

CATALYSTS AND CATALYST MANUFACTURING METHODS FOR DECARBONIZATION

A technical investigation
commissioned by the members of the
Catalytic Advances Program

Client Private
July 2023



The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)

The Catalytic Advances Program (CAP) is an information resource for research and development organizations in the petroleum, chemical, and polymer industries. By the direction of the member companies (through balloting and other interactive means), the program delivers a range of timely and insightful information and analyses which are accessible exclusively to members and protected by confidentiality agreements. The objective is to provide a technical update on commercially viable advances in catalysis as well as benchmark commercial advances in catalysis and process technology.

Members receive three in-depth **CAP Technical Reports** which are written and peer reviewed by leading scientists and experienced industry professionals in areas selected by the membership (via ballot); weekly *CAP Communications* (delivered via e-mail) which provide the latest updates on technical breakthroughs, commercial events and exclusive development opportunities; and attendance at the **CAP Annual Meeting**.

The **Catalytic Advances Program (CAP)** is available on a membership basis from The Catalyst Group Resources (TCGR). For further details, please contact Chris Dzedziak at cdzedziak@catalystgrp.com or +1.215.628.4447.



P.O. Box 680
Spring House, PA 19477 U.S.A
ph: +1.215.628.4447
fax: +1.215.628.2267
website: www.catalystgrp.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 NEED FOR THE STUDY.....	2
1.2 REPORT SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES.....	3
1.3 CHALLENGES AND HURDLES.....	5
1.4 AUTHORS AND CONTRIBUTORS.....	7
1.5 REFERENCES.....	9
2. CATALYSTS FOR DECARBONIZATION AND REDUCTION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	11
2.1 CATALYSTS TO LOWER CO ₂ EMISSIONS AND CARBON FOOTPRINT.....	11
2.1.1 Increase the Selectivity by Minimising CO ₂ Formation.....	14
2.1.2 Develop New Catalytic Paths for Near-room Temperatures.....	23
2.1.3 Process Heat Electrification.....	29
2.2 CATALYSTS FOR DECARBONIZED PRODUCTS.....	35
2.2.1 Biomass Feedstocks for Fuels.....	35
2.2.2 Fuels and Chemicals from CO ₂ and Green H ₂ (Power-to-X).....	38
2.2.3 CO ₂ for Catalytic Dehydrogenation.....	40
2.2.4 Hydrogen for Fuels or Ammonia.....	43
2.2.5 Improvement in Ammonia, Ethylene, Propylene, Methanol, BTX, and Ethylene Oxide Processes.....	46
2.2.5.1 Ammonia.....	47
2.2.5.2 Methanol.....	52
2.2.5.3 Light Olefins (Ethylene, Propylene).....	54
2.2.5.4 BTX.....	59
2.2.5.5 Ethylene Oxide.....	63
2.3 CATALYSTS FOR REDUCING GHG.....	65
2.3.1 Catalytic Combustion – Controlling Methane Emissions.....	66
2.3.2 Catalysis for Reducing Energy Intensity and GHG in Water Treatment.....	68
2.4 CATALYSTS TO LOWER THE CARBON FOOTPRINT OF CO ₂ CAPTURE.....	73
2.4.1 Reduce the Energy Consumption of CO ₂ Capture.....	77
2.4.2 Make Faster CO ₂ Absorption and Energy-efficient Solvent Regeneration.....	81

2.5	CURRENT INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS AND FUTURE COMMERCIAL PROSPECTS	85
2.5.1	Biomass and Renewables Feedstock for Fuels.....	85
2.5.2	Green Hydrogen and Green Ammonia Production	95
2.5.3	Blue Hydrogen and Blue Ammonia Production.....	102
2.6	SUMMARY.....	104
2.7	REFERENCES.....	105
3.	CATALYST MANUFACTURING METHODS FOR DECARBONIZATION AND REDUCTION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.....	151
3.1	CATALYST CARBON FOOTPRINT QUANTIFICATION.....	151
3.1.1	Reported Catalyst Carbon Footprints and Methodology for Development.....	151
3.1.2	Carbon Footprint Reporting Progress in the Marketplace	156
3.2	METHODOLOGIES WHICH INCORPORATE OR WILL INCORPORATE RENEWABLE ENERGY IN CATALYST MANUFACTURING	159
3.2.1	Overview of Energy-intensive Components in High-surface Area (HSA) Catalysts.....	159
3.2.2	Mitigation of Carbon Footprints Using Renewable Energy and/or CCS	161
3.3	CIRCULARITY AND SUSTAINABILITY FOR CATALYST MATERIALS	162
3.3.1	Catalysis for Sustainable Materials	162
3.3.2	Biochar and Biochar-derived Catalysts.....	166
3.3.3	Biocatalysts and Biocatalytic Reactors.....	169
3.3.4	Waste Ceramics to Wealth Catalysts and/or Catalyst Supports	172
3.3.5	Recycling of Spent Catalyst Materials and Recycling of Catalyst Manufacturing Waste Streams.....	174
3.4	REGENERATION AND REJUVENATION METHODS.....	180
3.4.1	FCC Regeneration Technologies.....	181
3.4.2	Ex Situ Catalyst Regeneration and Rejuvenation Strategy.....	185
3.5	METHODS TO REDUCE CATALYST LOADING.....	189
3.5.1	Improved Gas-liquid Redistribution Reactor Hardware in Trickle-phase Reactors	189
3.5.2	Redistribution Components that Can be Loaded with Catalyst.....	190
3.6	REFERENCES.....	192
4.	REGULATORY TRENDS IN DECARBONIZING ENERGY, PETROLEUM, AND CHEMICAL INDUSTRY TECHNOLOGIES.....	207
4.1	The Regulatory and Policy Framework in the US.....	207
4.1.1	Highlights of State-level Clean Energy Standards	208

4.1.2	Federal Policy Initiatives, Emissions Reduction, and Deployment of Catalysts ...	209
4.1.3	Deploying Clean Hydrogen Production	212
4.1.4	Net-zero Carbon Manufacturing, Synthetic Fuels, Processing Operations	212
4.2	INTERNATIONAL ENERGY DECARBONIZATION MEASURES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CATALYSTS	213
4.2.1	The European Union's Net-zero and Decarbonization Goals	214
4.2.2	The EU Regulation Adopting the Net Zero Industry Act 2023.....	214
4.2.3	Supporting Investments in Low-carbon Energy Technologies in the UK	216
4.2.4	The UK's Low-carbon Hydrogen Standard and Certification Process	216
4.2.5	Other International Developments	216
4.3	METHANE EMISSIONS UNDER THE IRA.....	217
4.4	CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY	218
4.5	REFERENCES.....	218

FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Energy consumption and carbon footprint of high-volume commodity chemicals: (A) energy consumption and (B) GHG emissions versus production volume for top chemicals by volume in 2010. "Others" shows approximately where the next 13 largest contributors fall.	14
Figure 2.2	(A) Relative energy consumption vs CE for three catalytic processes; (B) CSI for various catalytic processes.	15
Figure 2.3	Strategies for designing sulphur-tolerant Ni catalysts.....	21
Figure 2.4	State-of-the-art for CO ₂ and N ₂ /O ₂ conversion for various types of plasmas. Definitions used in the Figure: MW microwave; RF radio-frequency; DBD dielectric barrier discharge; HB Haber Bosch process for ammonia synthesis; BE Birkeland–Eyde; HF high frequency.	26
Figure 2.5	Comparison of all data from the literature for DRM in different plasma reactors, illustrating the energy cost as a function of conversion. The target energy cost of 4.27 eV/molecule for syngas production (corresponding to a 60% efficiency target) is also indicated.	27
Figure 2.6	Schematic illustration of catalytic fixed-bed reactors where the energy for the process is provided directly or indirectly by FFs combustion (a1 and a2, respectively) and the alternative possible for electrification: (b) electrical furnaces/boilers, (c) ohmic or Joule effect (a metallic foam), coated with a catalyst layer, directly heated by an electrical current, (d) magnetic inductive heating (for core–shell catalysts pellet, where the core is a magnetic nanoparticle) and (e) microwave/radio frequency (RF) heating of a fixed-bed catalyst bed. The temperature profile in the reactors is also schematically presented.	31

Figure 2.7	Process scheme of steam cracking (a) and CO ₂ -assisted oxidative dehydrogenation (b).....	42
Figure 2.8	Summary of representative results about H ₂ production through PP, PEC and PV/EC approaches. (1) Light concentrator – GaInP/GaAs/GaInNAsSb–PEM, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 30\%$. (2) Si–PEM, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 14.2\%$. (3) Perovskite solar cell – NiFe, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 12.3$. (4) GaInP/GaInAs/GaAs, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 19$. (5) InGaP/GaAs/Ge, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 10$. (6) BiVO ₄ /WO ₃ –InGaP/GAs, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 8.1$. (7) BiVO ₄ –Fe ₂ O ₃ –2pSi, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 7.7\%$. (8) BiVO ₄ /perovskite, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 6.2\%$. (9) BiVO ₄ –p–Si, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 2.0$. (10) BiVO ₄ –Cu ₂ O, $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 3.0$. (11) Mo:BiVO ₄ –Au–Rh,La:SrTiO ₃ , $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 1.1\%$. (12) Al:SrTiO ₃ , $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 0.4\%$. (13) C ₃ N ₄ , $\eta_{\text{STH}} = 2\%$	45
Figure 2.9	Simplified scheme of the combined formate and H ₂ production technology on PV/EC cell, using the formic acid to store H ₂ temporarily. Data are the quantitative indications in grams per m ² PV panel and day (assuming 7.4 h a day of sunlight). "dec." indicates a stage of catalytic decomposition of formate (FA) to CO ₂ and H ₂	45
Figure 2.10	Infographics on ammonia demand and supply overview by 2050. Abating ammonia production Scope 1 and 2 is different to abating other basic chemical intermediates as it is achieved by switching from high-emissions hydrogen feedstock production (grey) to two low-emissions upstream technology pathways: gas reforming plus CCS (i.e., blue hydrogen) and electrolysis (i.e., green hydrogen).....	48
Figure 2.11	Infographics on methanol demand and supply overview by 2050. Methanol will become the cornerstone of carbon management in a net zero world via production routes from all carbon sources to key carbon outputs.	53
Figure 2.12	Infographics on olefin demand and supply overview by 2050. Olefins will continue to be a predominantly cracker-based industry in a net zero world, and the rise of MTO will heavily depend on the future of fossil as a feedstock.	55
Figure 2.13	Infographics on aromatic demand and supply overview by 2050. Aromatics are likely to be the chemicals most profoundly affected by the transition to net zero due to the demise of catalytic reforming as a main production source...60	
Figure 2.14	Overview of different possible routes to produce FFs-free aromatics as raw materials for the chemical industry. Surrounded by a broken line indicates technologies still to be developed. HDO indicates hydrodeoxygenation.	61
Figure 2.15 (a)	Theoretical reaction potentials for producing EO when the cathode is HER or ORR. (b) Total reaction equation and schematic description of the electrochemical process to produce EO from CO ₂ . (c) Comparison of CO ₂ -to-EO FEs using BaO _x /IrO ₂ catalysts herein relative to prior report.	63
Figure 2.16 (a)	Reaction pathway to produce EO using HOCl as the key intermediate. (b) Comparison of EO FE, full-cell EE, stability, EO selectivity, and energy utilization compared to best prior results for EO electrosynthesis. (c) Gibbs free energy changes (ΔG) of the reaction *HOCl → *H + *OCl on bare IrO ₂ (200) and Ba ₃ O ₄ -cluster loaded IrO ₂ (200) with perfect and oxygen-vacancy (O _v) surfaces.	64

Figure 2.17	Definition of Scope 1, 2 and 3 based in the water based on the Greenhouse Gas Protocol Standards.....	69
Figure 2.18	Block diagrams of (a) the sequential route for amine-based CO ₂ capture and electrolysis to produce CO. CO ₂ electrolyser is based on membrane-electrode assemblies, and (b) the integrated CO ₂ capture and direct CO ₂ electroreduction from capture medium. The compression unit between the stripper and electrolyzer is not shown in the block diagram.	74
Figure 2.19	Schematic illustration of cell configuration of a single cell of the integrated electrolyser.	76
Figure 2.20	Schematic illustration of the cell for the electrochemical desorption of CO ₂ from a MDEA absorption solution.	79
Figure 2.21	A proposed catalytic mechanism for the CO ₂ desorption process with a bifunctional catalyst.....	82
Figure 2.22	Directions for future research studies to develop highly efficient heterogeneous catalysts for low-temperature CO ₂ desorption processes. (A) Surface modification of metal oxide nanocatalysts with different acidic and basic functional groups. (B) Layer-by-layer deposition of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) on the surface of metal oxide nanocatalysts to prepare a core–shell structure with catalytic behaviour. Carbon-based structures, like (C) carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and (D) graphene sheets, were used as superior support for the dispersion of nanocatalysts.	83
Figure 2.23	Possible catalytic mechanism for CO ₂ desorption in CO ₂ -loaded DEAPA–catalyst solution.	84
Figure 2.24	Biodiesel production path.....	86
Figure 2.25	Monthly U.S. renewable diesel and FAME biodiesel production, January 2011 - December 2022	86
Figure 2.26	DHT focal points for co-processing concerns	88
Figure 2.27	Hydroprocessing routes for rapeseed (canola) oil	89
Figure 2.28	Simple schematic of PEM electrolyzer	96
Figure 2.29	Typical schematic of a PEM electrolyzer system.....	97
Figure 2.30	Simple schematic of alkali water electrolyzer	97
Figure 2.31	Schematic of SOEC electrolyzer	99
Figure 2.32	Green ammonia production and use, adapted from a policy briefing	101
Figure 2.33	Blue, green, and gray ammonia synthesis pathways	104
Figure 3.1	Pt/alumina catalyst PCF component chain	152
Figure 3.2	Cradle-to-gate GHG emissions for Pt/ γ -alumina catalyst	153
Figure 3.3	Production of CoMo/alumina or NiMo/alumina catalysts	153
Figure 3.4	Cradle-to-gate GHG emissions for CoMo/alumina.....	154
Figure 3.5	ZSM-5 catalyst manufacture	154
Figure 3.6	Cradle-to-gate GHG emissions ZSM-5 catalyst.....	155

Figure 3.7	Relationship between corporate, Scope 3, and product standards.....	158
Figure 3.8	Zeolite synthesis schematic	159
Figure 3.9	Capture cost vs. CO ₂	162
Figure 3.10	Left side: CaO catalyst derived from chicken eggshell employed as a basic catalyst for biodiesel production. Freely reproduced from (Ashine, et al. 2023). Right side: eggshell-derived support for Ag NPs deposition and their exploitation in benzene total catalytic oxidation.	164
Figure 3.11	Production of activated carbon or biochar-based catalysts from biomass thermochemical processes.	167
Figure 3.12	Hydro/Biochar activation/functionalization methods to activated carbon networks and biochar derived catalysts (M-BCHs).....	169
Figure 3.13	Sources of biocatalysts from A) commercial providers or B) gene synthesis. C) enzyme directed evolution through rounds of mutation and screening. D) biocatalysis and bioprocess intensification approach.	171
Figure 3.14	General flow-sheet for recovering/recycling noble-metals from spent ceramic-based heterogeneous catalysts.	173
Figure 3.15	General manufacturing of continuous-flow hydrogenation catalysts from exhaust automotive converters; a sustainable second catalytic life of PGM-rich waste ceramics.	174
Figure 3.16	Process paths for PGM catalyst recovery	176
Figure 3.17	Schematic of GCMC process.....	178
Figure 3.18	Detail of GCMC patented process.....	179
Figure 3.19	Post combustion CO ₂ capture.....	183
Figure 3.20	Oxyfuel CO ₂ capture.....	183
Figure 3.21	CLC incorporation into FCC catalyst regeneration	185
Figure 3.22	Roto-louvre for oxidative regeneration	186
Figure 3.23	Moving belt regeneration configuration	187
Figure 3.24	Full moving belt regeneration process.....	187
Figure 3.25	CO ₂ emissions involved in hydroprocessing production vs. Excel® rejuvenation	189

TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of Anti-poisoning Catalysts by Tuning Active Sites' Electronic Surroundings or Separating Active Sites from Poison Locations	20
Table 2.2	Energy Demand in the European Chemical Industry and Alternative Electrification Options.....	30

Table 2.3	Selected Electrochemical Results in the N ₂ Electrocatalytic Conversion to Ammonia at Ambient Conditions (Aqueous Electrolytes).....	51
Table 2.4	Selected Electrochemical Results in the CO ₂ to Ethylene Conversion on Copper-based Electrocatalysts.....	58
Table 2.5	Summary of Current Capabilities and Needed Research Efforts for Electrochemically-mediated Capture Methods.....	79
Table 2.6	Topsoe Catalysts for Hydroprocessing Renewable Feeds.....	92
Table 2.7	Overview of Topsoe Dewaxing Catalysts.....	93
Table 2.8	Shell Portfolio of SRC Catalysts.....	94
Table 3.1	Cradle-to-Gate Catalyst PCF Values.....	156
Table 3.2	Applicability and Limitations for Regeneration.....	186
Table 4.1	Energy and Decarbonization Provisions Under the Inflation Reduction Act 2022.....	210