

PROGRESS TOWARDS OPERATING A VIABLE BUSINESS IN CO₂

A techno-economic investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

Client Private
June 2021



The Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program

The **CO₂CC Program** is a membership-directed consortium whose members are involved in the development, monitoring and utilization of the “state-of-the-art” in technological progress and commercial implementation of carbon dioxide capture/clean-up and conversion. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to document technically and commercially viable options for CO₂ capture/clean-up as well as its conversion into useful products which meaningfully address the challenges posed by CO₂ life-cycle and overall sustainability issues.

Members receive three in-depth **CO₂CC Techno-economic Reports** which are written by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CO₂CC Communiqués* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the CO₂CC Program **Annual Meeting**.

The **Carbon Dioxide Capture & Conversion (CO₂CC) Program** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Chris Dziedziak at cdziedziak@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447.



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A.
ph: +1.215.628.4447
www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxxi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1.1 Carbon Capture.....	1
1.1.2 Carbon Dioxide Removal	2
1.1.3 Utilization.....	3
1.1.4 Storage.....	6
1.1.5 Life Cycle Analysis	8
1.1.6 Techno Economic Assessment.....	10
1.1.7 Utilization, Storage, or Both? Permanence Considerations	11
1.1.8 Supply Chain Siting and GIS Considerations	13
1.2 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	14
1.3 METRICS FOR ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON OF CO ₂ BUSINESSES.....	15
1.3.1 Net Present Value	15
1.3.2 Levelized Cost of CO ₂ : Captured vs. Avoided.....	15
1.3.3 Levelized Cost of CO ₂ : Removal vs. Net Removed	16
1.3.4 Breakeven Analysis.....	17
1.4 CO ₂ BUSINESS MODELS.....	18
1.4.1 Technical Ownership and Project Development.....	18
1.4.2 Technical Licensing	18
1.4.3 Other Emerging Models	18
1.5 METHODOLOGY	19
1.6 AUTHORS & CONTRIBUTORS	20
1.7 REFERENCES	21
2. CCUS SUPPLY CHAIN ECONOMICS	27
2.1 CAPEX AND OPEX.....	27
2.1.1 Implications on Scaling	28
2.2 COST LEVERS IN CO ₂ CAPTURE	29
2.2.1 Review of the Literature: CCS Technologies.....	29
2.2.2 Review of the Literature: CDR	32
2.2.3 Importance of Energy Source.....	34
2.3 TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE COST CONSIDERATIONS	35

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

2.3.1	Proximal Relationships	35
2.3.2	Approaching Storage vs. Utilization	36
2.4	COST LEVERS IN CO ₂ UTILIZATION	37
2.4.1	Physical vs. Chemical Use	37
2.4.2	Impact of Secondary Reactants	39
2.4.3	Incumbents	41
2.5	SOURCES OF REVENUE	45
2.5.1	CO ₂ Sales.....	45
2.5.2	Policy Incentives	47
2.6	CONCLUSIONS AND REMAINING HURDLES	53
2.7	REFERENCES	53
3.	PROGRESS TOWARDS VIABLE CO₂ CAPTURE AND STORAGE	61
3.1	CURRENT STATUS OF COMMERCIAL CCS PROJECTS	61
3.1.1	Air Products SMR (Port Arthur, TX).....	63
3.1.2	Preem CCS	64
3.1.3	LH CO2MENT Colorado Project	64
3.1.4	Boundary Dam Unit #3	65
3.1.5	Acorn CCS	65
3.1.6	Existing Challenges in CCS Deployment	66
3.2	CURRENT STATUS OF COMMERCIAL ENGINEERED CDR PROJECTS	66
3.2.1	Climeworks / CarbFix	66
3.2.2	Carbon Engineering and Occidental Petroleum	68
3.2.3	Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) Facilities.....	69
3.2.4	Other Emerging Approaches	70
3.2.5	Existing Challenges in Engineered DAC and BECCS Deployment.....	71
3.3	STATUS OF CO ₂ STORAGE	72
3.3.1	GIS and Viable Locations	72
3.3.2	Northern Lights	74
3.3.3	Decatur IBDP	75
3.3.4	Sleipner.....	75
3.3.5	Existing Challenges in CO ₂ Storage.....	76
3.4	CONCLUSIONS AND REMAINING HURDLES	77
3.5	REFERENCES	78
4.	PROGRESS TOWARDS VIABLE CO₂ CONVERSION AND UTILIZATION	83

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

4.1 CURRENT STATUS OF COMMERCIAL CCU PROJECTS.....	83
4.2 FUELS FROM CO ₂	85
4.2.1 CO ₂ -EOR.....	86
4.2.2 Biofuels	87
4.2.3 CO ₂ Microalgae Cultivation.....	88
4.2.4 Air-to-fuel Technologies	89
4.3 THE BUILT ECONOMY	91
4.3.1 Low Carbon Concrete	91
4.3.2 Biological Products	92
4.3.3 Other Mineralization Opportunities	93
4.4 CHEMICALS	93
4.4.1 Methanol.....	94
4.4.2 Formic Acid.....	95
4.4.3 Plastics and Polymers.....	95
4.4.4 Carbon Nanotubes	96
4.5 OTHER USES.....	97
4.5.1 Urea	97
4.5.2 Food and Beverage Use.....	98
4.5.3 Miscellaneous Uses	99
4.6 CONCLUSIONS AND REMAINING HURDLES	101
4.7 REFERENCES	102
5. PROGRESS TOWARDS FULL CO₂ VALUE CHAIN APPROACH: CAPTURE AND CONVERSION OR STORAGE.....	107
5.1 A CASE FOR SCALING: MARKET CONSIDERATIONS	107
5.2 USING GIS TO IDENTIFY REGIONAL OPPORTUNITIES	107
5.2.1 LCA Considerations	107
5.2.2 TEA Considerations	108
5.2.3 GIS for Identifying Geophysical and Geopolitical Constraints	108
5.2.4 Siting Promising Source-Sink Relationships	109
5.2.5 Co-locating Partners in Carbon Management	110
5.2.6 Carbon Hubs.....	111
5.2.7 Prioritizing CCS	112
5.2.8 Prioritizing CCU.....	112

5.3 CASE STUDY I: CO-LOCATING LOGICAL CAPTURE / UTILIZATION PARTNERS: CO ₂ CAPTURE FROM CEMENT AND PRODUCTION OF SYNTHETIC AGGREGATE	113
5.4 CASE STUDY II: ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SOURCE IN CO ₂ -EOR	115
5.5 CONCLUSIONS AND REMAINING HURDLES	118
5.6 REFERENCES	119
6. INDEX.....	123

FIGURES

Figure ES 1 Schematic representation of the terminology used in this report	xxxiv
Figure 1.1 Options for carbon dioxide removal classified into two categories: nature based solutions and engineered solutions. The best option is often dictated by local resources, stakeholder and client goals, and a careful valuation of cost and risk.....	3
Figure 1.2 Illustration of the two fates of CO ₂ in a utilization: release back to the atmosphere [C] or storage in the lithosphere [D]. Many high volume utilization pathways involve A-C or B-C routes, resulting in high flux of CO ₂ through the anthro/technosphere which could lead to large revenue generation. Conversely, pathways that end in permanent storage [D] are viewed as beneficial for climate mitigation but may represent limited flux and low revenue potential.....	4
Figure 1.3 CO ₂ trapping mechanisms during geologic storage. Trapping mechanisms and timescales vary widely depending on geology, structure, and hydrology. Left hand panel: typical sedimentary reservoir. Right hand panel, peridotite reservoir. Basaltic reservoirs could either be in between these two end members, or similar to the right hand panel, depending on injection strategy	8
Figure 1.4 LCA system boundary analysis.....	10
Figure 2.1 Conversion of carbon dioxide - a waste product - to something of commercial value often does not involve conversion at all. Of the many current and developing options in carbon utilization, several exploit the physical properties of CO ₂ for use in a variety of industrial applications. Conversely, as the name would suggest, CO ₂ conversion can proceed through a chemical reaction to produce a new chemical species	38
Figure 2.2 Spatial distribution of industrial byproducts and mine tailings bearing alkalinity in the United States	41
Figure 3.1 Spatial distribution of commercial CCS facilities.....	63
Figure 3.2 Opportunities for conventional CO ₂ storage in sedimentary formations	73
Figure 3.3 Opportunities for CO ₂ storage in mafic and ultramafic formations	73
Figure 3.4 Opportunities for CO ₂ mineralization using industrial by-products and mines tailings bearing alkalinity	74
Figure 4.1 Schematic of Sunfire e-fuel production using co-electrolysis of CO ₂ and H ₂ O	90

Figure 4.2	Conventional production of urea (upper schematic). This process uses more CO ₂ per annum than any in the world. A possible low carbon route (lower schematic) where an external provider - in this case DAC - provides CO ₂ for urea production	98
Figure 4.3	Schematic of a typical commercial centralized DX refrigeration system. Relative performance of CO ₂ against other refrigerants are presented against benchmarks observed in HFC systems, eg R-134A. A typical commercial system has a system charge of 2000 kg and leaks up to 20% per year. Performance traits.....	100
Figure 5.1	Spatial distribution of potential sources and sinks of CO ₂ in the Southern United States.....	110
Figure 5.2	Co-locating cement production and synthetic aggregates by the use of nearby sources of materials bearing alkalinity	114
Figure 5.3	Carbon management projects proposed by Fry et al., capturing CO ₂ at ethanol plants and transporting via a pipeline that connects to the Permian basin carbon hub for using the CO ₂ in EOR operations. Other industries and power plants that could also benefit from the nearby pipeline are also presented here.....	116
Figure 5.4	Comparison of project economics for CO ₂ -EOR using variable CO ₂ sources and utilization rates. Application of credits can produce negative cost oil (case 5), and stacked storage with ambient CO ₂ can lead to negative emission fuel (case 6)	117

TABLES

Table 1.1	Examples and Characterization of Carbon Dioxide Utilization Pathways.....	6
Table 1.2	Projections for Various Utilization Potential of Various CCU Pathways with Breakeven Analysis.....	17
Table 2.1	Summary of the Cost of Capture from Various Industrial Sources	30
Table 2.2	Comparison of Cost Projections for SELECT BiCRS and Engineered Carbon Management Solutions	32
Table 2.3	Shortlist of Thermodynamically Spontaneous CO ₂ Chemical Conversion Pathways.....	39
Table 2.4	Effect of H ₂ Production Cost on Jet-fuel (JF) Selling Price for a Generic Air-to-fuel Operation Producing <i>ca.</i> 70M Gallons JF Per Year; CO ₂ Fixed at \$250/t.....	40
Table 2.5	Effect of CO ₂ Production Cost on jet-fuel (JF) Selling Price for a Generic Air-to-Fuel Operation Producing <i>ca.</i> 70M Gallons JF Per Year. H ₂ Fixed at \$3.5/ kg	40
Table 2.6	Cost Estimates of Production vs Selling Price for Various CO ₂ Utilization Pathways.....	43
Table 2.7	Recommended Concentration Limits for CO ₂ used in Two CCUS Applications: Enhanced Oil Recovery and Beverage Carbonation	46

PROPRIETARY -- Do Not Reproduce or Redistribute!

This message is in red ink. If not, you have an unauthorized copy.

Table 2.8	CCS Projects Eligible Under the LCFS	49
Table 3.1	Large-Scale Commercial CCUS Projects in Operation in 2020	61
Table 3.2	Comparison of Select Projects in CCS. Metrics of Projected Cost, Scale and Support Mechanisms are Offered as a Means to Track Characteristics of Successful Projects	78
Table 4.1	Attributes That Can Impact CCS Project Success	84
Table 4.2	Influence of Refrigerant Type on the Emissions Associated with System Leakage, Assuming a System Charge of 2000 kg and a Leakage Rate of 20% Per Year	101
Table 4.3	Comparison of Carbon Utilization Routes. Metrics Describing Maturity, Capital and Market Potential are Provided as Author Estimates	102