ADVANCES IN DIRECT AIR CAPTURE OF CO_2

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO_2CC) Program

Client Private
September 2019

TCGR THE CATALYST GROUP RESOURCES™

Gwynedd Office Park ● P.O. Box 680 ● Spring House, PA 19477 ● Phone: 215-628-4447 ● Fax: 215-628-2267
E-mail: tcgr@catalystgrp.com ● Web Site: www.catalystgrp.com

The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO_2CC) Program

The **CO_2CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the "state-of-the-art" in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO_2 capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO_2 life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO_2CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO_2CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO_2CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO_2CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).

P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
www.catalystgrp.com

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ..... **xxix**

1. INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE OF REPORT 1

1.2 IMPORTANCE OF CARBON NEGATIVE TECHNOLOGIES 2

1.3 COMPARISON BETWEEN POINT-SOURCE CARBON CAPTURE AND DIRECT AIR CAPTURE 8

1.4 REPORT CONTRIBUTORS 13

1.5 REFERENCES 14

2. OVERVIEW OF DIRECT AIR CAPTURE **17**

2.1 HISTORICAL PROCESS DEVELOPMENT 17

2.2 IMPORTANCE OF ESTABLISHING BOUNDARY CONDITIONS 18

2.2.1 Cost of Net Removed CO_2 19

2.3 TYPES OF DIRECT AIR CAPTURE SYSTEMS 20

2.3.1 Solvent-Based Approaches 20

2.3.2 Solid Sorbent-Based Approaches 22

2.3.3 Adsorption Cycles 27

2.3.4 Mineralization Approaches 30

2.3.5 Other Innovations 39

2.4 REFERENCES 42

3. COMMERCIAL-SCALE EFFORTS PURSUING DAC **51**

3.1 CLIMEWORKS 51

3.1.1 Process Description 51

3.1.2 Future Plans 52

3.2 GLOBAL THERMOSTAT 52

3.2.1 Process Description 53

3.2.2 Future Plans 56

3.3 CARBON ENGINEERING 57

3.3.1 Process Description 57

3.3.2 Future Plans 64

3.4 SILICON KINGDOM HOLDINGS 64

3.4.1 Process Description 65

3.4.2 Future Plans 65

3.5 ANTECY 66

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

3.5.1 Process Description 66
3.5.2 Future Plans 67
3.6 HYDROCELL 67
3.6.1 Process Description 68
3.6.2 Future Plans 68
3.7 SUMMARY OF EXISTING DAC 69
3.8 REFERENCES 71
4. LANDSCAPE AND OUTLOOK 75
4.1 APPLICATIONS OF DAC 75
4.1.1 Purity Considerations 79
4.1.2 Utilization Options 79
4.2 TECHNOLOGY DIFFERENTIATORS 86
4.3 ROAD TO DEPLOYMENT 87
4.3.1 Enabling Factors 90
4.3.2 Challenges that May Need to be Overcome 90
4.4 POTENTIAL IMPACT TOWARD MEETING CLIMATE GOALS 91
4.5 SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK 92
4.6 CONCLUDING REMARKS 93
4.7 REFERENCES 95
5. INDEX 101

FIGURES

Figure ES-1 Breakdown of costs separated by operating and capital xxviii
Figure 1.2.1 Breakdown of global energy consumption 3
Figure 1.2.2 Emissions plot of a business-as-usual trajectory comparison to a pathway that leads to warming below 2C, requiring NETs 4
Figure 1.2.3 Options for negative emissions technologies 7
Figure 1.3.1 Schematic of a generic carbon capture process to describe the minimum work calculation 9
Figure 1.3.2 Minimum work as a function of initial CO_2 concentration, percent capture, and final purity with various CO_2 capture applications noted. 9
Figure 1.3.3 Plot demonstrating the relationship between the 2nd-law efficiency and starting purity of a given gas mixture with the component to be separated noted 11

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 1.3.4 The top axis represents the range concentrations for use in each application 12
Figure 2.1.1 Simple depiction of the process of CO_2 capture using moisture swing 18
Figure 2.3.1.1 Schematic outlining the components that comprise the cost of the CO_2 separation process. 21
Figure 2.3.1.2 Mass transfer steps from CO_2 in the gas phase to the solution containing the binding material 21
Figure 2.3.2.2.1 (a) Construction of the MOF-5 framework. Left, the $Zn_4(O)O_{12}C_6$ cluster with the ZnO_4 tetrahedral indicated in cyan (O, red; C, grey); Middle, organic linker (benzenedicarboxylic acid); Right, one of the cavities in the $Zn_4(O)(BDC)_3$, MOF-5, framework. Eight clusters constitute a unit cell and enclose a large cavity, indicated by a purple sphere of diameter 18.5 Å. (b) $[Cu_3(TMA)_2(H_2O)_3]n$ (O, red; C, grey, Cu, brown); (c) Structural features of NU-100 MOFs (O, red; C, grey, Cu, brown). 24
Figure 2.3.2.4.1.1 Two basic modes of operation for an adsorption process; (a) cyclic batch system and (b) continuous countercurrent system with sorbent recirculation, with concentration profiles indicated throughout the bed and A being the strongly adsorbing species 26
Figure 2.3.3.1.1 Temperature-swing cycle 29
Figure 2.3.3.3.1 Pressure-swing cycle 30
Figure 2.3.4.1 Schematic of Combined CO_2 Capture and Storage Potential Process 31
Figure 2.3.4.5.1 Summary of costs of CO_2 stored versus storage potential of CO_2/yr. 38
Figure 2.3.4.5.2 Map of CO_2 sequestration facilities, pilot projects, and long-term storage potential in geologic formations. 39
Figure 2.3.5.1.1 Visual for the moisture swing adsorption process proposed by Klaus Lackner. 40
Figure 2.3.5.2.1 Example electrochemical DAC system 41
Figure 2.3.5.2.2 Example complexing agent reactions 42
Figure 3.1.1.1 Climeworks process design for a fixed bed adsorbent system 52
Figure 3.2.1.1 Simplified process flow diagram of generic solid sorbent-based DAC system 53
Figure 3.3.1.1 Simplified process flow diagram of generic liquid solvent-based DAC system 57
Figure 3.3.1.1.1 Concept drawing of contactor for liquid solvent-based approach to DAC 58
Figure 3.5.1.1 Outline of Antecy's emission-to-liquid technology. This image additionally outlines market uses for the produces fuel 67
Figure 3.6.1.1 Energy breakdown for the DAC technology produced by Hydrocell 68
Figure 3.6.2.1 Hydrocell and VTT fuels production process overview 69

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Figure 4.1.1 A generic cycle to produce synthetic fuels from CO_2 and H_2 75
Figure 4.1.2 Synthetic fuel production process by using CO_2 from DAC and H_2 from electrolysis powered by renewable source..... 76
Figure 4.1.3 Mass Flows within the chemical industry in a scenario for CCU implementation 77
Figure 4.1.4 Global Market use and price of CO_2..... 78
Figure 4.1.2.1 The minimum thermodynamic work of separating CO_2 depending on percent capture and end purity..... 82
Figure 4.1.2.2 Evolution of the extent of CO_2 trapping mechanisms with time. The extent of each trapping mechanism is highly site specific: carbonatitic and siliciclastic rocks (left panel), or mafic and ultramafic rocks that have the ability to react much faster with CO_2 to form carbonates (right panel) 86
Figure 4.3.1 Experience curves for cumulative installed capacity (MW) of gas turbine (GT), PV, and wind technologies as a function of their installed cost (2018 USD/kW) on bottom and left axes, respectively and projected cumulative DAC removal (kt CO_2) and costs (2018 USD/t CO_2) based upon their similar experience curves. 89
Figure 4.6.1 Breakdown of costs separated by operating and capital 94

TABLES

Table 1.2.1 Global CO_2 Sequestration Projects for Climate Change Mitigation 5
Table 1.2.2 Estimated Ability of Various CDR Methods to Remove CO_2. Units in Gt CO_2/year..... 7
Table 2.3.2.1.1 Properties for Traditional Commercial Sorbents 23
Table 2.3.4.5.1 Details of Industrial and Municipal Wastes Regarding the Quantities Produced per year, the Potential CO_2 Uptake per year, and the Cost of the Method. 38
Table 3.2.1.2.1 Model Parameters Affecting Performance and Cost for Solid Sorbent DAC Process. Inputs Vary Between Physically Realistic Range as According to Literature and Outputs are Result of Calculations Performed by Model. 54
Table 3.2.1.2.2 Estimates for Unit Operations of Solid Sorbent DAC Systems..... 55
Table 3.2.1.3.1 Ranges Used for Parameters in Solving for Cost Estimates for Solid Sorbent DAC System and Chosen Outputs. 55
Table 3.2.1.3.2 Annual Capital (CAPEX) and Operating (OPEX) Estimates for a Generic Solid Sorbent DAC with 1 Million tons/y CO_2 Removal Capabilities..... 56
Table 3.3.1.2.1 Solvent unit Operation Energy Requirements and Related CO_2 Generation..... 61

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!
This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Table 3.3.1.3.1 Estimated Capital and Operating Costs for Generic Liquid Solvent DAC System with a Capacity Of 1 Million tons/yr CO_2 Removal 62

Table 3.3.1.3.2 Summary of Carbon Capture Costs for Liquid Solvent DAC System Powered by Natural Gas Or Coal 64

Table 3.7.1 Companies Working to Commercialize DAC Systems 70

Table 4.1.2.1 Volume and Source of CO_2 Injected for EOR Projects in the U.S. 84