

ENERGY EFFICIENCY/CO₂ MITIGATION CASE STUDY SERIES - VOL. 3: ALLIED INDUSTRIES

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
August 2020



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact John J. Murphy at John.J.Murphy@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447 (x1121).



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.1.1 Reduction of GHG Emissions	1
1.1.2 Strategies for Reducing GHG Emissions	1
1.1.3 Environmental and Economic Impact of GHG Emissions	2
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	3
1.3 METHODOLOGY	3
1.4 KEY CONTRIBUTORS	3
1.4.1 Proprietary TCGR Reports	5
1.4.2 Sources of Industry Data	5
1.5 REFERENCES	6
2. CEMENT INDUSTRY	7
2.1 DECARBONISATION METHODS	10
2.1.1 Energy Efficiency	10
2.1.2 Heat Recovery Improvements	11
2.1.3 Lower Clinker/Lower Carbon Cements	15
2.1.4 Biomass and Waste Fuels	19
2.1.5 Hydrogen Fuel	19
2.1.6 Digitalisation/IoT strategies	20
2.1.7 Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage	21
2.1.8 Maximum Potential for Carbon Reduction	25
2.2 CASE STUDIES	30
2.2.1 China National Building Material Company (CNBM)	30
2.2.2 CEMEX Climafuel	31
2.2.3 Lafarge – Biomass	32
2.2.4 Siemens – Efficiency	33
2.2.5 Schneider Electric – Digitalisation/IoT	34
2.2.6 LEILAC	35
2.2.7 Hanson – EcoPlus	37
2.2.8 CarbonCure	38
2.2.9 Heidelberg Cement/Norcem	40

2.3	HURDLES OVERCOME AND REMAINING CHALLENGES	40
2.4	LESSONS LEARNED	41
2.5	SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK	42
2.6	GLOSSARY	43
2.7	REFERENCES	43
3.	STEEL INDUSTRY	59
3.1	DECARBONISATION METHODS.....	60
3.1.1	Energy Efficiency.....	64
3.1.2	Heat Recovery Improvements	66
3.1.3	Hydrogen Fuel.....	70
3.1.4	Biomass and Waste Fuels.....	71
3.1.5	Digitalisation/IoT strategies	77
3.1.6	Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage (CCUS)	81
3.1.7	Maximum Potential for Carbon Reduction	82
3.2	CASE STUDIES	84
3.2.1	ArcelorMittal - SIDERWIN – Iron Ore Electrolysis	85
3.2.2	ArcelorMittal – Carbon2Value.....	87
3.2.3	ArcelorMittal – TORERO Biocoal/CO to Ethanol	90
3.2.4	Baowu/Rio Tinto/Tsinghua University Innovations	91
3.2.5	LKAB-SSAB-Vattenfall - HYBRIT	93
3.2.6	Tata Steel – Combined Heat and Power (CHP)	95
3.2.7	Lanzatech - Steelanol	96
3.2.8	ULCOS Consortium - Hisarna	98
3.2.9	MIDREX – Al-Reyadah Plant.....	99
3.2.10	STEPWISE – Hydrogen and CCUS.....	101
3.3	HURDLES OVERCOME AND REMAINING CHALLENGES	103
3.4	LESSONS LEARNED	105
3.5	SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK	106
3.6	GLOSSARY	107
3.7	REFERENCES	108
4.	MINING INDUSTRY	117
4.1	METHODS FOR DECARBONISATION	119
4.1.1	Improvements to Energy Efficiency.....	121
4.1.2	Improvements to Heat Recovery.....	123

4.1.3	Integration of Renewable Electricity.....	126
4.1.4	Electrification of Plant Operations	133
4.1.5	Usage of Biomining.....	134
4.1.6	Improvements to Mechanical Treatment.....	135
4.1.7	Steam-Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD).....	136
4.1.8	Abatement of Methane	138
4.1.9	Maximum Potential for Carbon Reduction	142
4.2	CASE STUDIES	144
4.2.1	Anglo American- Mechanical/Advanced Fragmentation.....	145
4.2.2	Goldfields- PV Solar	145
4.2.3	Rio Tinto Aluminium Smelter.....	147
4.2.4	LaFarge – Biomass	147
4.2.5	JX Nippon – Biomining	148
4.2.6	Goldcorp’s Borden Lake – Electrification	149
4.2.7	MEG Energy – SAGD.....	150
4.2.8	Anglo Coal – Methane Abatement.....	151
4.2.9	BHP Billiton – Methane Abatement	152
4.3	HURDLES OVERCOME AND REMAINING CHALLENGES	155
4.4	LESSONS LEARNED	156
4.5	SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK	157
4.6	GLOSSARY	158
4.7	REFERENCES	159
5.	INDEX	167

FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Changing global surface temperature anomalies	2
Figure 1.2	Global Ocean Heat Content and Thermosteric Sea Level Rise 1960-2020	3
Figure 2.1	Cement process (i.e. excluding fuel) emissions for years 1998 – 2018	7
Figure 2.2	The cement production process. The parts with question marks are not core to the process	8
Figure 2.3	Historical clinker ratio in China according to a number of sources	15
Figure 2.4	Schematic illustration of the direct separation reactor concept for the direct capture of CO ₂ evolved during calcination. Configuration shown for a limestone feed (CaCO ₃) with heating by natural gas (CH ₄)	23
Figure 2.5	The calcium looping cycle applied to the cement process	25
Figure 2.6	Net CO ₂ Emissions relative to cement comprised of 100% clinker, with fuel supplied by hydrogen or biomass, with varying levels of carbon capture applied. Efficiency comprises a 20% reduction in CO ₂ production from digitalisation and 5% for other gains.	29
Figure 2.7	Changes in CO ₂ relative to a blend containing 100% clinker, with and without the addition of CCS.	30
Figure 2.8	(a) Equilibrium pressure of CO ₂ during calcination of CaCO ₃ , and (b) Calculated isothermal conversion of CaCO ₃ in a DSR-type reactor	36
Figure 2.9	The Walkie Talkie seen from The Blavatnik Building © Copyright Neil Theasby and licensed for reuse under this Creative Commons Licence (CC BY-SA 2.0)	38
Figure 3.1	Crude steel production, showing (a) total global production between 1950-2018, and (b) production by country in 2018	59
Figure 3.2	Schematic overview of the three predominant processes within the Steel Industry, namely (i) Preparation, (ii) Ironmaking, (iii) Steelmaking, and (iv) Forming processes	61
Figure 3.3	Total energy demand toward the production of steel as well as the specific energy intensity per ton of crude steel between 2000-2017, categorised by energy type	65
Figure 3.4	Estimated heat recovery options for a modelled steel plant of commercial scale (~3.8 mtCS/y), showing the temperature and energy of various waste heat streams available from different manufacturing processes. Streams are categorised as either solid (s), liquid (l) or gaseous (g)	69
Figure 3.5	Comparison of estimated costs per ton of steel using direct reduction of iron (DRI) and electric arc furnaces (EAF), assuming (a) natural gas (NG), (b) natural gas with capture (w/CCS), and (c) hydrogen	71
Figure 3.6	Effect of pyrolysis temperature on the carbon, oxygen and hydrogen content of biomass (X), the heating value of the resulting solids (H) and the yield of solid product yield (η)	73

Figure 3.7	A selection of sintering indices for various blends of biochar and breeze coke, including sinter yield (%), product yield (%), tumble index (%), and fuel consumption (kg/t)	74
Figure 3.8	Yields of various substances from thermal decomposition of different types of plastic at different scales. For commercial scale tests, the waste plastic mix was composed of PE (21.4%), PP (13.7%), PS (24.8%), PVC (5.2%), PET (15.5%), and Others (19.4%).....	76
Figure 3.9	(a) Integration of digitalisation vertically, horizontally, or within the life-cycle of systems, and (b) organisation of digitalisation between complete centralisation and decentralisation.....	78
Figure 3.10	Proportion of steel-related digitalisation projects within the RFCS programme by category between 2003 to 2018 (Note: [a] including agglomeration processes such as sintering, pelletisation, etc.).....	78
Figure 3.11	Costs for capture technologies in the steel industry, namely (a) cost against primary energy consumption, and (b) cost breakdown for each capture technology. Steel processes include: air-blown blast furnace (ABF), top gas recycling blast furnace (TGR-BF), smelting reduction vessel (SRV), and lime kiln (LK). Capture includes: amine scrubbing (AS), pressure swing adsorption (PSA), membrane separation (MS), calcium looping (CaL) and hydrate crystallisation (HC)	82
Figure 3.12	Reduction potential by category of (a) the average of cement, steel and chemicals industries, and (b) exclusively the steel industry	83
Figure 3.13	Optical, SEM, and EDS (Fe and O) images of electroreduced Fe ₂ O ₃ pellets sintered at 1100 °C for 2h, followed by electroreduction in 60 wt% NaOH at 110 °C with 1.7 V. Pellet diameter approximately 3.6 mm	87
Figure 3.14	Comparison of the relative capital (CAPEX) and operating (OPEX) costs of pressure swing adsorption (PSA) and solvent absorption (MEA) separation of off-gases from the steel industry.	88
Figure 3.15	Potential scenarios for the utilisation off gases in steel manufacturing toward the synthesis of methanol	89
Figure 3.16	(a) Images of iron-carbon agglomerates (ICAs) before and after gasification between 950-1100 °C, and (b) Effect of iron loading within ICAs on performance parameters, namely coke reactivity index (CRI) and coke strength after reaction (CSR).....	92
Figure 3.17	Schematic overview for the decarbonised manufacture of steel using electrolytically-derived hydrogen, involving ironmaking by direct reduction followed by downstream steelmaking by electric arc furnace	94
Figure 3.18	Technoeconomic assessment of DRI-EAF steel production with renewable hydrogen, showing (a) the effect on energy consumption by charging scrap steel , and the effect of electricity cost on overall production cost for (b) 100% charging of DRI (c) 50% charging of DRI.....	95
Figure 3.19	CHP in the UK steel industry between 2010 and 2018, categorised by fuel type including blast furnace off gas (BFOG), coke oven off gas (COG), natural gas (NG) and fuel oil (FO).....	96

Figure 3.20	Schematic overview of syngas fermentation to produce bioethanol, using blast furnace off gas (BFOG) from the steel industry. Process developed as part of the Steelanol project, using technology originally developed by Lanzatech	97
Figure 3.21	Schematic illustration of the HIs melt furnace within the HIsarna process, showing the Cyclone Converter Furnace (CCF) situated above the freeboard of the Smelting Reduction Vessel (SRV)	98
Figure 3.22	Schematic overview of the process used at the Al-Reyadah. Upstream steel manufacturing by natural gas fueled direct reduction of iron (DRI), with downstream capture by amine scrubbing (MEA).....	100
Figure 3.23	Schematic overview of the sorbent enhanced water gas shift (SEWGS) technology developed to utilise blast furnace off gases (BFOG) from the steel industry as part of the STEPWISE project.....	101
Figure 3.24	Sorption enhanced water-gas shift (SEWGS) cycle for nine reactors operating in an eleven step cycle comprised of (i) syngas adsorption, (ii) steam rinse, (iii)-(v) pressure equalisation, (vi) depressurisation, (vii) steam purge, (viii)-(x) pressure equalisation, (xi) repressurisation	102
Figure 4.1	Total Scope 1 and Scope 2 Emissions from Some Mining Companies (Year: 2016)	118
Figure 4.2	Earnings split by commodity (2015-2016).....	119
Figure 4.3	Renewable electricity commissioned capacity	127
Figure 4.4	Cumulative commissioned renewable electricity commissioned capacity	127
Figure 4.5	SAGD process	137
Figure 4.6	Anthropogenic methane emissions by source in 2010	138
Figure 4.7	VAM and multiple CMM end-use	139
Figure 4.8	Upstream emissions from coal mines relative to the direct CO ₂ impact of burning coal	141
Figure 4.9	Absolute upstream emissions from coal mines	141
Figure 4.10	Block diagram of a copper heap bioleaching process	149
Figure 4.11	SAGD schematic	150
Figure 4.12	Different types of methane emissions associated with coal mines	151
Figure 4.13	Illustration of the VOCSIDIZER™ technology.....	153
Figure 4.14	VOCSIDIZER™ technology, steam boiler, and conventional steam turbine generator – converting it to a conventional power plant.....	154
Figure 4.15	Principle layout of project WestVAMP, converting Ventilation Air Methane (VAM) to electricity	154
Figure 4.16	Summary of mining decarbonisation levers.....	155

TABLES

Table ES.1	Case Studies for Decarbonisation in the Cement Sector	xxxiv
Table ES.2	Case Studies for Decarbonisation in the Iron & Steel Sector.....	xxxvi
Table ES.3	Case Studies for Decarbonisation in the Mining Sector.....	xxxix
Table 2.1	CO ₂ Intensities for a Number of Different Global Cement Manufacturers	8
Table 2.2	A Selection of Recent Waste Heat Recovery Announcements Around the World (Excluding China)	12
Table 2.3	List of ORC Manufacturers with More than 10 Installations or Total Power Generation > 3MW before December 31st 2016	14
Table 2.4	Average Clinker Ratios for a Number of Global Cement Companies	16
Table 2.5	Energy Savings from Different Process Adaptations.....	26
Table 3.1	Composition of Various off Gases Generated Throughout the Steel Manufacturing Process	64
Table 3.2	Energy Intensity of the Predominant Steel Production Routes, Namely BF-BOF, DRI-EAF and Scrap-EAF	66
Table 3.3	Selection of the Opportunities for Heat Recovery and Efficiency Improvements in Steelmaking.....	67
Table 3.4	A Selection of Direct Reduction Processes, Categorised by their Reductant, Consumption Rates, and Typical Degree of Metallization Achieved	70
Table 3.5	Comparison of a Material Selection of Properties for Biomass-Derived and Fossil-Derived Fuels.....	72
Table 3.6	Estimated Potential of Biomass in Steel Industry	75
Table 3.7	Case Study Examples of Digitalisation.....	79
Table 3.8	Process Conditions of Spent Gas Streams Produced Across a Typical Steel Mill Assessing Suitability for Downstream CCUS.....	81
Table 3.9	Comparison of Electrochemical Reduction of Various Iron Oxide Materials	86
Table 3.10	Comparison of Conventional Blast Furnace (BF) and Oxygen Blast Furnace (OBF) with/without Top Gas Recycling (TGR).....	93
Table 4.1	League Table Summary for Mining Companies	118
Table 4.2	Energy Savings Measures	122
Table 4.3	A Summary of Waste Heat Recovery Technology and Their Operating Temperature Ranges.....	125
Table 4.4	Renewable Energy Implemented and Mine Characteristics.....	128
Table 4.5	Case Studies Analysis for Renewable Energy Projects in Mining.....	130
Table 4.6	Project GHG Emission Reduction Values and Diesel Savings.....	131
Table 4.7	SWOT Analysis of Renewable Energy Projects in Mining Operations.....	132
Table 4.8	Goldfields Country Specific Decarbonisation Strategy	146