech, 2018)....	25
Figure 2.9	Process flow diagram for the gasification of switchgrass followed by syngas fermentation to ethanol. BFW: boiler feedwater system, STM: steam, CWS: circulating water system. Adapted from Phillips et al. (2017)	29
Figure 2.10	Mono ethylene glycol demand by application (Penney, 2017).....	33
Figure 2.11	Process flow diagram for the Mitsubishi/Shell OMEGA process for MEG production. Adapted from Kawabe (2010).....	34
Figure 2.12	Process flow diagram of the coal to MEG process via DMO (Jivral, 2012)	36
Figure 2.13	Main operation units of the Eastman/JM Davy's MEG process (Penney, 2017)	36
Figure 3.1	Global Acetic Acid Uses (essentialchemicalindustry.org, 2016)	48
Figure 3.2	Consumption of Acetic acid 2016 (IHSmarkit.com, 2016)	49
Figure 3.3	Process flow diagram for Rh catalyzed methanol carbonylation.....	60
Figure 3.4	Simplified flow diagram of the Cativa Process (catalysis-ed.org.uk, 2018)	61
Figure 3.5	Simplified flow diagram of CT Acetica process (Chiyoda Corp. 2018)	63
Figure 3.6	Block diagram of the BP SaaBre process	64
Figure 3.7	Schematic diagram of LiMA process (Krill, 2017)	72
Figure 3.8	Global dimethyl carbonate market share by application	78
Figure 3.9	Block flow diagram of the Versalis/Lummus Technology	79
Figure 3.10	Simplified block diagram for the formation of 2-ethylhexanol and butanols via propylene hydroformylation (JMprotech.com, 2018)	86
Figure 4.1	Light olefins share in global demand for petrochemicals in 2013 (Kerkhof, 2014)..	111
Figure 4.2	Major products derived from BTX (US DOE EERE, 2000)	113
Figure 4.3	Simplified schematic diagram of UOP's MTP/OCP process.....	116
Figure 4.4	Simplified schematic diagram of the DMTO-II process.....	117
Figure 4.5	Catalytic process of OX-ZEO. (A) CO conversion and product distribution at different H ₂ /CO ratios in syngas over a catalyst with mass ratio of ZnCrOx/MSAPO = 1.4 at a space velocity of 4800 ml/h·g _{cat} . (B) Hydrocarbon distribution in OX-ZEO in comparison to that reported for FTTO and that in FTS predicted by the ASF model at a chain growth probability of 0.46, with the yellow bar representing selectivity of C ₂ –C ₄ hydrocarbons. (C) A stability test of a composite catalyst with ZnCrOx/MSAPO ratio = 0.9 at 6828 ml/h·g _{cat} and H ₂ /CO of 2.5	123
Figure 4.6	Proposed reaction mechanism of the OX-ZEO process.	123
Figure 4.7	Effect of integration manner on catalytic behaviors of the composite catalysts containing Zr–Zn (Zr/Zn=2:1) oxide and SAPO-34 (24 h). a) Dual-bed configuration. b) Stacking of granules with sizes of 250–600 mm. c) Simple mixing of the two components in an agate mortar. d) Ball-milling of two components together for 24 h. o/p ratio denotes the C ₂ –C ₄ olefin/paraffin ratio	124

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 4.8	The effect of the intimacy of ZnCrO _x and ZSM-5-533 (containing 75% ZnCrO _x) on the overall catalytic performance	125
Figure 4.9	The typical product distribution based on the Anderson-Schulz-Flory (ASF) model	127
Figure 4.10	Commercial reactor types for FT processes (Abbaslou, et al., 2010)	128
Figure 4.11	Illustration of two-stage fluidized bed (TSFB) reactor configuration.....	130
Figure 4.12	Product profiles samples at different stages of the TSFB reactor (0.3 h-1, 0.4 MPa)	130
Figure 4.13	Simplified process flow diagram of the FMTA pilot plant (1. catalyst regenerator; 2. LHTA reactor; 3. MTA reactor; 4. Stripper; 5. Fine powder filter; 6. Condenser; 7. Gas–liquid–solid three-phase separator; 8. Compressor; 9. Gas separation unit; and 10. Liquid separation unit).....	131

TABLES

Table 1.1	Market size and Average Annual Growth Rate (AAGR) of the different syngas applications.....	3
Table 2.1	Composition of Cu/ZnO/Al ₂ O ₃ catalysts from different manufacturers	14
Table 2.2	Improvements in feed and fuel consumption in methanol synthesis plants.....	19
Table 2.3	Key information on older technologies for thermochemical HAS synthesis	21
Table 2.4	Top 10 promoted and supported modified MS catalysts.....	26
Table 3.1	Acetic acid capacity in USA (ICIS, 2017)	49
Table 3.2	Commercialized liquid-phase process for acetic acid synthesis	59
Table 3.3	Relative activity of various metals in hydroformylation.....	88
Table 4.1	Catalytic performance of different iron catalysts in FTO reaction	120
Table 4.2	Catalytic performance of non Fe-based catalysts in FTO reaction	121
Table 4.3	Catalytic performance of CoMn catalysts at different H ₂ /CO ratios and reaction pressure for the FTO reaction.....	122

SCHEMES

Scheme 3.1	Catalytic Cycle of methanol carbonylation using Rh catalyst (Makaryan et al., 2015).....	52
Scheme 3.2	Catalytic Cycle of methanol carbonylation using Ir catalyst (Jones, 2000)	53
Scheme 3.3	Cobalt catalyzed carbonylation reaction cycle (Budiman, 2016).....	54
Scheme 3.4	Nickel catalyzed carbonylation reaction cycle (Peng et al., 2018)	55

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Scheme 3.5	Reaction pathways for MMA formation using palladium catalyst (Makaryan et al., 2015)	71
Scheme 3.6	The principle of oxidative carbonylation. M (X) = reducible metal catalyst; [SH ₂] = organic substrate; [OX] = oxidant; [S(CO)] = carbonylated product; [OXH ₂] = reduced oxidant. Adapted from Gabriele et al. (2012)	76
Scheme 3.7	Catalytic cycle of alkenes hydroformylation using Rh-based catalysts	88