

ADVANCES IN CATALYST SUPPORTS II

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
June 2019



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 THE ROLE OF THE SUPPORT IN CATALYST DESIGN.....	2
1.2 SUPPORT MATERIAL PREPARATION	5
1.2.1 General Preparation Methods	5
1.2.2 Solid Phase Techniques.....	6
1.2.3 Solution Phase	6
1.2.4 Chemical Vapor Deposition	11
1.2.5 3D Printing	11
1.3 CATALYST DEPOSITION AND ANCHORING TECHNIQUES.....	11
1.4 MECHANICAL RESISTANCE AND EASE TO SHAPE AND SIZE	15
1.5 LIMITATIONS OF TRADITIONAL AND THE POTENTIAL OF NEW SUPPORTS....	17
1.6 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS	18
1.7 REFERENCES	19
2. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN PREPARATION, CHARACTERIZATION, AND APPLICATION OF OXIDE SUPPORTS	27
2.1 NONREDUCIBLE OXIDE SUPPORTS.....	27
2.1.1 Al ₂ O ₃	27
2.1.2 SiO ₂	35
2.1.3 MgO.....	40
2.2 REDUCIBLE OXIDES SUPPORTS	43
2.2.1 TiO ₂	43
2.2.2 ZrO ₂	47
2.2.3 CeO ₂	50
2.2.4 ZnO.....	56
2.2.5 Iron Oxide.....	59
2.3 MIXED OXIDES, ZEOLITES, AND MONOLITHIC SUPPORTS.....	61
2.3.1 Mixed Oxides	61
2.3.2 Perovskite	63
2.3.3 Double Layered Hydroxides	67

2.3.4	Zeolites.....	69
2.3.5	Monolithic Supports.....	73
2.4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	77
2.5	REFERENCES	79
3.	RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN PREPARATION, CHARACTERIZATION, AND APPLICATION OF NON-OXIDE SUPPORTS	93
3.1	CARBON SUPPORTS	93
3.1.1	Graphite.....	96
3.1.2	Nanotubes	98
3.1.3	Graphene	101
3.1.4	Mesoporous Carbon.....	106
3.1.5	Starbons.....	109
3.1.6	Carbon Aerogels	112
3.2	NON-OXIDE COMPOUNDS SUPPORTS	113
3.2.1	Carbides	113
3.2.2	Nitrides.....	120
3.2.3	Fluorides	127
3.2.4	Chlorides.....	130
3.2.5	Borides	130
3.2.6	Silicides.....	131
3.3	MISCELLANEOUS OTHER SUPPORTS	132
3.3.1	Polymeric Supports.....	132
3.3.2	Metallic Supports.....	143
3.3.3	Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs).....	149
3.3.4	Supported Ionic Liquids (SILs)	159
3.4	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	164
3.5	REFERENCES	167
4.	INDEX.....	201

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Number of Publications (e.g. Journal Articles, Patents) In Five Year Periods Starting in 1920. Before 1920, There Was Only One Publication. The Search Was Conducted Using Cas Scifinder On May 2, 2019 Using The Search Term “Catalyst Support Preparation.” Other Search Engines And Similar Terms Give Comparable Results.	2
Figure 1.2	Scheme Depicting Potential Sintering Mechanisms Of Metal (Nano)Particles On Support Surfaces: A) Particle Migration And Coalescence (Pmc); B) Vapor- Or Electrolyte-Facilitated Atomic Ripening (Ar) Via Detachment And Redeposition; C) Surface-Mediated Atomic Ripening (Ar); D) Final Sintered State Showing A Larger, Aggregated Particle (Goodman et al., 2017)	4
Figure 1.3	Scheme Depicting The Classical Sol-Gel Method For The Preparation Of Porous Mixed Oxides: A) The Starting Solution, Containing Molecular Precursors (E. G. Alkoxides, Chlorides, Carboxylates); B) Hydrolysis (Or Organolysis) Activates The Precursors For Inorganic Polycondensation; C) Peptidization And Reticulation Lead To The Formation Of A Wet Gel Swollen By The Solvent; D) The Gel Is Aged And Then Dried; E) The Dry Gel Is Calcined To Strengthen The Inorganic Network And Remove Residual Compounds. Templating Agents Can Also Be Added (Debecker, 2018).....	7
Figure 1.4	Schematic Representation Of The Endotemplated Preparation Of Ordered Mesoporous Oxides	8
Figure 1.5	a) Structure of a triblock copolymer of the Pluronic® type, typically used as a surfactant for the endotemplated preparation of ordered mesoporous materials; b) Schematic representation of the pore structures of different ordered mesoporous silicas	9
Figure 1.6	Schematic Representation Of Endotemplating / Nanocasting	9
Figure 1.7	Scheme Showing Cu-Adsorbate Diffusion On: A) Silica And B) Amine-Functionalized Silica. The Effective Distance Between Aminopropyl Groups And Neighboring Groups Is Larger Than Between Methoxy And/Or Hydroxyl Groups. The Increased Distance, Together With A Stronger Interaction Between Copper Adsorbate Species And Aminopropyl Groups, Is Expected To Lead To Slower Diffusion Of Cu-Adsorbate Species (Van Den Berg et al., 2015).	14
Figure 2.1	Crystal Phases Of Alumina Starting From Two Different Hydrates (Rytter et al., 2016).....	28
Figure 2.2	SEM images of γ -alumina particles prepared by spray pyrolysis after pretreatment (a, b) without acid and with HNO ₃ /AlOOH molar ratios of (c, d) 0.06, (e, f) 0.12, and (g, h) 0.24 (Choi et al., 2017).	31
Figure 2.3	TEM images of the thin samples prepared by using ultramicrotomy: a, b) Al powders; c, d) Al-0.01M NaOH-20 h; e, f) Al-0.03 M NaOH-20 h; g, h) Al-0.01M NaOH-40 h (Wang et al., 2016a)..	32
Figure 2.4	Synthesis of Co/Al ₂ O ₃ (Si) catalyst with isolated silica sites on the support (Rai et al., 2017).....	33

Figure 2.5	Schematics showing the microstructural features of CZ600, CZA600, CZA900, and CZA1000 (CZA = CeO ₂ ZrO ₂ Al ₂ O ₃) (Lan et al., 2015a).....	34
Figure 2.6	Schematic representation of channel structure in calcined SBA 15 powder (surface area of 3274 m ² g ⁻¹) (Bera et al., 2019).....	37
Figure 2.7	TEM images of PSNF (a, b) and Fe ₃ O ₄ /PSNF (c, d); HRTEM images of the Fe ₃ O ₄ nanoparticles on the surface of PSNF (e, f) (Tang et al., 2018).....	39
Figure 2.8	Catalytic performance of various supported gold catalysts in the oxidative gas phase dehydrogenation of cyclohexanol at 260 °C. Cyclohexanol conversion (black bar) and selectivity to cyclohexanone (red bar) (Gao et al., 2018)	41
Figure 2.9	(a, b) SEM and (c, d) TEM images of hierarchical flower-like magnesium glycolate hollow spheres obtained by solvothermal synthesis (Yang et al., 2016c)	42
Figure 2.10	Schematic illustration of the formation process of hierarchical flower-like magnesium glycol hollow spheres (Yang et al., 2016c)	43
Figure 2.11	Possible catalytic cycle for CO oxidation on Au ₁₀ /TiO ₂ involving the formation of a single oxygen vacancy and one oxygen adatom. In phase A, a titania lattice oxygen is abstracted by CO, forming CO ₂ and an oxygen vacancy. In phase B, the oxygen vacancy is reoxidized by O ₂ , leaving an oxygen adatom O _{ad} at the Au/TiO ₂ interface. In phase C, the oxygen adatom O _{ad} is abstracted by CO, under formation of the second CO ₂ molecule. (Schlexer et al., 2018)	45
Figure 2.12	TEM images of TiO ₂ nanomaterials synthesized by a sol-gel method (a) calcination at 250 °C, (b) calcination at 400 °C, and (c) calcination at 600 °C (Hayle et al., 2014)..	46
Figure 2.13	SEM micrographs of TiO ₂ samples prepared from precursor solutions with pH values of (a) 2.24, (b, d) 8.93, and (c, e) 11.03 (Su et al., 2016a)	47
Figure 2.14	High angle annular dark field STEM images of Ce _{0.5} Zr _{0.5} O ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ samples with 50 ALD cycles after calcination at (a) 773 K and (b) 127 K. The color images show EDS mapping of Al, Ce, and Zr (Onn et al., 2017).	49
Figure 2.15	Scheme depicting the surface-casting process for zirconia materials (Gu et al., 2017)	50
Figure 2.16	Illustration of Pt nanoparticle sintering, showing how ceria can trap the mobile Pt to suppress sintering. Cubes appear to be less effective than rods or polyhedral ceria (Jones et al., 2016)..	51
Figure 2.17	Simplified CO oxidation mechanism in a model Au/CeO ₂ system. Oxygen vacancies in ceria on the Au/CeO ₂ contact perimeter can chemisorb gaseous oxygen (O=O → Vo), producing activated oxygen atoms capable of reacting with CO molecules adsorbed on Au particles (C=O → Au) to generate gaseous CO ₂ and new oxygen vacancies (Centeno et al., 2016).....	52
Figure 2.18	TEM and HRTEM images of different CeO ₂ supports: CeO ₂ nanorods (A and B), CeO ₂ nanoparticles (C and D), and CeO ₂ nanocubes (E and F). (Cui et al., 2016)..	53
Figure 2.19	Properties and REM images of mesoporous CeO ₂ nanoparticles: (a, b) carbon nanosheets (CNSs) and (c, d) carbon nanofibers (CNFs) (Zhang et al., 2015a).....	55

Figure 2.20	Scheme illustrating the synthesis of mesoporous metal oxide particles via the protective etching process (Yang et al., 2015b).	56
Figure 2.21	Experimental methanol (MeOH) rate as a function of experimental Zn migrated for three different designs of Cu-ZnO based catalysts: mechanical mixtures (gray squares), coprecipitates (red circles), and core-shell (blue triangles). Corresponding morphologies of the different catalysts are also represented where light gray is ZnO, dark gray is $Cu_xZn_{(1-x)}O_y$, yellow is a CuZn alloy, and orange is metallic Cu (Tisseraud et al., 2016).	58
Figure 2.22	FESEM images of ZnO synthesized using different methods: A) hydrothermal method; B, C, D) thermal decomposition (Muruganandham et al., 2015).....	59
Figure 2.23	Separation of a catalyst supported on iron oxide by means of an external magnet (Ronchin et al., 2018).....	60
Figure 2.24	Iron-based catalysts: a) magnetite, b) coated magnetite, c) metal-grafted magnetite, d) metal-grafted coated magnetite, e) coprecipitated metal magnetite, f) dumbbell-like metal magnetite, and g) metal-impregnated magnetite (Baeza et al., 2016).....	60
Figure 2.25	Perovskite-type oxides as catalyst precursors (Yang et al., 2018).....	63
Figure 2.26	Schematics depicting perovskite-based oxygen storage materials (OSMs) for (a) chemical looping and (b) three-way catalysis (TWC). MeO_x and MeO_{x-y} represent the oxidized and reduced states of perovskites, respectively. Legend: CLAS: chemical looping air separation; CLOU: chemical looping with oxygen uncoupling; CLC: chemical looping combustion; and CLPOx: chemical looping partial oxidation (Zhu et al., 2018c)	66
Figure 2.27	Possible applications of layered double hydroxides (LDHs) in the field of heterogeneous catalysis (Xu et al., 2018).....	68
Figure 2.28	Application of hierarchical zeolites in catalytic reactions (Feliczak-Guzik, 2018) .	71
Figure 2.29	Possible zeolite metal composite configurations (Kosinov et al., 2018).....	72
Figure 2.30	Schematic illustration of a Co/zeolite nanosponge and the diffusion pathways for reactants and products (Han et al., 2018).....	73
Figure 2.31	Scheme depicting the growth of nanoarrays on a monolithic cordierite substrate (Weng et al., 2017).....	75
Figure 2.32	Different nanoarrays depending on the precursor used.....	76
Figure 3.1	The classification of carbon-based materials based on their dimensionally (Georgakilas et al., 2015)	94
Figure 3.2	Examples of some organic functional groups common in carbon materials (Perez-Mayoral et al., 2016)	95
Figure 3.3	Schematic representation of (a) SWNT, (b) DWCNT, and (c) MWCNT (Labulo et al., 2017).....	98
Figure 3.4	Examples of three generations of nanocarbon materials relevant for catalytic applications (Centi et al., 2014).....	101
Figure 3.5	The preparation of graphite oxide to graphene nanosheets (GNS) by using oxalic acid (Kong et al., 2018).....	103

Figure 3.6	Illustration of the different roles of graphene as a support in heterogeneous catalysis. Properties can arise from defects and heteroatom-containing functionalities (Fan et al., 2015)..	104
Figure 3.7	The position of PtFe catalyst on graphene support (Yang et al., 2016b).....	105
Figure 3.8	Synthesis of the Fe ₃ O ₄ @GO nanocatalyst and the catalytic alkene hydrogenation reaction with this nanocatalyst using N ₂ H ₄ ·H ₂ O as the hydrogen source (Mondal et al., 2014).	105
Figure 3.9	Mechanochemical synthesis of Co@OMC catalysts from tannin (Song et al., 2018b)	108
Figure 3.10	Production of starbon by controllable pyrolysis of expanded starch. Magnified images of starch in flour and mesoporous starch obtained from SEM and TEM for starbon (Budarin et al., 2006)..	109
Figure 3.11	Images of carbon aerogels (a) macroscopic pieces of 7.5 mg mL ⁻¹ CNT aerogels. Pristine CNT aerogel (left) appears black, whereas the aerogel reinforced in a 1 wt% PVA bath (right) is slightly gray. (b) Three PVA-reinforced aerogel pillars (total mass = 13.0 mg) supporting 100 g or about 8000 times their weight. (c) SEM image of a critical-point-dried aerogel reinforced in a 0.5 wt% PVA solution (CNT content = 10 mg mL ⁻¹) with an open, porous structure. (d) TEM image of an unreinforced aerogel with small-diameter CNTs arranged in a classic filamentous network (Chandrasekaran et al., 2017)..	112
Figure 3.12	Reaction scheme for the reduction of a nitro-arene to an amine..	122
Figure 3.13	Different precursors for the synthesis of C ₃ N ₄	123
Figure 3.14	Structures of hexagonal (left) and cubic (right) boron nitride	123
Figure 3.15	Scheme representing the synthesis procedure of macro- and nanoporous TiN on carbon paper (Chi et al., 2019).....	126
Figure 3.16	Scheme representing the fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis starting from metal alkoxides. These react with anhydrous HF in a suitable organic solvent (Kemnitz, 2015).....	129
Figure 3.17	Examples of polymeric supports commonly used for immobilization of chiral catalysts (Altava et al., 2018).....	133
Figure 3.18	Covalent immobilization of a chiral-at-metal Lewis acid biscyclometalated iridium(III) catalyst on polystyrene, Λ and Δ enantiomers are shown (Larionov et al., 2017).	135
Figure 3.19	General representation for dendritic catalysts (Altava et al., 2018).	136
Figure 3.20	General strategies for constructing chiral porous materials: a) postmodification of achiral porous supports with chiral moieties, and b) direct construction from chiral building blocks. The latter strategy intrinsically guarantees the homogeneous dispersion of chiral functionalities with the highest content (Xu et al., 2016a)..	137
Figure 3.21	The topologies of COFs are determined by the symmetries of the monomers. A) A 2D square lattice derived from square planar and linear co monomers. The insets show a prospective view (top) and side view (bottom) that depict van der Waals stacking between layers. B) A 3D diamondoid net derived from tetrahedral and	

	linear co monomers. The inset shows the interpenetration of congruent networks (Bisbey et al., 2017)..	138
Figure 3.22	Synthesis of chiral covalent organic frameworks by a solvothermal reaction, M = e.g. V, Co, Mn, Fe, Cr (Han et al., 2017b)	139
Figure 3.23	Typical structures of COFs a) 2D (Cote et al., 2005) b) 3D (El-Kaderi et al., 2007). The atoms are: C: gray, B: orange, and O: red. Polyhedron and triangular connection units are in orange and blue, respectively (b). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity (Ma et al., 2018)	139
Figure 3.24	A CFT supported Pd catalyst for the one-pot silicon-based cross-coupling of silanes and aryl iodides. The atoms are: C: blue, N: orange, O: purple; H atoms are omitted for clarity. Red spheres represent the incorporated Pd(II) (Lin et al., 2015c, Ma et al., 2018)	143
Figure 3.25	Schematic drawing of a honeycomb catalyst (Chang, 2017). In the depicted case, 20 marks the honeycomb support as a whole; 21 the hollow cylinder, where metal sheets (22) are embedded; the metal sheets form the channels (23); the active component layer is supported on sheets (22)	146
Figure 3.26	Schematic presentation of the formation of a honeycomb structure by rolling layers of flat and corrugated metal foils (Fournier, 2014)	149
Figure 3.27	Schematic representation of a structural subunit of a MOF forming a pore with an encapsulated particle in that pore. In blue, the possibilities to introduce catalytic active sites into the MOF are depicted. The scheme is adapted from reference (Gascon et al., 2014)	150
Figure 3.28	Schematic representation of two ways to form a covalently bonded SIL. Left: In the first step, N-methylimidazole reacts with 3 chloropropyltriethoxsilane (CPTES). In the second step, the product of the first step reacts with the surface hydroxyl groups of a support material (here, silica). Right: The linker molecule CPTES reacts with the surface first, and in the second step the SIL is formed by the reaction with MIM (Romanovsky et al., 2017)	161
Figure 3.29	Schematic representation of the structure of a SIL supported on a magnetic iron oxide nanoparticle coated with a silica layer	163

TABLES

Table 1.1	Overview of the Types, Functions, and Materials Used as Additives in Technical Catalyst Production	16
Table 2.1	Synthetic Methods of Crystalline Mesoporous Materials and Their Advantages and Disadvantages	29
Table 2.2	Overview of Properties of and Synthesis Methods for Different Mesoporous Materials	36
Table 2.3	Comparison of Specific Surface Areas of Various MgO Samples	42
Table 2.4	Synthesis Methods for CeO ₂ Nanomaterials	54

Table 2.5	Characteristics of CeO ₂ Samples	56
Table 2.6	Comparison of Different Strategies for the Synthesis of Magnetite Nanoparticles	61
Table 2.7	Structure of Unsubstituted Perovskites ABO ₃ and Substituted Perovskites Before and After Red	64
Table 2.8	The Structure and the Most Important Properties of Layered Double Hydroxides	67
Table 2.9	Comparison Between Different Synthesis Routes of Hierarchical Zeolites	70
Table 2.10	Comparison of Washcoated Monolithic Catalysts and Nanoarray-Based Monolithic Catalysts	75
Table 3.1	Comparison of Carbon Materials for Catalyst Supports	95
Table 3.2	Physical properties of starch and starch-derived carbon materials carbonized at different temperatures	110
Table 3.3	Summary of the applications of C ₃ N ₄ as a catalyst support in photocatalytic reactions.	120
Table 3.4	Summary of the Applications of Mixed Nitrides as Support Materials in Electrocatalysis	127
Table 3.5	Summary of the Uses of Boride Materials in the Hydrogen Storage with References	131
Table 3.6	Summary of Catalytic Porous Polymers (See References for Structures), Catalytic Sites, and Reactions	140
Table 3.7	Overview of Publications on Electrocatalytic Reactions Conducted by Electrocatalysts with Metallic Supports	144
Table 3.8	Overview of Some Reviews Published Between 2014 and 2019 on the Applications of MOFs in Photocatalysis	152
Table 3.9	Overview of Recent (2014-2019) Review Articles on MOFs in Electrocatalytic Applications	155
Table 3.10	Overview of Recent Publications on the Applications of SILs in the Oxidative Desulfurization of Fuels	162