

ELECTROCATALYTIC APPROACHES TO TRADITIONAL THERMOCHEMICAL PROCESSES

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
September 2021



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Chris Dziedzic at cdziedzic@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447.



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 SCOPE, ORGANIZATION, AND STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT	1
1.2 LOW AND HIGH TEMPERATURE ELECTROCATALYSIS	4
1.3 TEA, LCA AND CO ₂ FOOTPRINT, COMPARISON WITH THERMO APPROACHES	6
1.4 SCALE-UP AND ENGINEERING CHALLENGES	10
1.5 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	12
1.6 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS	13
1.7 REFERENCES	14
2. ELECTROCATALYTIC SYNTHESIS OF INORGANIC CHEMICALS	17
2.1 AMMONIA DIRECT SYNTHESIS FROM N ₂	17
2.1.1 Comparison with Thermochemical Synthesis, TEA-LCA and Targets	18
2.1.2 Electrocatalytic Materials	21
2.1.3 Design Concepts of Electrocatalysis Cell/Reactor	25
2.1.4 Intermediate Temperature (> 100 °C, < 650 °C) NRR Electrocatalysis	29
2.2 H ₂ O ₂ DIRECT ELECTROCATALYTIC SYNTHESIS	31
2.2.1 Comparison with Thermochemical Synthesis, TEA and LCA and Target	31
2.2.2 Electrocatalytic Materials	34
2.3 ELECTROCATALYTIC PRODUCTION OF H ₂ AND O ₂	37
2.3.1 Water Electrolysis Technologies, Cell Design and Scale-up Aspects	39
2.3.2 Seawater Electrolysis	43
2.4 ELECTROCATALYTIC PRODUCTION OF SYNGAS	45
2.4.1 Comparison with Thermochemical Route, TEA and LCA	45
2.4.2 Primary Ways to Make e-fuels via Syngas	47
2.4.3 CO ₂ /H ₂ O Co-electrolysis, Opportunities, and Limits	48
2.5 CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	51
2.6 REFERENCES	53
3. ELECTROCATALYTIC SYNTHESIS OF BASE AND INTERMEDIATE CHEMICALS	63
3.1 ELECTROSYNTHESIS OF LIGHT OLEFINS	66

3.1.1	Direct and Indirect Electrocatalytic Routes, Comparison with Thermochemical Production	67
3.1.2	Materials, Factors Controlling Selectivity and Reaction Mechanism	69
3.2	ELECTROSYNTHESIS OF ORGANIC ACIDS	72
3.2.1	Status on the Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO ₂ to Formate or Formic Acid.....	72
3.2.2	Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO ₂ to Acetate or Acetic Acid.....	82
3.2.3	Electrocatalytic Synthesis and Conversion of Oxalic Acid.....	83
3.2.4	Electrocatalytic Selective Oxidation of Hydrocarbons.....	84
3.3	ELECTROSYNTHESIS OF ALCOHOLS AND GLYCOLS	87
3.3.1	Industrial Relevance, TEA and LCA.....	87
3.3.2	Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO ₂ to Methanol and DME	88
3.3.2	Electrosynthesis of Ethylene Glycol.....	90
3.4	ELECTROSYNTHESIS OF ALDEHYDES.....	91
3.4.1	Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO ₂ to Formaldehyde	91
3.5	OTHER LARGE VOLUME CHEMICALS	91
3.6	CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & FUTURE PERSPECTIVES.....	93
3.7	REFERENCES	95
4.	ELECTROCATALYTIC CONVERSION OF BIOBASED PLATFORM MOLECULES.....	107
4.1	ROUTES AND OPTIONS IN BIOBASED PLATFORM MOLECULES CONVERSION	107
4.2	TANDEM AND PAIRED ELECTROCATALYTIC APPROACHES FOR PROCESS INTENSIFICATION	108
4.3	ELECTROCATALYTIC UPGRADING OF BIOMASS-DERIVED INTERMEDIATES.....	113
4.3.1	Technical Hurdles and Challenges	114
4.3.2	Scaling Issues and Energy Demand for Electrocatalytic Conversion of Biobased Molecules, Comparison with Heterogeneous Catalytic Routes	116
4.3.3	Electrocatalytic Conversion of Polyols	117
4.3.4	Electrocatalytic Conversion of Furans and Furfurals	120
4.3.5	Electroconversion of Carboxylic Acids, Amino Acids, and Other Molecules.....	122
4.4	ELECTROCATALYTIC LIGNIN DEPOLYMERIZATION AND DEOXYGENATION.....	124
4.5	CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & FUTURE PERSPECTIVES.....	127
4.6	REFERENCES	128

5. INDEX 133

FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Number of entries versus time frame by SciFinder literature search for the word "electrocatalytic" (as entered, and as a concept) with limits "English" and Journal, Review or Patents 3

Figure 1.2 Comparison between alkaline (AEC), polymer electrolyzer membrane (PEM), and solid oxide (SOEC) water electrolyzers in terms of voltage vs. current density..... 4

Figure 1.3 Schematic concepts of (a) traditional 3-electrode cell, (b) H-type cell, (c) two compartments cell, (d) Gas Diffusion Electrode cell and (e) Membrane Electrode Assembly for electrochemical CO₂ reduction reactions 5

Figure 1.4 Comparison of ten electrochemical CO₂ conversion technologies. The functional unit is 1 ton of the target product and X ton of oxygen. The numbers inside brackets are GHG avoidance costs (USD per t-CO₂eq) 8

Figure 1.5 From lab-scale (a) to GDL-type industrial flow reactors for CO₂ and N₂ reduction (b) and to compact, electro-less type of electrocatalytic reactor (c) 11

Figure 2.1 Sankey drawing comparing the attributions of direct CO₂-eq emissions arising from the methane-fed and the electrically driven Haber–Bosch processes (range of values depend on size of wind turbines). The stoichiometric CO₂ emissions are shown to highlight the minimum level of direct CO₂ emissions that can be achieved by the methane-fed system without carbon capture. The additional CO₂ emissions are allocated proportionally to the significant energy consumers 20

Figure 2.2 Overview of the development of NRR electrocatalysts: NRR FEs (Faradaic efficiencies) against the publication year. The inset is the variations of NRR FEs over different catalysts vs. the applied potentials. The exponent refers to the references as given in the source paper..... 23

Figure 2.3 Strategies to suppress the competing HER for highly selective electrochemical N₂ reduction to NH₃, including limiting the proton and electron accessibility, shifting the HER chemical equilibrium, and designing catalysts. The blue cartoon character equipped with a deceleration parachute represents the suppression of the HER 23

Figure 2.4 Model of isolated Ni atoms (green balls) stabilized in single-vacancy (SV), double-vacancy (DV), and 5775 (D5775) carbon defects..... 24

Figure 2.5 Electrochemical cells for NRR (a) Polymer electrolyte membrane - PEM - cell. (b) Single chamber cell. (c) H-type cell, where C.E is counter electrode, R.E is reference electrode and W.E is working electrode 26

Figure 2.6 Schematic view of the three-phase reactor for electrochemical ammonia synthesis under electrolyteless (gas-phase) conditions 27

Figure 2.7 A combination of cost reductions in electricity and electrolyzers, combined with increased efficiency and operating lifetime, can deliver 80% reduction in hydrogen cost. 38

Figure 2.8 Cost of green hydrogen production as a function of electrolyser deployment, using an average (USD 65/MWh) and a low (USD 20/MWh) electricity price, constant over the period 2020-2050 38

Figure 2.9	Different types of commercially available electrolysis technologies	42
Figure 2.10	Life cycle GHG emissions of electrofuel pathways are a linear function of power EI, with pathways that use more electricity more sensitive to this value. The shaded areas represent the 95% confidence interval of results, and the lines represent median results	47
Figure 2.11	(a) Co-electrolysis process schematic overview within a solid oxide cell, regardless the cell architecture. (b) schematic illustration of an ESC and an ASC.....	49
Figure 2.12	(top) C-H-O ternary diagram with equilibrium compositions of mixtures M1 and M2 at the outlet at 860°C. (bottom) Equilibrium molar fractions at inlet and outlet for the different tested mixtures. Data were calculated at 860°C with a reactant conversion of 20 %.....	51
Figure 3.1	Highest reported Faradaic efficiencies for carbon monoxide (gray squares), formic acid (purple triangles), ethylene (blue diamonds), and ethanol (red circles) and corresponding current densities (green) over the past three decades (see also Table 3.1)	64
Figure 3.2	Estimated production costs of electrosynthesized chemicals. Assumptions for TEA: pure CO ₂ price of \$30/tonne, Faradaic efficiency of 90%, current density of 500 mA/cm ² , electrolyzer cost of \$300/kW, and plant lifetime of 30 years	66
Figure 3.3	(a) Schematic illustration and (b) cell architecture for full BPM MEA setup for CO ₂ RR (flow cell) with a thin layer of catholyte buffer	74
Figure 3.4	Formic acid cell configuration showing proposed electrochemical reactions and ion transport.	76
Figure 3.5	a) Schematic illustration of the all-solid-state electrochemical CO ₂ RR to formic acid reactor. b) The electrochemical performance of all-solid-state CO ₂ RR reactor compared with literature (A: ref. 46, B: ref. 36, C: ref. 35, D: ref. 31 in the source paper)	81
Figure 3.6	Overview of Cu-based electrocatalyst towards methanol production from CO ₂ in a liquid electrolyte (batch conditions)	89
Figure 3.7	Overview of Fine Chemicals Produced through Electrochemical Processes in Industry	92
Figure 4.1	Schematic illustration of some initial steps in generalized electrochemical reactions. Black arrows represent chemical steps, while red and blue arrows represent electrochemical oxidation and reduction steps, respectively; this convention is used in all subsequent figures. “X” represents the more electroactive molecule moiety and its main chain (R), while “E” and “Nu” are electrophile and nucleophile species, and “Med.” is an electrochemical mediator with reduced (Med ⁿ⁻) and oxidized (Med ⁿ⁺) forms, respectively.....	108
Figure 4.2	Schematic illustration of the paired electrochemical systems: (a) parallel (PPE), (b) cathodic (c-LPE, left) and anodic (a-LPE, right) linear, (c) convergent (CPE), (d) divergent paired electrolysis (DPE), and (e) tandem paired electrolysis (TPE)	109
Figure 4.3	Tandem Paired Electrolysis of Xylose into δ-Valerolactone. DSA = dimensionally stable anode.....	110
Figure 4.4	Schematic of the ACT-mediated electrochemical oxidation of HMF	111
Figure 4.5	(a) Yield and (b) electron efficiency of HMF conversion to BHMF and FDCA for unpaired and paired electrochemical cells. Charge passed was 72.2 C in each reaction. Yields for each product in the paired cell were calculated individually with respect to the corresponding half-reactions. Unpaired cathode reaction:	

	Ag/C cathode at -1.3 V, 20.0 mL of catholyte containing 20.0 mM HMF. Unpaired anode reaction: carbon felt anode at 0.7 V, 12.5 mL of anolyte containing 1.0 mM ACT and 10.0 mM HMF. Paired cell: Ag/C cathode at -1.3 V, carbon felt anode uncontrolled potential, 20.0 mL of catholyte containing 20.0 mM HMF and 12.5 mL of anolyte containing 1.0 mM ACT and 10.0 mM HMF	112
Figure 4.6	Heat map of the LCC-to-market price ratio to visualize the economic feasibility of the optimal case in Supplementary Table 9 of the source paper (listing in detail all the considered paired reactions).....	113
Figure 4.7	(a) Electro-oxidation of glycerol to produce value-added chemicals, and electrochemical conversion of acetol, a glycerol dehydration product, into (b) 1,2-propanediol and (c) acetone and 2-propanol	118
Figure 4.8	Electrochemical Oxidation (above) and Reduction (below) of HMF to Various Fuels and Chemicals	120
Figure 4.9	Electro-oxidation of Levulinic Acid via Kolbe and Non-Kolbe electrolysis	122
Figure 4.10	Electro-hydrogenation and deoxygenation of Some Lignin-Derived Aromatics.	125

TABLES

Table 2.1	The Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Energy Use from Cradle (Production or Mining Fossil Fuel) to Gate of Ammonia Production in Different Global Regions	19
Table 2.2	Summary of the Main Studies at High Temperatures for NRR	30
Table 2.3	Comparing the Performance of Recently Reported Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Reduction to H_2O_2	36
Table 2.4	Key Performance Indicators for Four Electrolyser Technologies Today and in 2050	40
Table 2.5	Characteristics the Four Types of Water Electrolysers	43
Table 2.6	Summary of Advanced Electrocatalysts for the OER and HER in Natural Seawater ($\eta@ 10 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$) References in Table are those of the Original Source Paper	44
Table 3.1	Maximum Reported Faradaic Efficiency Obtained via CO_2RR and Corresponding partial Current Densities	64
Table 3.2	Current state of CO_2 Electrolyzers in Comparison with Hydrogen Electrolyzers and their Figures of Merit.....	65
Table 3.3	Literature Survey on the Sn/ SnO_2 -based Electrocatalyst Performance for the Reduction of CO_2 to Formate	75
Table 3.4	The Performance of Various p-block Metal-based Electrocatalysts for Electrochemical CO_2 Reduction.....	78
Table 3.5	A Summary of Performances of Sn-based Catalysts According to Strategies to Tune Sn to be Electron-deficient or Electron-rich to Promote CO_2 Reduction to Formate	79
Table 3.6	Selective Products of CH_4 Electro-oxidation over Recent Metal and Metal Oxide-involved Electrocatalysts.....	86

Table 4.1	Calculated Power Demand, Installed Cost, and Land Usage of Solar Energy Required for Hypothetical Distributed Case in the Reduction of Furfural to Furfuryl Alcohol (FA) and 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran (MTHF).....	117
Table 4.2	Record Efficiencies ^a for Production of Major Compounds from the Electrochemical Oxidation of Glycerol.....	118
Table 4.3	Record Efficiencies ^a for Production of Major Compounds from the Electrochemical Valorization of Furans.....	121
Table 4.4	Record Efficiencies ^a for Production of Some Compounds from the Electrochemical Valorization of Biomass-derived Carboxylic Acids.....	123
Table 4.5	Record Efficiencies ^a for Full (b) or Partial (b) Electro-hydrogenation and Deoxygenation of Some Lignin-derived Aromatics.....	126