NOVEL POTENTIAL CATALYSTS FOR OIL TO CHEMICALS CONVERSION

A technical investigation commissioned by the members of the Catalytic Advances Program

> Client Private September 2020





The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at <u>John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com</u> or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680 Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A ph: +1.215.628.4447 fax: +1.215.628.2267

website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

\mathbf{E}	XECUTI	VE SUMMARY	xiii
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1 CF	UDE-OIL-TO-CHEMICALS PHENOMENON	1
	1.2 PC	SSIBLE REFINERY COMPLEX SCHEMES FOR CRUDE TO CHEMICALS.	3
	1.3 CH	IALLENGES AND HURDLES	12
	1.4 AU	JTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS	12
	1.5 RF	FERENCES	14
2.	CATA	ALYTIC PRETREATMENT	17
	2.1 HY	DROTREATING	17
	2.1.1	Introduction	17
	2.1.2	Standard Hydrotreating Catalysts: Supported Metal Sulfides	18
	2.1.3	Metal Carbides	22
	2.1.4	Metal Phosphides for HDS, HDN, HDO	24
	2.1.5	Demetallization	25
	2.1.6	Aromatics Management: Hydrogenation, Ring Opening	26
	2.2 CA	TALYTIC VISBREAKING/HYDROVISBREAKING	29
		EAVY TO LIGHT WITH LOW ACTIVITY CATALYSTS	
	2.4 AC	QUATHERMOLYSIS	37
	2.4.1	Introduction	37
	2.4.2	Reaction Mechanism	38
	2.4.3	Catalysts	39
	2.4.4	Hydrogen Donors	45
	2.5 TE	CHNICAL HURDLES	45
		ONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	
	2.7 RF	FERENCES	46
3.	CATA	ALYSTS FOR LIGHT OIL/NAPHTHA CRACKING TO CHEMICALS	57
	3.1 AC	CTUAL INDUSTRIAL TENDENCIES	57
	3.1.1	Hengli Petrochemical Refinery Complex	57
	3.1.2	SABIC/Saudi Aramco Thermal Crude to Chemicals	59
		IE NEED FOR ENHANCED CATALYTIC PROCESSES FOR LIGHT L/NAPHTHA CRACKING TO CHEMICALS	60
	3.3 CA	ATALYTIC PYROLYSIS AND FCC RELATED PROCESSES	62
	3.3.1	Catalytic Oxidative Cracking	64
	3.3.2	Catalytic Pyrolysis Using Metal Oxides and Basic Catalytic Systems	68

		3.3.3	Catalytic Pyrolysis Using Acidic Catalysts in FCC Related Processes	68
	3.4	CA	TALYTIC STEAM CRACKING	83
	3.5	CA	TALYTIC CONVERSION OF CRUDE OIL OR FCC-ADAPTED PROCESSES	.86
	3.6	CO	NCLUSIONS, TECHNICAL HURDLES AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	90
	3.7	RE	FERENCES	91
4.	C	ATA	LYSTS FOR GAS OIL/RESID TO CHEMICALS	105
	4.1	CA	TALYTIC HYDROCRACKING/SLURRY PHASE	105
		4.1.1	Introduction	105
		4.1.2	Heterogeneous Catalysts	107
		4.1.3	"Homogeneous" Catalysts	115
	4.2	CA	TALYTIC FLUID COKING	129
	4.3	FC	Z	130
		4.3.1	Increased Olefins	131
		4.3.2	Increased Aromatics	140
		4.3.3	High Severity FCC	141
		4.3.4	Catalytic Pyrolysis	142
	4.4	CA	TALYTIC STEAM CRACKING	145
	4.5		TALYSTS FOR CONTROLLED VAPORIZATION BEFORE STEAM ACKING	146
	4.6	TEO	CHNICAL HURDLES	147
	4.7	CO	NCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES	147
	4.8	RE	FERENCES	148
5.	II	NDEX	X	161
			FIGURES	
Fig	gure	1.1	Source of crude oil demand growth	1
Fig	gure	1.2	Historical and forecasted data of production of key thermoplastics, 1980-2050	2
Fiş	gure	1.3	Multistep vaporization with heat exchange from convection to heat the crude. Feed 22 is vaporized in tanks 27 and 56 with steam 32 injected from lines 72 and 74. Light vaporized fraction from tank 27 (stream A) is steam cracked in a different tube than heavy fraction from tank 56 (line 64)	
Fig	gure	1.4	Vaporization with cracking of the heavy part of the crude oil	5
Fig	gure	1.5	Steam cracker integrated with hydroprocessing unit	5
Fiş	gure	1.6	Steam cracker supported by catalytic cracking with hydroprocessing/solvent deasphalting prior to the feed splitting	<i>6</i>

Figure 1.7	Steam cracker supported by catalytic cracking with hydroprocessing after feed splitting	6
Figure 1.8	Full refining scheme for crude to chemicals	7
Figure 1.9	Examples of conversion units arrangements: hydrocracking followed by fluid catalytic cracking	8
Figure 1.10	Examples of conversion units arrangements: FCCU and hydrocracking in parallel, supported by coking for the residual fraction	9
Figure 1.11	FlexiCoking® vs. resid hydroprocessing + delayed coking for the conversion of atmospheric resid	9
Figure 1.12	Aromatics-oriented complex example: Hengli Petrochemical complex	. 10
Figure 1.13a	Summary of conversion schemes - chemicals-oriented refinery	. 11
Figure 1.13b	Summary of conversion schemes – simpler crude to chemicals processing scheme	. 11
Figure 1.13c	Summary of conversion schemes – one pot crude to chemicals scheme	. 12
Figure 2.1	Upgrading processes classified by the conversion to lighter products achieved during the operation	. 17
Figure 2.2	Rim Edge model	. 18
Figure 2.3	Graphene and graphene-derived solids	. 20
Figure 2.4	Reaction network for dimethyldibenzothiophene conversion	. 21
Figure 2.5	Reaction network for carbazole	. 22
Figure 2.6	Crystallographic structure of Mo ₂ C, Mo ₂ N and MoS ₂	. 23
Figure 2.7	Effect of heat of formation on activity for HDN of quinoline for various metal carbides and nitrides	. 23
Figure 2.8	Hydrodeoxygenation of lignin to aromatics	. 24
Figure 2.9	Naphthalene conversion as a model reaction for ring opening reaction	. 26
Figure 2.10	Reaction scheme for obtaining BTXss, LPG, and naphtha from naphthalene as model LCO compound	. 27
Figure 2.11	UOP LCO-X process scheme	. 28
Figure 2.12	Naphthalene and derivates hydroconversion to BTXss on several catalysts	. 29
Figure 2.13	Catalytic visbreaker configuration	. 30
Figure 2.15	API degree as a function of total yield of liquids for thermal cracking and in the presence of kaolin	. 36
Figure 2.16	Different process options for obtaining a stable cracked product with minimum content in vacuum resid (Teb > 537 °C)	. 37
Figure 2.17	Reaction mechanism of catalytic aquathermolysis proposed by Wang et al. a) cracking; b) depolymerization; c) isomerization; d) hydrogenation e) oxygenation, alcoholization, esterification; f) reconstruction; g) ring opening	. 39

Figure 2.18	Proposed catalytic mechanism for heavy oil cracking on hematite nanoparticles	44
Figure 3.1	Examples of simplified layout of current refinery complex concepts having for purpose to optimize the production of chemicals from crude oil; (A) the Hengli Petrochemical refinery complex and (B) the Thermal Crude to Chemicals (TC2C) concept proposed by SABIC/Aramco	58
Figure 3.2	Typical steam cracker yields of hydrocarbon products by feedstock	61
Figure 3.3	Typical effect of reaction temperature on the conversion and olefin yield for the oxidative and thermal cracking of paraffinic naphthas. Dots: conversion, triangles: olefin yield	64
Figure 3.4	Simplified schematic of the ROC process	66
Figure 3.5	Reaction network in zeolite-assisted cracking of hydrocarbon molecules. Reaction 1: proton transfer from zeolite Brönsted site to alkane to form carbonium ion. Reaction 2: proton transfer from zeolite to alkene to form carbenium ion. Reaction 3: hydride transfer from alkane to zeolite to form carbenium ion. Reaction 4: Beta scission of a carbenium ion to form a new carbenium ion and an alkene	71
Figure 3.6	(A) Butene conversion and product distributions on ZSM-5 zeolites with different Si/Al ratios and (B) Stability of ZSM-5 zeolites with different Si/Al ratios	75
Figure 3.7	Main reactions network for 1-pentene cracking over ZSM-5	75
Figure 3.8	(a) NH ₃ -TPD profiles of the isomorphous MFI zeolites and (b) light alkenes selectivity of n-dodecane cracking at 550°C	76
Figure 3.9	Synthesis scheme of hierarchical ZSM-5 using hydrophilic carbon as hard template	81
Figure 3.10	(A) Light olefins yield depending on the naphtha feed rate in fluidized catalytic cracking over steamed micro-spherical catalyst prepared with a commercial ZSM-5 (filled triangles) and nano-sized ZSM-5 (filled squares) and (B) Stability of ZSM-5 zeolite (Si/Al = 150) with different crystal sizes during n-Hexane cracking.	82
Figure 3.11	Influence of the porous network of zeolites over the diffusivity ability of different hydrocarbon fractions	87
Figure 3.12	Effect of MFI-280 addition to E-Cat on product yields from AXL cracking at 550 °C and CTO = 3.0	88
Figure 3.13	Different schemes for naphtha recycling in the FCC	90
Figure 4.1	Costs per barrel for several upgrading processes	105
Figure 4.2	Catalytic hydrocracking reactors for increasingly heavy feeds	106
Figure 4.3	Composition of hydrocracking catalysts	107
Figure 4.4	Biomass-based carbon templating for the synthesis of mesoporous ZSM-5	112
Figure 4.5	Mesoporous zeolite preparation using organosilane surfactant	113

Figure 4.6	Effect of Si/Al ratio on the extent of Si species extraction during alkaline-desilication	114
Figure 4.7	Schematics for a typical slurry phase hydrocracker	116
Figure 4.8	Catalyst types applied in slurry phase hydrocracking	116
Figure 4.9	Hydroprocessing spent catalyst rejuvenation process	128
Figure 4.10	Olefinity index versus hydrocarbon partial pressure during FCC operation	136
	TABLES	
Table 2.1	List of Hydrogen Donor Types	33
Table 2.1	Properties of Heavy Oil and Visbreaked Product, Through Cracking	55
1 autc 2.2	with Kaolin (Including Recycle) and Delayed Coking	35
Table 2.3	Homogeneous Transition Metal-Based Catalysts for Aquathermolysis	42
Table 2.4	Heterogeneous Transition Metal-Based Catalysts for Aquathermolysis	43
Table 3.1	Performance of Various Naphtha Catalytic Cracking Processes	63
Table 3.2	Typical Influence of the Steam Over the Yield of Olefins During Catalytic Cracking	85
Table 4.1	Strength of Hydrogenation and Cracking Functions of Bifunctional Catalyst	s. 108
Table 4.2	Some Recent Studies on the Effect of Mesoporous Alumina	111
Table 4.3	Summary of Slurry Cracking Studies Employing Oil-soluble Precursors	119
Table 4.4	Effects of Active Metals on the Product Yield and Quality	121
Table 4.5	Summary of Studies on Heavy Oil Upgrading Using Combinations of Oil-soluble and Supported Catalysts	123
Table 4.6	Summary of Studies Using Water Soluble Catalyst for the Upgrading of Heavy Oils	125
Table 4.7	Water Soluble Catalysts for Slurry Processes	127
Table 4.8	Main Processes Dedicated to Crude Oil Cracking with Circulating Solids	131
Table 4.9	FCC Related Processes for Petrochemicals from VGO/Resid Processing	132
Table 4.10	Influence of the FCCU Residence Time on the yields of Dry Gas and Light Olefins	134
Table 4.11	Comparison of ZSM-5 Addition in Conventional FCC and HS-FCC	142
Table 4.12	Yields for Different CPP (A) Operating Condition and (B) Feedstocks	144